In the Matter Of:

Seelster Farms Inc., et al. vs Her Majesty the Queen In Right of Ontario, et al.

> DWIGHT DUNCAN March 16, 2018

neesons

77 King Street West, Suite 2020 Toronto, ON M5K 1A2 1.888.525.6666 | 416.413.7755

1 Court File No. 842/12 2. ONTARIO 3 SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE 4 BETWEEN: 5 SEELSTER FARMS INC., WINBAK FARM OF CANADA INC., 6 7 STONEBRIDGE FARM, 774440 ONTARIO INC., NORTHFIELDS FARM INC., JOHN MCKNIGHT, TARA HILLS STUD LTD., 8 9 TWINBROOK LTD., EMERALD RIDGE FARM, CENTURY SPRING 10 FARMS, HARRY RUTHERFORD, DIANE INGHAM, BURGESS FARMS INC., ROBERT BURGESS, 453997 ONTARIO LTD., 11 12 TERRY DEVOS, SONIA DEVOS, GLENN BECHTEL, GARTH 13 BECHTEL, 496268 NEW YORK INC., HAMSTAN FARM INC., 14 ESTATE OF JAMES CARR, deceased, by its executor 15 Darlene Carr, ESTATE OF GUY POLILLO, deceased, by its executor Carolyn Polillo, DAVID GOODROW, 16 17 TIMPANO GAMING INC., CRAIG TURNER, GLENGATE 18 HOLDINGS INC., KENDAL HILLS STUD FARM LTD., ANDY KLEMENCIC, TIM KLEMENCIC, STAN KLEMENCIC, JEFF 19 20 RUCH, BRETT ANDERSON, DR. BRETT C. ANDERSON 21 PROFESSIONAL VETERINARY CORPORATION, KILLEAN ACRES 2.2 INC., DECISION THEORY INC., 296268 ONTARIO LTD., 23 DOUGLAS MURRAY MCCONNELL, OUINTET FARMS INC., KARIN 24 BURGESS, BLAIR BURGESS, ST. LAD'S LTD., WINDSUN FARM INC., SKYHAVEN FARMS, HIGH STAKES INC., 25

1	Page 261 1806112 ONTARIO INC., GLASSFORD EQUI-CARE, JOHN	1	INDEX OF REFUSALS	Page 263
2	GLASSFORD, GLORIA ROBINSON and KEITH ROBINSON	2	The questions/requests refused are noted	by R/F and
3	Plaintiffs	3	appear on the following pages: 311:16, 32	22:20,
4	- and -	4	323:1, 358:16	
5	HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF ONTARIO and	5		
6	ONTARIO LOTTERY AND GAMING CORPORATION	6		
7	Defendants	7	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
8		8		
9	This is the Continued Rule 39.03 Examination of	9		
10	DWIGHT DOUGLAS DUNCAN, a non-party witness herein,	10	NUMBER/DESCRIPTION PAG	SE/LINE NO.
11	taken at the offices of Neeson Court Reporting	11	A for Identification: CRE0028760 to	304:7
12	Inc., 77 King Street West, Suite 2020, Toronto,	12	CRE0028763.	
13	Ontario, on the 16th day of March 2018.	13	B for Identification: CRE0029902 and	316:15
14		14	CRE0029903.	
15	APPEARANCES:	15	22: Document entitled "Ontario	365:19
16	Jonathan Lisus, Esq.,	16	Finance Minister Dwight Duncan,	
17	Ian Matthews, Esq., for the Plaintiffs.	17	Remarks to the Economic Club of	
18		18	Canada, February 13, 2012"	
19	Lisa La Horey, Esq.,	19	C for Identification: April 24, 2012,	374:14
20	Eunice Machado, Esq.,	20	Toronto Star article entitled "Ontario	
21	& Michele Valentini, SAL for the Defendant	21	budget: Child care and anti-poverty	
22	Crown.	22	advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal."	
23		23	23: Document entitled "Official	383:3
24	Michael Rosenberg, Esq., for the OLG.	24	Report of Debates (Hansard)" dated	
25	REPORTED BY: Carissa Stabbler, RPR, CSR	25	Tuesday, March 20, 2012.	
	Page 262			Page 26
1	INDEX	1	24: Document entitled "Official	384:13
2		2	Report of Debates (Hansard)" dated	
3	WITNESS: DWIGHT DOUGLAS DUNCAN	3	Wednesday, March 21, 2012.	
4	PAGE	4	25: Document entitled "Official	387:1
5	CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION BY 265	5	Report of Debates (Hansard) " dated	
6	MR. LISUS	6	Wednesday, April 18, 2012.	
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSENBERG 390	7	26: Document entitled "Official	387:14
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. LA HOREY 455	8	Report of Debates (Hansard)" dated	
9	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LISUS 456	9	Thursday, April 26, 2012.	
10		10	D for Identification: CRE0080521 and	465:13
11		11	CRE0080522.	
12	**The following list of undertakings, advisements	12	E for Identification: CRE0080704.	465:15
13	and refusals is meant as a guide only for the	13		
14	assistance of counsel and no other purpose**	14		
15		15		
16		16		
17	INDEX OF UNDERTAKINGS	17		
18	The questions/requests undertaken are noted by $\ensuremath{\text{U}/\text{T}}$	18		
19	and appear on the following pages: 292:16	19		
20		20		
21		21		
22	INDEX OF ADVISEMENTS	22		
23	(NONE MARKED)	23		
24		24		
25				

		Page 265			Page 267
1		Upon Commencing at 9:05 a.m	1		A. I don't ever recall seeing or
2		DWIGHT DOUGLAS DUNCAN: PREVIOUSLY	2		hearing that name.
3		AFFIRMED.	3	1034	Q. My understanding is that as part
4		CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION BY	4		of the OLG modernization project, they had
5		MR. LISUS:	5		different work streams, and the gaming innovation
6	1025	Q. Good morning, Mr. Duncan.	6		project was one of the work streams.
7		Yesterday I asked you about Exhibit 19, which is a	7		And change 1A, which you may recall
8		deck dated November 4, 2011.	8		from yesterday's deck, was revised horse racing
9		A. That was the one that said draft	9		funding for greater accountability. Do you
10		deck?	10		remember that?
11	1026	Q. Draft Workshop Document, yes.	11		A. Yes, I do, yeah.
12	1020	MS. LA HOREY: Do you have a copy for	12	1035	0. There's a recommendation on this
13		the witness, please.	13	1033	page 2 which says:
14		BY MR. LISUS:	14		"At government's direction,
15	1027		15		horse racing will continue to
	1027				-
16		which was Exhibit Number 3 on Mr. Shortill's	16		benefit from gaming proceeds, but
17		examination and Exhibit 21 on Blair Stransky's	17		that funding should no longer be
18		examination. Now, I take it, sir, that you were	18		limited to the specific location of
19		aware	19		slot machines."
20		MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, where's	20		MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, where is this
21		Exhibit 21? You said you were showing the witness.	21		again?
22		Oh, I see. Okay. It's the same document. It's	22		MR. LISUS: Under the heading "GIP
23		not two different documents.	23		Recommendation."
24		BY MR. LISUS:	24		THE WITNESS: Okay.
25	1028	Q. In mid-November 2011, I take it	25		BY MR. LISUS:
		Page 266			Page 268
1		that you were aware that Mr. Shortill had been	1	1036	Q. "Rather, separate pools of funds
2		working with OLG on the modernization project?	2		should be created for track
3		A. Yes.	3		operators and horse people likely
4	1029	Q. And I presume you understood that	4		taken as a percentage of overall
5		he was up to date with the recommendations as they	5		OLG revenue."
6		were evolving coming out of OLG?	6		So do you recall discussion of this recommendation?
7		A. I wouldn't have understood that.	7		A. No.
8	1030	Q. This is a document sent by OLG to	8	1037	Q. Okay. And it goes on to identify
9		Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill. And on the second	9		specific actions do you see that? including
10		page of it, it says:	10		triggering the exit clauses in each of the 17
11		"GIP recommendation."	11		siteholder agreements with racetrack operators.
12		Do you know what the acronym GIP is?	12		A. I'm sorry. I don't see that.
		A. I'm sorry. I don't.	13	1038	Q. Specific actions contemplated.
13	1031	Q. I understand it to be gaming	14		A. Oh, I see.
13 14		z misser contact to co be gaining			MS. LA HOREY: While the witness looks
14	1031	innovation project.	1 <u>1</u> 5		1.5 1101 7111 0110 11011000 100110
14 15	1031	innovation project. A Never heard of it	15		at it. Mr Rosenberg has walked in the room Tet's
14 15 16		A. Never heard of it.	16		at it, Mr. Rosenberg has walked in the room. Let's
14 15 16 17	1032	A. Never heard of it. Q. Okay. If we look at the first	16 17		get him caught up. Exhibit 21 to Stransky,
14 15 16 17 18		A. Never heard of it. Q. Okay. If we look at the first page, this is it says:	16 17 18		get him caught up. Exhibit 21 to Stransky, OLGSB000970.
14 15 16 17 18 19		A. Never heard of it. Q. Okay. If we look at the first page, this is it says: "Gaming innovation project	16 17 18 19	1020	get him caught up. Exhibit 21 to Stransky, OLGSB000970. BY MR. LISUS:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		A. Never heard of it. Q. Okay. If we look at the first page, this is it says: "Gaming innovation project stakeholder analysis and	16 17 18 19 20	1039	get him caught up. Exhibit 21 to Stransky, OLGSB000970. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And if you go over the page,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		A. Never heard of it. Q. Okay. If we look at the first page, this is it says: "Gaming innovation project stakeholder analysis and engagement."	16 17 18 19 20 21	1039	get him caught up. Exhibit 21 to Stransky, OLGSB000970. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And if you go over the page, Number 5 of specific actions contemplated is:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		A. Never heard of it. Q. Okay. If we look at the first page, this is it says: "Gaming innovation project stakeholder analysis and engagement." A. It was the gaming innovation	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1039	get him caught up. Exhibit 21 to Stransky, OLGSB000970. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And if you go over the page, Number 5 of specific actions contemplated is: "To design a new method that
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1032	A. Never heard of it. Q. Okay. If we look at the first page, this is it says: "Gaming innovation project stakeholder analysis and engagement." A. It was the gaming innovation project and OLG.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1039	get him caught up. Exhibit 21 to Stransky, OLGSB000970. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And if you go over the page, Number 5 of specific actions contemplated is: "To design a new method that flows funding from provincial gaming
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		A. Never heard of it. Q. Okay. If we look at the first page, this is it says: "Gaming innovation project stakeholder analysis and engagement." A. It was the gaming innovation	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1039	get him caught up. Exhibit 21 to Stransky, OLGSB000970. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And if you go over the page, Number 5 of specific actions contemplated is: "To design a new method that

an individual or a new body to maintain the health of the sport and industry." Do you remember discussing that specific action with A. We discussed a range of options. This is one document. I don't know. This is draft document 1A. For all I know, there could have but that there was always the premise that there would be some support to the industry, certainly in transition. And that is what we wound up doing. Q. And that is what is being discussed here? A. Among other things. I do recall that there were documents. There were discussions	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1045	"no." THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LISUS: Q. It's stated "Draft Discussion Document for Minister Duncan"? A. Yes. I do want to note that a draft document would not have made it to me. That's why it's a draft. So this would not have been the final document that came to me. Q. Okay. It would have gone to your
industry." Do you remember discussing that specific action with A. We discussed a range of options. This is one document. I don't know. This is draft document 1A. For all I know, there could have but that there was always the premise that there would be some support to the industry, certainly in transition. And that is what we wound up doing. Q. And that is what is being discussed here? A. Among other things. I do recall	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		BY MR. LISUS: Q. It's stated "Draft Discussion Document for Minister Duncan"? A. Yes. I do want to note that a draft document would not have made it to me. That's why it's a draft. So this would not have been the final document that came to me.
Do you remember discussing that specific action with A. We discussed a range of options. This is one document. I don't know. This is draft document 1A. For all I know, there could have but that there was always the premise that there would be some support to the industry, certainly in transition. And that is what we wound up doing. Q. And that is what is being discussed here? A. Among other things. I do recall	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		Q. It's stated "Draft Discussion Document for Minister Duncan"? A. Yes. I do want to note that a draft document would not have made it to me. That's why it's a draft. So this would not have been the final document that came to me.
A. We discussed a range of options. This is one document. I don't know. This is draft document 1A. For all I know, there could have but that there was always the premise that there would be some support to the industry, certainly in transition. And that is what we wound up doing. Q. And that is what is being discussed here? A. Among other things. I do recall	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		Document for Minister Duncan"? A. Yes. I do want to note that a draft document would not have made it to me. That's why it's a draft. So this would not have been the final document that came to me.
A. We discussed a range of options. This is one document. I don't know. This is draft document 1A. For all I know, there could have but that there was always the premise that there would be some support to the industry, certainly in transition. And that is what we wound up doing. Q. And that is what is being discussed here? A. Among other things. I do recall	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1046	A. Yes. I do want to note that a draft document would not have made it to me. That's why it's a draft. So this would not have been the final document that came to me.
This is one document. I don't know. This is draft document 1A. For all I know, there could have but that there was always the premise that there would be some support to the industry, certainly in transition. And that is what we wound up doing. Q. And that is what is being discussed here? A. Among other things. I do recall	7 8 9 10 11 12	1046	draft document would not have made it to me. That's why it's a draft. So this would not have been the final document that came to me.
document 1A. For all I know, there could have but that there was always the premise that there would be some support to the industry, certainly in transition. And that is what we wound up doing. Q. And that is what is being discussed here? A. Among other things. I do recall	8 9 10 11 12	1046	That's why it's a draft. So this would not have been the final document that came to me.
but that there was always the premise that there would be some support to the industry, certainly in transition. And that is what we wound up doing. Q. And that is what is being discussed here? A. Among other things. I do recall	9 10 11 12	1046	been the final document that came to me.
would be some support to the industry, certainly in transition. And that is what we wound up doing. Q. And that is what is being discussed here? A. Among other things. I do recall	10 11 12	1046	
transition. And that is what we wound up doing. Q. And that is what is being discussed here? A. Among other things. I do recall	11 12	1046	O Okay It would have gone to your
Q. And that is what is being discussed here? A. Among other things. I do recall	12		g. olaj. Te would have gone to jour
discussed here? A. Among other things. I do recall			staff
A. Among other things. I do recall	13		A. Chief. Yeah, absolutely. They
	1 13		would have likely been involved in preparation of
that there were documents. There were discussions	14		the document.
	15	1047	Q. Right. And we're talking there
around a whole range of issues about how to	16		about Mr. Shortill?
transition the industry away from the subsidy and	17		A. Yes. And I believe Blair would
into a viable program that would allow the industry	18		have Blair Stransky would have been involved as
to continue on a commercially viable basis.	19		well and perhaps other staff members.
Q. You're saying that the provision	20	1048	Q. Okay. I want to just have you
of that kind of funding was being contemplated for	21	1010	look, please, at page 18 of the deck.
the period after the termination of revenue share	22		A. Okay.
from Slots at Racetrack Program?	23	1049	Q. So page 18 of the deck identifies
A. I don't recall specifically, but	24	1017	considerations for transitioning existing
there was the intent to transition.	25		operators?
			operators:
Page 270 O. If you look at "key stakeholders	1		Page 272 A. Yes.
~ 1	2	1050	
considerations," it also appears what is being	3	1030	Q. Just to pick up on a point you
contemplated is the notion that with an expected improvement in OLG's overall performance, it's			gave me a minute ago, Mr. Duncan, these drafts go
	4		back and forth between your senior staffers and
possible the horse racing sector could benefit	5		senior folks
proportionately. Do you see that?	1 6		a see les
		1051	A. Mm-hm.
A. Along with the comment about the	7	1051	Q at OLG; correct?
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that	8		Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes.
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of	8 9	1051 1052	Q at OIG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options.	8		Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of	8 9		Q at OIG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options.	8 9 10		Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you	8 9 10 11		Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating the recommendations being considered by OLG
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28,	8 9 10 11 12	1052	Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating the recommendations being considered by OLG A. Yes.
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of	8 9 10 11 12 13	1052	Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating the recommendations being considered by OLG A. Yes. Q for the purposes of briefing
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of Mr. Shortill.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1052	Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating the recommendations being considered by OLG A. Yes. Q for the purposes of briefing you and giving
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of Mr. Shortill. MS. LA HOREY: Just for the record, it	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1052	Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating the recommendations being considered by OLG A. Yes. Q for the purposes of briefing you and giving A. Yes.
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of Mr. Shortill. MS. LA HOREY: Just for the record, it is do you have a doc ID number on it?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1052	Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating the recommendations being considered by OLG A. Yes. Q for the purposes of briefing you and giving A. Yes. Q and giving you their reactions?
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of Mr. Shortill. MS. LA HOREY: Just for the record, it is do you have a doc ID number on it? MR. LISUS: Doesn't seem to have one.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1052	Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating the recommendations being considered by OLG A. Yes. Q for the purposes of briefing you and giving A. Yes. Q and giving you their reactions? A. And for the purpose of briefing
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of Mr. Shortill. MS. LA HOREY: Just for the record, it is do you have a doc ID number on it? MR. LISUS: Doesn't seem to have one. MS. LA HOREY: Okay.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1052	Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating the recommendations being considered by OLG A. Yes. Q for the purposes of briefing you and giving A. Yes. Q and giving you their reactions? A. And for the purpose of briefing the OLG.
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of Mr. Shortill. MS. LA HOREY: Just for the record, it is do you have a doc ID number on it? MR. LISUS: Doesn't seem to have one. MS. LA HOREY: Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: I believe it's	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1052	Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating the recommendations being considered by OLG A. Yes. Q for the purposes of briefing you and giving A. Yes. Q and giving you their reactions? A. And for the purpose of briefing the OLG. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, Mr. Duncan, you
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of Mr. Shortill. MS. LA HOREY: Just for the record, it is do you have a doc ID number on it? MR. LISUS: Doesn't seem to have one. MS. LA HOREY: Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: I believe it's Exhibit DD to Mr. Flynn's Affidavit.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1052	Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating the recommendations being considered by OLG A. Yes. Q for the purposes of briefing you and giving A. Yes. Q and giving you their reactions? A. And for the purpose of briefing the OLG. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, Mr. Duncan, you need to let Mr. Lisus finish his question or else
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of Mr. Shortill. MS. LA HOREY: Just for the record, it is do you have a doc ID number on it? MR. LISUS: Doesn't seem to have one. MS. LA HOREY: Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: I believe it's Exhibit DD to Mr. Flynn's Affidavit. MS. LA HOREY: Okay. BY MR. LISUS:	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1052	Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating the recommendations being considered by OLG A. Yes. Q for the purposes of briefing you and giving A. Yes. Q and giving you their reactions? A. And for the purpose of briefing the OLG. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, Mr. Duncan, you need to let Mr. Lisus finish his question or else Carissa will be very unhappy with both of you. BY MR. LISUS:
A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of Mr. Shortill. MS. LA HOREY: Just for the record, it is do you have a doc ID number on it? MR. LISUS: Doesn't seem to have one. MS. LA HOREY: Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: I believe it's Exhibit DD to Mr. Flynn's Affidavit. MS. LA HOREY: Okay. BY MR. LISUS:	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1052 1053 1054	Q at OLG; correct? A. Yes. Q. And obviously they're doing that for the purposes of understanding and evaluating the recommendations being considered by OLG A. Yes. Q for the purposes of briefing you and giving A. Yes. Q and giving you their reactions? A. And for the purpose of briefing the OLG. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, Mr. Duncan, you need to let Mr. Lisus finish his question or else Carissa will be very unhappy with both of you. BY MR. LISUS:
	A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of Mr. Shortill. MS. LA HOREY: Just for the record, it is do you have a doc ID number on it? MR. LISUS: Doesn't seem to have one.	A. Along with the comment about the stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of Mr. Shortill. MS. LA HOREY: Just for the record, it is do you have a doc ID number on it? MR. LISUS: Doesn't seem to have one.	stakeholder pain (ph) points, yes, I do. And that was the essence of the discussion, cross-arrange of options. Q. Thank you. I want to show you another document now which is dated November 28, 2011, and it's Exhibit K on the examination of Mr. Shortill. MS. LA HOREY: Just for the record, it is do you have a doc ID number on it? MR. LISUS: Doesn't seem to have one. 8 1052 9 1052 1153 1153 1154 1155 1155 1156 1157 1157 1157 1157 1157

1		Page 273 views on OLG's recommendations and proposed	1		Page 275 just to be clear, is the money generated from the
2		recommendations?	2		slot machines; right?
3		A. As well as they're giving OLG	3		A. It was money that was out of the
4		their sense of kind of how the government would	4		government's consolidated revenue fund that could
5		respond to a document of this nature.	5		be used for other purposes.
6	1056	Q. And I understand your point, that	6	1063	Q. It was money out of the
7		you would not be receiving and reviewing drafts;	7		government's consolidated revenue fund?
8		right?	8		A. In the sense that from the
9		A. That's correct. Now, I couldn't	9		policy perspective that this was being looked at.
10		tell you how often these things would turn around.	10	1064	Q. I know that's your position,
11		You know, for instance, on a budget document,	11		Mr. Duncan. I'm not arguing with you. I just want
12		typically there'd be between 20 and 30 turnarounds.	12		to make sure we're talking about the same revenue
13	1057	Q. When you say "a budget	13		stream.
14		document"	14		A. Yes, we are. We look at it
15		A. The budget and the parts that go	15		differently.
16		into it. And they they're both to make sure	16	1065	Q. Yes.
17		that, you know, there's clarity, that everything	17		A. Thank you.
18		has been fact checked.	18	1066	Q. And it says under the first
19		And, you know, in the period leading up	19	2000	bullet:
20		to a budget, there could be new information that	20		"Need to develop transition
21		goes in. The point is there would have been a	21		plan for horse racing and municipal
22		number of documents exchanged between I don't	22		funding prior to triggering
23		know how many. And typically I would not see a	23		termination clauses."
24		draft document.	24		Do you see that?
25	1058	Q. Makes sense. The considerations	25		A. Yeah.
	1000	2. Imies seine. The constactations			11. 10011.
1		Page 274	,	1007	Page 270
1		or the consideration identified by OLG as of	1	1067	Q. And we discussed yesterday that we
2		November 28 for Slots at Racetrack was that the	2		saw Finance shortly after November 28 develop a
3		termination clauses in the siteholder contracts	3		five-year transition plan?
4		would be exercised?	4	1000	A. Yes.
5	1050	A. Yes.	5	1068	Q. And if we go over to page 21, the
6	1059	Q. Do you understand what that meant	6		first bullet under "Next Steps" says:
7		in late November 2011?	7		"Confirm timing for government
8		A. I understood at the high level	8		decision points regarding horse
9		that it would mean it was essentially a one-year	9		racing and municipal transition
10		notice period, that they would continue to be	10		funding and policy objectives."
11		funded for a year.	11		Right?
12		My recollection is that a number of	12		A. Mm-hm.
		them reacted differently. I think a couple of	13	1069	Q. Yes?
13		tracks just stopped. But so at a high level, yes,	14		A. Yes.
13 14		1 1 1 1 June 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	1070	
		I understood that that triggered the end of the	15	1070	Q. What I'm understanding from the
14 15 16		I understood that that triggered the end of the contract and that there was a one $$ they would be	16	1070	sequence is that it was as a result of these
14 15 16		I understood that that triggered the end of the	1	1070	~ 3
14 15 16 17 18	1060	I understood that that triggered the end of the contract and that there was a one $$ they would be	16	1070	sequence is that it was as a result of these
14 15 16	1060	I understood that that triggered the end of the contract and that there was a one they would be funded for one year.	16 17	1070	sequence is that it was as a result of these identified next steps and considerations that
14 15 16 17 18 19	1060	I understood that that triggered the end of the contract and that there was a one they would be funded for one year. Q. When you say "funded," they would	16 17 18	1070	sequence is that it was as a result of these identified next steps and considerations that Finance appears to, shortly after November 28,
14 15 16 17 18	1060	I understood that that triggered the end of the contract and that there was a one they would be funded for one year. Q. When you say "funded," they would participate in the revenue-sharing formula from the	16 17 18 19	1070	sequence is that it was as a result of these identified next steps and considerations that Finance appears to, shortly after November 28, generate a proposed five-year transition plan that
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1060	I understood that that triggered the end of the contract and that there was a one they would be funded for one year. Q. When you say "funded," they would participate in the revenue-sharing formula from the prescribed lottery scheme?	16 17 18 19 20	1071	sequence is that it was as a result of these identified next steps and considerations that Finance appears to, shortly after November 28, generate a proposed five-year transition plan that we talked about yesterday. Do you remember that?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		I understood that that triggered the end of the contract and that there was a one they would be funded for one year. Q. When you say "funded," they would participate in the revenue-sharing formula from the prescribed lottery scheme? A. It was a subsidy, yes.	16 17 18 19 20 21		sequence is that it was as a result of these identified next steps and considerations that Finance appears to, shortly after November 28, generate a proposed five-year transition plan that we talked about yesterday. Do you remember that? A. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		I understood that that triggered the end of the contract and that there was a one they would be funded for one year. Q. When you say "funded," they would participate in the revenue-sharing formula from the prescribed lottery scheme? A. It was a subsidy, yes. Q. Pardon?	16 17 18 19 20 21 22		sequence is that it was as a result of these identified next steps and considerations that Finance appears to, shortly after November 28, generate a proposed five-year transition plan that we talked about yesterday. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall discussing

	. •	DONCAN OII WAICH 10, 2010		B 0=0
1		Page 277 clearly part of an ongoing discussion.	1	Page 279 1077 Q. I take it you wouldn't have known
2	1072	Q. Okay. And	2	about this proposed approach because
3		A. It wasn't just a five-year plan.	3	A. I wouldn't have known about this
4		There were options, as I recall, but the clear	4	document. By this point in time, I likely would
5		point was that there was at that by November	5	have known that these were the sorts of things that
6		of that was a draft document. By November of	6	were under consideration.
7		'11, we probably had not landed on what we thought	7	1078 Q. Okay. Thank you.
8		a transition plan would look like.	8	
		-		A. Typically what would happen, just for your understanding, is while these discussions
9		By then, we would have been also	9	2
10		thinking about when we would announce it. Would it	10	were going on between senior officials in OLG and
11		be part of the budget or not. Would we wait until	11	my staff, senior officials in OLG, typically I
12		after the budget before the triggering of the	12	would be verbally kept in the loop.
13		clauses.	13	1079 Q. By Mr. Shortill?
14		Again, it was the principle was	14	A. Mr. Shortill or Mr. Stransky.
15		there that there would be transition funding, and	15	Even sometimes it might be the deputy as well.
16		some of the strategic or tactical considerations	16	1080 Q. The deputy was Orsini at the time?
17		aren't reflected in that.	17	A. Orsini or his delegate.
18	1073	Q. Right. And so just tracking the	18	1081 Q. Mr. Shortill testified that he was
19		chronology, we looked at the document dated	19	speaking with you, and he made recommendations to
20		November 28, and then I believe we looked yesterday	20	you. Does that assist your
21		at the five-year plan developed by Finance, perhaps	21	MS. LA HOREY: Why don't you finish
22		in a different document. But here's the document	22	your question, and then I'm going to interject.
23		which appears	23	BY MR. LISUS:
24		A. And this is another draft	24	1082 Q. Does that assist your recollection
25		document. I have no idea how many draft documents	25	as to being briefed by Mr. Shortill about these
		D 070		D 000
1		Page 278 were out there. And the reason they're draft	1	Page 280 issues?
2		documents is because there may not have been	2	MS. LA HOREY: Just to be clear, just
3		acceptance of any or all of the stuff in a document	3	because this was marked as an examination [sic] to
4		like this.	4	Mr. Shortill's examination doesn't mean that he
5	1074	O. Right. So here we see on	5	testified he recollected it. And, in fact, it
6	1071	Thursday, December 8 Ms. Yeigh circulating to folks	6	was
7		at OLG and in Finance, in particular, Barry Goodwin	7	MR. LISUS: I'm not saying he
8		and Tanya Watkins, a draft five-year approach that	8	recollected it.
9		we have developed?	9	MS. LA HOREY: I want to just be clear
		-		and fair to the witness. Just because it was
10	1075	A. Yeah, it's a draft.	10	
11	1075	Q. Well, she says:	11	marked as Mr. Shortill's examination, doesn't mean
12		"This is preliminary thinking,	12	he said he saw it. In fact, it was marked as
13		and we are looking to the OLG group	13	Exhibit A, which means he didn't see it.
14		to provide us with feedback on	14	MR. LISUS: I'm asking a different
15		durability."	15	question.
16		Right?	16	THE WITNESS: So, no, I don't need it
17		A. Yeah.	17	to jog my recollection because it was a standard
18	1076	Q. If we go over to the second page,	18	you know, typically senior staff on my staff,
19		it's proposed five-year approach horse racing	19	senior officials, we would you know, it might
20		industry, and it says:	20	even be a conversation walking between two
21		"Funding - Slots at Racetrack	21	buildings.
22		Program funding will be phased out	22	Frankly, I don't remember the specifics
23		over a five-year period."	23	of this largely because there were so many other
24		And it gives the metrics; right?	24	it was budget preparation time, so there was
25		A. Yes.	25	this was a very small piece of one part of a rather

		Dags 204			Page 283
1		Page 281 large budget.	1		relatively junior officials. I have no idea whose
2		MR. LISUS: Right.	2		hands they this one went to Barry, and he was
3		MR. MATTHEWS: Just so the record is	3		CCed on it, which typically meant just to keep him
4		clear, we've been looking at Exhibit A to	4		apprised of these discussions I would assume were
5		Mr. Shortill's examination.	5		going on.
6		BY MR. LISUS:	6		And so none of this would and it's
7	1083	Q. And going forward to December 28,	7		very clearly marked "proposed." It's draft. And
8	1003	2011, this is Exhibit L on Mr. Shortill's	8		there were like I say, my recollection is that
			-		
9		examination. I just want to take a look at the	9		there were, over several weeks, pros and cons of
10		email with you because we got this document from	10		any approach. But the bottom line was that there
11		OLG, and the email indicates that	11		had to be a transition. There had to be support
12	1004	A. Sorry, who's it from?	12		through that transition.
13	1084	Q. It doesn't say who it's from, but	13		And, again, it's hard for me to look at
14		it in terms of a field on the email; however,	14		one document among fairly junior officials. It's
15		the text of it says:	15		written over the holiday, Christmas week. And by
16		"Hi, Larissa. Please find	16		this time, we're down to the you know, we're
17		attached the draft slide for	17		obviously down to the to the to the narrow
18		inclusion in your deck. Note this	18		issues around we've decided there's going to be
19		slide has been approved by the ADMO.	19		transition funding. We're now discussing what that
20		Thanks. Brad."	20		transition funding would look like.
21		And we understand Brad to be Brad Partington. Have	21	1092	Q. So you are telling me that you
22		you heard the name Brad Partington?	22		recollect that as of December 28th, that the
23		A. I think his name has been on some	23		government had decided there was going to be
24		of the lists, but other than that, no.	24		transition funding after the
25	1085	Q. Okay. And ADMO would be an	25		A. I'm not saying
		Dago 282	1		Page 284
1		Page 282 acronym for	1	1093	Page 284 Q. You've got to let me finish
1 2		acronym for	1 2	1093	Q. You've got to let me finish
2		acronym for		1093	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period?
2 3	1086	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office.	2 3	1093	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth.
2 3 4	1086	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin?	2 3 4	1093	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't
2 3 4 5		acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes.	2 3 4 5	1093	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but
2 3 4 5 6	1086 1087	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see	2 3 4 5 6	1093	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me
2 3 4 5 6 7		acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying	2 3 4 5 6	1093	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1093	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1093	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1093	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan? A. No, there hasn't been a change of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We debated that at some length. I think as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1087	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan? A. No, there hasn't been a change of anything. There's been discussions around options.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We debated that at some length. I think as I indicated in earlier testimony, my view was that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan? A. No, there hasn't been a change of anything. There's been discussions around options. Q. There's a new option?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We debated that at some length. I think as I indicated in earlier testimony, my view was that we would we would end the budget announce and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1087	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan? A. No, there hasn't been a change of anything. There's been discussions around options. Q. There's a new option? A. One option.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1094	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We debated that at some length. I think as I indicated in earlier testimony, my view was that we would we would end the budget announce and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1087	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan? A. No, there hasn't been a change of anything. There's been discussions around options. Q. There's a new option? A. One option. Q. Pardon?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We debated that at some length. I think as I indicated in earlier testimony, my view was that we would we would end the budget announce and then Q. Announce what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1087 1088 1089	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan? A. No, there hasn't been a change of anything. There's been discussions around options. Q. There's a new option? A. One option. Q. Pardon? A. One possible option.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1094	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We debated that at some length. I think as I indicated in earlier testimony, my view was that we would we would end the budget announce and then Q. Announce what? A. Announce the end of the Slots at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1087	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan? A. No, there hasn't been a change of anything. There's been discussions around options. Q. There's a new option? A. One option. Q. Pardon? A. One possible option; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1094	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We debated that at some length. I think as I indicated in earlier testimony, my view was that we would we would end the budget announce and then Q. Announce what? A. Announce the end of the Slots at Racetrack Program. And that subsequent to that, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1087 1088 1089 1090	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan? A. No, there hasn't been a change of anything. There's been discussions around options. Q. There's a new option? A. One option. Q. Pardon? A. One possible option. Q. A new possible option; right? A. I'm not sure if it was new or not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1094	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We debated that at some length. I think as I indicated in earlier testimony, my view was that we would we would end the budget announce and then Q. Announce what? A. Announce the end of the Slots at Racetrack Program. And that subsequent to that, we would begin to discuss. We were having a very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1087 1088 1089	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan? A. No, there hasn't been a change of anything. There's been discussions around options. Q. There's a new option? A. One option. Q. Pardon? A. One possible option; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1094	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We debated that at some length. I think as I indicated in earlier testimony, my view was that we would we would end the budget announce and then Q. Announce what? A. Announce the end of the Slots at Racetrack Program. And that subsequent to that, we would begin to discuss. We were having a very robust discussion internally. And the reason for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1087 1088 1089 1090	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan? A. No, there hasn't been a change of anything. There's been discussions around options. Q. There's a new option? A. One option. Q. Pardon? A. One possible option. Q. A new possible option; right? A. I'm not sure if it was new or not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1094	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We debated that at some length. I think as I indicated in earlier testimony, my view was that we would we would end the budget announce and then Q. Announce what? A. Announce the end of the Slots at Racetrack Program. And that subsequent to that, we would begin to discuss. We were having a very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1087 1088 1089 1090	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan? A. No, there hasn't been a change of anything. There's been discussions around options. Q. There's a new option? A. One option. Q. Pardon? A. One possible option. Q. A new possible option; right? A. I'm not sure if it was new or not. Q. This is the first time I've seen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1094	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We debated that at some length. I think as I indicated in earlier testimony, my view was that we would we would end the budget announce and then Q. Announce what? A. Announce the end of the Slots at Racetrack Program. And that subsequent to that, we would begin to discuss. We were having a very robust discussion internally. And the reason for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1087 1088 1089 1090	acronym for A. Assistant deputy minister's office. Q. And that would be Mr. Goodwin? A. Barry Goodwin, yes. Q. If we look at the document, we see that this is another proposed approach identifying current status proposed approach and considerations. And this approach appears to be a three-year plan to assist the industry in becoming viable with reduced government support. So there's been a change from a five-year plan to a three-year plan? A. No, there hasn't been a change of anything. There's been discussions around options. Q. There's a new option? A. One option. Q. Pardon? A. One possible option. Q. A new possible option; right? A. I'm not sure if it was new or not. Q. This is the first time I've seen it. Do you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1094	Q. You've got to let me finish after the end of the one-year notice period? A. No. You put words in my mouth. What I said was the government had and I don't believe it would have been formally decided, but what I read in reviewing these documents reminds me that there was continuing, and every one of these documents talks about transition funding, a new way to fund the industry at reduced levels and that there was a considerable back-and-forth. Q. Transition funding commencing when? A. There was no decision on that. We debated that at some length. I think as I indicated in earlier testimony, my view was that we would we would end the budget announce and then Q. Announce what? A. Announce the end of the Slots at Racetrack Program. And that subsequent to that, we would begin to discuss. We were having a very robust discussion internally. And the reason for that was we were very committed to transition

		<u>, </u>			
1		Page 285 take place in 2012, are you referring to the	1		Page 287 the budget document because we knew we had a
2		\$50 million over three years that was announced in	2		one-year transition.
3		2012?	3	1104	-
				1104	Q. So then what this contemplates is
4		A. No. I'm talking about a range of	4		that by 2015-16, industry receives 100 million in
5		things that began to happen. Discussions with	5		annual transfer payments from government for
6		potential board members, discussions with the	6		expenses such as operating costs?
7		industry, implementation time frames, discussion	7		A. I just again, that there
8		with tracks. So there was	8		were different numbers that were discussed at the
9	1097	Q. I'm just talking about the funding	9		time. And I suspect what happened when these
10		that you say was implemented in 2012.	10		documents went among people, they looked at those
11		A. We I didn't say I didn't use	11		kinds of things.
12		the word "implement." I said we began discussions	12		We I don't believe we ever arrived
13		in 2012.	13		at a specific number for what the longer term would
14	1098	Q. All right.	14		look like. I do recall that I do recall there
15		A. So it was not implemented. In	15		were discussions as to how many tracks were
16		fact, I don't believe it was implemented until	16		actually viable in Ontario, because at that time,
17		after I left office. May have been some cash I	17		something like 25 percent of horse tracks in
18		know I think one or two tracks just shut down.	18		North America were in this province.
19	1099	Q. Why were you, sir, committed to	19		Many of them were running without full
20		the idea of transition funding?	20		cards. Many of the horses on the cards were
21		A. To assist the industry get off its	21		American. Purses a lot of the purses were going
22		subsidy. The industry was the people just	22		to the United States. The breeding industry was
23		weren't going to see horse racing, according to the	23		the more successful horses were coming out of the
24		advice I had from all the experts.	24		United States.
25	1100	Q. So as of certainly December 28	25		So for a whole bunch of reasons, we
<u> </u>					
1		Page 286 I just want to look at the details of it with you.	1		Page 288 decided that it would be better to deal with
2		There's a proposed approach which says that:	2		compensation in the one-year period subsequent
3		"Government announces end of	3		to dealing with those kinds of issues in
4		SARP April 2012 to be determined."	4		consultation with the industry subsequent to the
5		And that, I suppose, would	5		termination of the agreements.
6		A. Probably hadn't landed the date	6	1105	Q. I haven't seen any document where
7		for the budget.	7	1103	that kind of thinking is reflected. Have you seen
8	1101	Q. Right. And you also were thinking	8		any document where that kind of
9	1101	whether you announce it before or after?	9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10			10		
		A. No, I think it was decided			documents don't reflect the full I mean, you're
11		I think it's fair to say that it would be part	11		using a document, for instance, that would have
12		of the OLG modernization would be contained in the	12	1106	never seen the light of day.
13	1100	budget.	13	1106	Q. You're talking about
14	1102	Q. And so payments under SARP	14		A. I never saw this document, this
15		continue for 2012-2013. That's the one-year notice	15		exhibit, whichever one you put in front of me. So
1 16		period?	16		this would have been gone this would have
16		A. That's the one-year termination	17		circulated among mid- or lower-level officials.
17			18		They were they were kicking around
17 18		period, yes.			
17 18 19	1103	Q. And then beginning 2014, OLG	19		options to brief their bosses who, in turn,
17 18 19 20	1103	Q. And then beginning 2014, OLG returns funds to the consolidated revenue fund for	19 20		ultimately would come to the government for a
17 18 19 20 21	1103	Q. And then beginning 2014, OLG returns funds to the consolidated revenue fund for distribution by government to the industry via	19		ultimately would come to the government for a decision and ultimately the Cabinet minute, which
17 18 19 20	1103	Q. And then beginning 2014, OLG returns funds to the consolidated revenue fund for	19 20		ultimately would come to the government for a
17 18 19 20 21	1103	Q. And then beginning 2014, OLG returns funds to the consolidated revenue fund for distribution by government to the industry via	19 20 21		ultimately would come to the government for a decision and ultimately the Cabinet minute, which
17 18 19 20 21 22	1103	Q. And then beginning 2014, OLG returns funds to the consolidated revenue fund for distribution by government to the industry via transfer payments; right?	19 20 21 22		ultimately would come to the government for a decision and ultimately the Cabinet minute, which is silent on the issue of compensation would

		D000			D 004
1		Page 289 begun with the industry and others and ultimately,	1	1113	Page 291 Q. Fair enough. Let me show you the
2		as I recall, resulted in a commitment by our	2		final government deck put forward by OLG. It's
3		successor government in 2014 of a substantial	3		Exhibit B to the examination of Mr. Shortill. This
4		amount of money.	4		is now
5	1107	Q. So just to pick up on a comment	5		A. This does say "draft discussion
6	1107		-		-
		you made referring to that document, which I think	6	1114	document again. It's dated January 20th.
7		is Exhibit L on Mr. Shortill's examination.	7	1114	Q. Right. The cover email in Finance
8		A. Yes.	8		says "government deck final PowerPoint."
9	1108	Q. You say this proposed approach was	9		A. Yeah, but that's not what the deck
10		never seen by you and never saw the light of day?	10		says.
11		A. I said this document was never	11	1115	Q. I understand.
12		seen by me.	12		A. I don't know if this was the deck
13	1109	Q. Right. What about the proposed	13		that was actually attached to that email. The
14		approach?	14		document is marked "draft."
15		A. I don't recall because there	15	1116	Q. Mr. Duncan, I'm just going with
16		were you know, like, there were ongoing formal	16		what the government gave me.
17		discussions and informal discussions. All I recall	17		A. Well, this is what you gave me,
18		is that there was a very specific commitment to	18		and this is this document, I doubt, was attached
19		transition funding. How do we get it right?	19		to this. This says "final." This says "draft."
20		We felt it best to leave it until after	20	1117	Q. All right.
21		the budget for a variety of reasons, including to	21		A. So there's been a in my view,
22		have more in-depth discussions with the industry	22		looking at this, this is not a final document.
23		itself, the tracks, the horse people	23		MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, Mr. Duncan, it
24	1110	0. The breeders?	24		may not be a final document, but it was attached
25	1110	A the breeders and also the	25		because that's our document production.
25		A the breeders and also the	25		because that's our document production.
1		Page 290	1		Page 292
1		opposition. We were in a minority parliament.	1		THE WITNESS: Well, my experience with
2		So all of those factors, we made the	2		these things now is that there's a clear
3		decision that we would have to get much more input	3		inconsistency. This says "final." This says
4		to make sure we get it right.	4		"draft."
5		What I see in these documents I	5		BY MR. LISUS:
6		think what as I recall, just sort of the way the	6	1118	↑ 711 minh+ Wall rould rou
7		thinking evolved was that the compensation and	1 7		Q. All right. Well, would you
8		2	'		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the
I .		transition to a new model was complex for a variety	8		
9					confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the
9		transition to a new model was complex for a variety $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(1$	8		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version?
1		transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. $ \\$	8 9		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called
10		transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right	8 9 10		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor.
10 11	1111	transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially	8 9 10 11		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft.
10 11 12 13	1111	transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario. Q. And you were interested in taking	8 9 10 11 12		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft. THE WITNESS: With respect, in budget proceedings, there's a distinct difference between
10 11 12 13 14	1111	transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario. Q. And you were interested in taking all the steps necessary to get it right so you	8 9 10 11 12 13		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft. THE WITNESS: With respect, in budget
10 11 12 13 14 15	1111	transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario. Q. And you were interested in taking all the steps necessary to get it right so you would have a commercially viable, sustainable	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft. THE WITNESS: With respect, in budget proceedings, there's a distinct difference between final and draft. I'm sorry, but I don't accept this as a final version.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1111	transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario. Q. And you were interested in taking all the steps necessary to get it right so you would have a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario; right?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft. THE WITNESS: With respect, in budget proceedings, there's a distinct difference between final and draft. I'm sorry, but I don't accept this as a final version. U/T MS. LA HOREY: We will check our
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario. Q. And you were interested in taking all the steps necessary to get it right so you would have a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario; right? A. Yes.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft. THE WITNESS: With respect, in budget proceedings, there's a distinct difference between final and draft. I'm sorry, but I don't accept this as a final version. U/T MS. LA HOREY: We will check our records and let you know if that email was
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1111	transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario. Q. And you were interested in taking all the steps necessary to get it right so you would have a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario; right? A. Yes. Q. Would you have seen the final	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft. THE WITNESS: With respect, in budget proceedings, there's a distinct difference between final and draft. I'm sorry, but I don't accept this as a final version. U/T MS. LA HOREY: We will check our records and let you know if that email was attached.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario. Q. And you were interested in taking all the steps necessary to get it right so you would have a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario; right? A. Yes. Q. Would you have seen the final government deck containing the proposed	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft. THE WITNESS: With respect, in budget proceedings, there's a distinct difference between final and draft. I'm sorry, but I don't accept this as a final version. U/T MS. LA HOREY: We will check our records and let you know if that email was attached. THE WITNESS: I have no idea how people
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario. Q. And you were interested in taking all the steps necessary to get it right so you would have a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario; right? A. Yes. Q. Would you have seen the final government deck containing the proposed recommendations of OLG with respect to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft. THE WITNESS: With respect, in budget proceedings, there's a distinct difference between final and draft. I'm sorry, but I don't accept this as a final version. U/T MS. LA HOREY: We will check our records and let you know if that email was attached. THE WITNESS: I have no idea how people manage emails, but anything that says "draft"
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario. Q. And you were interested in taking all the steps necessary to get it right so you would have a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario; right? A. Yes. Q. Would you have seen the final government deck containing the proposed recommendations of OLG with respect to modernization and funding to the horse racing	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1112	confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft. THE WITNESS: With respect, in budget proceedings, there's a distinct difference between final and draft. I'm sorry, but I don't accept this as a final version. U/T MS. LA HOREY: We will check our records and let you know if that email was attached. THE WITNESS: I have no idea how people manage emails, but anything that says "draft" BY MR. LISUS:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario. Q. And you were interested in taking all the steps necessary to get it right so you would have a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario; right? A. Yes. Q. Would you have seen the final government deck containing the proposed recommendations of OLG with respect to modernization and funding to the horse racing industry?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1119	confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft. THE WITNESS: With respect, in budget proceedings, there's a distinct difference between final and draft. I'm sorry, but I don't accept this as a final version. U/T MS. LA HOREY: We will check our records and let you know if that email was attached. THE WITNESS: I have no idea how people manage emails, but anything that says "draft" BY MR. LISUS: Q. Mr. Duncan, we know that on
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario. Q. And you were interested in taking all the steps necessary to get it right so you would have a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario; right? A. Yes. Q. Would you have seen the final government deck containing the proposed recommendations of OLG with respect to modernization and funding to the horse racing industry? A. I would have seen their final	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1119	confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft. THE WITNESS: With respect, in budget proceedings, there's a distinct difference between final and draft. I'm sorry, but I don't accept this as a final version. U/T MS. LA HOREY: We will check our records and let you know if that email was attached. THE WITNESS: I have no idea how people manage emails, but anything that says "draft" BY MR. LISUS: Q. Mr. Duncan, we know that on January 28, 2012, Finance personnel are circulating
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		transition to a new model was complex for a variety of reasons. And we were interested to get it right because we were interested in having a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario. Q. And you were interested in taking all the steps necessary to get it right so you would have a commercially viable, sustainable industry in Ontario; right? A. Yes. Q. Would you have seen the final government deck containing the proposed recommendations of OLG with respect to modernization and funding to the horse racing industry?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1119	confirm for me, Ms. La Horey, that this is the final version? MS. LA HOREY: Well, it's called "final." That may be the distinguishing factor. What's called "final" may still be a draft. THE WITNESS: With respect, in budget proceedings, there's a distinct difference between final and draft. I'm sorry, but I don't accept this as a final version. U/T MS. LA HOREY: We will check our records and let you know if that email was attached. THE WITNESS: I have no idea how people manage emails, but anything that says "draft" BY MR. LISUS: Q. Mr. Duncan, we know that on

		Page 293			Page 295
1	1120	Q. To each other. Okay?	1		this now, I suspect this was done for presentation
2		A. Anyone else? Just curious.	2		by a senior official to me. Because there are
3	1121	Q. I don't know how I can answer more	3		talking points on the bottom of this.
4		than	4		BY MR. LISUS:
5		A. Well, you know if you know	5	1129	Q. What you're referring to is
6		who so it was only to Finance officials? Not to	6	1127	page
7		me?	7		A. 24.
8	1122		8	1130	O of Exhibit B on the examination
	1122	-	-	1130	of Mr. Shortill?
9		A. Am I I assume there's an email	9		V
10	1100	or some kind of distribution list.	10		MS. LA HOREY: Which is, for the
11	1123	Q. Sir, I'm taking from your answers	11		record, CRE0087520.
12		that you don't believe that you were included in	12		THE WITNESS: And, again, this so it
13		this deck, and that's fine.	13		looks to me what this document is is the draft
14		A. I didn't no, I said that. What	14		presentation that officials might give to me or to
15		I simply I didn't say that. What I said was	15		somebody higher.
16		this draft this says "draft."	16		This may I don't know again. It's
17	1124	Q. Sir, all I'm doing is establishing	17		hard to know who this is going to. I don't know
18		a time line. I'm not attributing anything to you.	18		who Tanya Watkins is. This may be going to the
19		I'm just establishing a time line.	19		ADM. It may be going eventually to the this
20		A. And that's not my concern. I just	20		the point here is that it looks to me like somebody
21		want to make sure in establishing that time line	21		is drafting up a proposed final presentation to
22		this is not viewed to be government policy.	22		somebody, and it's not clear who that goes to.
23		I don't know if we were briefed on the	23		BY MR. LISUS:
24		entirety of this. I don't see anybody in my office	24	1131	Q. Right. Take a look at Slide 27.
25		or in the Premier's office. I don't know who	25		A. So, yeah, clearly my colleagues
		Page 294			Page 296
1		Terry O. is.	1		haven't seen this yet.
2	1125	Q. Okay. Well, let's just agree that	12	1132	O Dight When you gay your
3					Q. Right. When you say your
ر		in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed	3		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet
4					colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues.
		in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed	3	1133	colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet
4		in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago.	3 4		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues.
4 5	1126	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document,	3 4 5		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that:
4 5 6	1126	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please?	3 4 5 6		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a
4 5 6 7	1126	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of	3 4 5 6 7		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry."
4 5 6 7 8	1126 1127	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill.	3 4 5 6 7 8		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean?
4 5 6 7 8 9		in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's	3 4 5 6 7 8		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is January 26.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is not a final document even though the email calls it
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is January 26. A. And there were no documents in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is not a final document even though the email calls it a final document.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1127	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is January 26. A. And there were no documents in between? That's a month, almost a month.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is not a final document even though the email calls it a final document. That's what I mean by I'm having
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is January 26. A. And there were no documents in between? That's a month, almost a month. Q. There's a draft in between on the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is not a final document even though the email calls it a final document. That's what I mean by I'm having difficulty with the way records are being put in
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1127	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is January 26. A. And there were no documents in between? That's a month, almost a month. Q. There's a draft in between on the 19th. Do you want me to do the prior draft? Maybe	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is not a final document even though the email calls it a final document. That's what I mean by I'm having difficulty with the way records are being put in front of me. This is clearly not a final document.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1127	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is January 26. A. And there were no documents in between? That's a month, almost a month. Q. There's a draft in between on the 19th. Do you want me to do the prior draft? Maybe I should do that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is not a final document even though the email calls it a final document. That's what I mean by I'm having difficulty with the way records are being put in front of me. This is clearly not a final document. It's clear that these what this tells me is that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1127	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is January 26. A. And there were no documents in between? That's a month, almost a month. Q. There's a draft in between on the 19th. Do you want me to do the prior draft? Maybe I should do that. A. No, no, I'm just trying to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is not a final document even though the email calls it a final document. That's what I mean by I'm having difficulty with the way records are being put in front of me. This is clearly not a final document. It's clear that these what this tells me is that there have been considerable discussions between
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1127	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is January 26. A. And there were no documents in between? That's a month, almost a month. Q. There's a draft in between on the 19th. Do you want me to do the prior draft? Maybe I should do that. A. No, no, I'm just trying to understand what the totality of documents are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is not a final document even though the email calls it a final document. That's what I mean by I'm having difficulty with the way records are being put in front of me. This is clearly not a final document. It's clear that these what this tells me is that there have been considerable discussions between Finance and OLG that likely these departments,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1127	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is January 26. A. And there were no documents in between? That's a month, almost a month. Q. There's a draft in between on the 19th. Do you want me to do the prior draft? Maybe I should do that. A. No, no, I'm just trying to understand what the totality of documents are because there are some it's hard to see	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is not a final document even though the email calls it a final document. That's what I mean by I'm having difficulty with the way records are being put in front of me. This is clearly not a final document. It's clear that these what this tells me is that there have been considerable discussions between Finance and OLG that likely these departments, ministries probably have not are not privy to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1127	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is January 26. A. And there were no documents in between? That's a month, almost a month. Q. There's a draft in between on the 19th. Do you want me to do the prior draft? Maybe I should do that. A. No, no, I'm just trying to understand what the totality of documents are because there are some it's hard to see because	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is not a final document even though the email calls it a final document. That's what I mean by I'm having difficulty with the way records are being put in front of me. This is clearly not a final document. It's clear that these what this tells me is that there have been considerable discussions between Finance and OLG that likely these departments, ministries probably have not are not privy to the most recent discussions.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1127	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is January 26. A. And there were no documents in between? That's a month, almost a month. Q. There's a draft in between on the 19th. Do you want me to do the prior draft? Maybe I should do that. A. No, no, I'm just trying to understand what the totality of documents are because there are some it's hard to see because MS. LA HOREY: Can you go to the bottom	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is not a final document even though the email calls it a final document. That's what I mean by I'm having difficulty with the way records are being put in front of me. This is clearly not a final document. It's clear that these what this tells me is that there have been considerable discussions between Finance and OLG that likely these departments, ministries probably have not are not privy to the most recent discussions. And likely as well, there would have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1127	in this deck on page 24, it had the proposed approach which we looked at a minute ago. A. Can I see the previous document, please? Q. Exhibit L to the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. So this is dated Q. The exhibit on Mr. Shortill's examination is December 28, the deck you have is dated January 20, and the cover email is January 26. A. And there were no documents in between? That's a month, almost a month. Q. There's a draft in between on the 19th. Do you want me to do the prior draft? Maybe I should do that. A. No, no, I'm just trying to understand what the totality of documents are because there are some it's hard to see because	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Colleagues, you're referring to your Cabinet A. Cabinet colleagues. Q. The Slide 27 says that: "There's a placeholder for a custom slide based on ministry." What do you understand that to mean? A. Probably the Ministry of Finance, Treasury Board, and perhaps the ministries that these ministers have responsibility for would be what this is what this says is clearly this is not a final document even though the email calls it a final document. That's what I mean by I'm having difficulty with the way records are being put in front of me. This is clearly not a final document. It's clear that these what this tells me is that there have been considerable discussions between Finance and OLG that likely these departments, ministries probably have not are not privy to the most recent discussions.

1		Page 297			Page 299
1		you, typically on budget cycle, the government	1		you read the letter up front.
2		settles on sort of the main themes of the budget in	2	1144	Q. What's the inconsistency?
3		late October, early November.	3		A. It says it talks about the
4		Senior officials in central agencies	4		final element in the plan. I'll let you read that.
5		are then tasked to begin to put together the	5		That's my point.
6		documentation we need.	6	1145	Q. What's the inconsistency?
7	1134	Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Duncan.	7		A. You can read it.
8		That's helpful to me.	8	1146	Q. Show me where it is.
9		You did ask whether or not there was a	9		A. No, you I just read it. I
10		prior version of this, and there is a prior	10		pointed out where it's at. You can have a look at
11		version, which was Exhibit 23 on the examination of	11		that.
12		Mr. Stransky and Exhibit 4 on the examination of	12	1147	Q. I'm not seeing where you pointed
13		Mr. Shortill. That's the week before.	13		out, Mr. Duncan.
14		MS. LA HOREY: This is CRE0028760.	14		A. Okay.
15		BY MR. LISUS:	15	1148	Q. What is the inconsistency you see
16	1125	Q. As of	16	1140	in the letter with Slide 24?
	1135	· ·	'		
17		A. Can I just finish reading this?	17		A. I'll leave that to you. Perhaps
18		Were you going to ask questions about this	18		you won't see it.
19		document?	19	1149	Q. Mr. Duncan, that's not the way
20	1136	Q. I'm going to ask you some general	20		this exercise works. You say there's an
21		questions.	21		inconsistency between the letter and Slide 24.
22		A. I would like to there is a	22		What's the inconsistency that you see?
23		letter here. It's a generic letter called	23		A. I'm going to have to review
24	1137	Q. What is that?	24		Slide 24 again just to make sure it's consistent
25		A. It's in your document.	25		with the other ones.
		Page 298	_		Page 300
1	1138	Q. No, what is a generic letter?	1		MS. LA HOREY: Why don't you give
2		A. I don't know. That's what it's	2		Mr. Duncan a minute to read the document.
3		called here. Draft confidential advice to	3		THE WITNESS: It will take more than a
4		minister, generic letter.	4		minute. This is how many dozens of pages.
5	1139	Q. Okay.	5		MS. LA HOREY: It was a figurative
6		A. It doesn't say who was going to	6		minute, not an actual minute.
7		sign this or who it's intended for.	7		BY MR. LISUS:
8	1140	Q. To the extent it matters, it	8	1150	Q. I just want to understand the
_		appears the draft generic letter is from minister	9		
9					inconsistency you pointed out to me, sir. That's
		Godfrey.	10		all.
10		Godfrey. A. He wasn't a minister.	10 11		
10 11	1141	-			all.
10 11 12	1141	A. He wasn't a minister.	11		all. A. The inconsistency
10 11 12 13	1141	A. He wasn't a minister. Q. Excuse me Paul Godfrey, Chairman	11 12		all. A. The inconsistency MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, why don't you both stop talking for a minute, and Mr. Duncan can
10 11 12 13 14	1141	A. He wasn't a minister. Q. Excuse me Paul Godfrey, Chairman Godfrey.	11 12 13		all. A. The inconsistency MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, why don't you both stop talking for a minute, and Mr. Duncan can read the letter and read the slide, and then we can
10 11 12 13 14 15	1141	A. He wasn't a minister. Q. Excuse me Paul Godfrey, Chairman Godfrey. A. I just highlight it to point out what I believe to be kind of the incompleteness of	11 12 13 14		all. A. The inconsistency MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, why don't you both stop talking for a minute, and Mr. Duncan can read the letter and read the slide, and then we can have a question.
10 11 12 13 14 15		A. He wasn't a minister. Q. Excuse me Paul Godfrey, Chairman Godfrey. A. I just highlight it to point out what I believe to be kind of the incompleteness of what I'm being asked to comment on.	11 12 13 14 15		all. A. The inconsistency MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, why don't you both stop talking for a minute, and Mr. Duncan can read the letter and read the slide, and then we can have a question. MR. LISUS: Well, he's already given
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1141	A. He wasn't a minister. Q. Excuse me Paul Godfrey, Chairman Godfrey. A. I just highlight it to point out what I believe to be kind of the incompleteness of what I'm being asked to comment on. Q. I haven't asked you to comment on	11 12 13 14 15 16 17		all. A. The inconsistency MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, why don't you both stop talking for a minute, and Mr. Duncan can read the letter and read the slide, and then we can have a question. MR. LISUS: Well, he's already given the evidence that he sees an inconsistency.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		A. He wasn't a minister. Q. Excuse me Paul Godfrey, Chairman Godfrey. A. I just highlight it to point out what I believe to be kind of the incompleteness of what I'm being asked to comment on. Q. I haven't asked you to comment on anything.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1151	all. A. The inconsistency MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, why don't you both stop talking for a minute, and Mr. Duncan can read the letter and read the slide, and then we can have a question. MR. LISUS: Well, he's already given the evidence that he sees an inconsistency. BY MR. LISUS:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		A. He wasn't a minister. Q. Excuse me Paul Godfrey, Chairman Godfrey. A. I just highlight it to point out what I believe to be kind of the incompleteness of what I'm being asked to comment on. Q. I haven't asked you to comment on anything. A. You handed me a document. Ask me	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1151	all. A. The inconsistency MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, why don't you both stop talking for a minute, and Mr. Duncan can read the letter and read the slide, and then we can have a question. MR. LISUS: Well, he's already given the evidence that he sees an inconsistency. BY MR. LISUS: Q. The only question is just show me
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		A. He wasn't a minister. Q. Excuse me Paul Godfrey, Chairman Godfrey. A. I just highlight it to point out what I believe to be kind of the incompleteness of what I'm being asked to comment on. Q. I haven't asked you to comment on anything. A. You handed me a document. Ask me what you like. I just I want to make that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1151	all. A. The inconsistency MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, why don't you both stop talking for a minute, and Mr. Duncan can read the letter and read the slide, and then we can have a question. MR. LISUS: Well, he's already given the evidence that he sees an inconsistency. BY MR. LISUS: Q. The only question is just show me where I can see the inconsistency. That's my only
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1142	A. He wasn't a minister. Q. Excuse me Paul Godfrey, Chairman Godfrey. A. I just highlight it to point out what I believe to be kind of the incompleteness of what I'm being asked to comment on. Q. I haven't asked you to comment on anything. A. You handed me a document. Ask me what you like. I just I want to make that point.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1151	all. A. The inconsistency MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, why don't you both stop talking for a minute, and Mr. Duncan can read the letter and read the slide, and then we can have a question. MR. LISUS: Well, he's already given the evidence that he sees an inconsistency. BY MR. LISUS: Q. The only question is just show me where I can see the inconsistency. That's my only question, sir.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		A. He wasn't a minister. Q. Excuse me Paul Godfrey, Chairman Godfrey. A. I just highlight it to point out what I believe to be kind of the incompleteness of what I'm being asked to comment on. Q. I haven't asked you to comment on anything. A. You handed me a document. Ask me what you like. I just I want to make that point. Q. My only point, sir, is that at	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1151	all. A. The inconsistency MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, why don't you both stop talking for a minute, and Mr. Duncan can read the letter and read the slide, and then we can have a question. MR. LISUS: Well, he's already given the evidence that he sees an inconsistency. BY MR. LISUS: Q. The only question is just show me where I can see the inconsistency. That's my only question, sir. MS. LA HOREY: Give him some time to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1142	A. He wasn't a minister. Q. Excuse me Paul Godfrey, Chairman Godfrey. A. I just highlight it to point out what I believe to be kind of the incompleteness of what I'm being asked to comment on. Q. I haven't asked you to comment on anything. A. You handed me a document. Ask me what you like. I just I want to make that point. Q. My only point, sir, is that at Slide 24, there is the proposed approach as we've	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1151	all. A. The inconsistency MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, why don't you both stop talking for a minute, and Mr. Duncan can read the letter and read the slide, and then we can have a question. MR. LISUS: Well, he's already given the evidence that he sees an inconsistency. BY MR. LISUS: Q. The only question is just show me where I can see the inconsistency. That's my only question, sir. MS. LA HOREY: Give him some time to look and answer your question. You're not being
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1142	A. He wasn't a minister. Q. Excuse me Paul Godfrey, Chairman Godfrey. A. I just highlight it to point out what I believe to be kind of the incompleteness of what I'm being asked to comment on. Q. I haven't asked you to comment on anything. A. You handed me a document. Ask me what you like. I just I want to make that point. Q. My only point, sir, is that at	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1151	all. A. The inconsistency MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, why don't you both stop talking for a minute, and Mr. Duncan can read the letter and read the slide, and then we can have a question. MR. LISUS: Well, he's already given the evidence that he sees an inconsistency. BY MR. LISUS: Q. The only question is just show me where I can see the inconsistency. That's my only question, sir. MS. LA HOREY: Give him some time to

ا۷۷ا	OIII I	DUNCAN on March 16, 2018			
1		Page 301 the government hasn't arrived at what it's going to	1		Page 303 letter, doesn't indicate doesn't concur that
2		do, and then this document has a very clear plan.	2		there's been a proposed agreement, which, in my
3		And it's all in the same document.	3		view, has been talked about on a number of
4			4		occasions.
		MR. LISUS: I just need you to show me	5	1171	
5		the word so I can follow along with you.	~	1161	Q. And that proposed agreement that's
6		MS. LA HOREY: We'll give you the	6		been talked about on a number of occasions is in
7		pages.	7		Slide 24?
8		THE WITNESS: Here, it's under it's	8		A. Yes.
9		in this letter, this draft confidential advice	9	1162	Q. All right.
10		generic letter. It's on page 4, review of horse	10		A. Under proposed approach. It's the
11		race funding.	11		one that you raised with me.
12		BY MR. LISUS:	12	1163	Q. All right. Thank you.
13	1152	Q. Slow down. Yes.	13		MR. LISUS: That's the next exhibit on
14		A. And it says:	14		Mr. Duncan's examination.
15		"I understand the Ministry of	15		MS. LA HOREY: We'll mark it as a
16		Finance"	16		lettered exhibit. He can't identify it.
17	1153	Q. Slowly. If you're going to read	17		MR. LISUS: I'm not going to quibble
18		it out loud	18		with you, but I
19		A. I apologize. The second	19		THE WITNESS: Well, it's important
20		paragraph, you can look at that, and then you can	20		because you're asking me to comment
21		compare it in your mind to what's outlined in	21		MR. LISUS: Sir, it doesn't matter.
22		this in the on page 24.	22		MS. LA HOREY: Mr. Duncan, I've already
23	1154	Q. Okay. What is the inconsistency,	23		indicated that we're going to mark it as a lettered
24	1134	g. oray. What is the inconsistency, sir?	24		exhibit.
25		A. Well, this which is a Finance	25		MR. ROSENBERG: Just to be clear, what
		Page 302			Page 304
1		document.	1		we're marking is Exhibit 4 on Mr. Shortill's
2	1155	Q. The letter?	2		examination?
3		A. OLG with the proposed approach	3		MR. LISUS: Exhibit 4 on Shortill, 23
4		has a proposed approach. This letter, in my view,	4		on Stransky.
5		there isn't an approach.	5		MR. MATTHEWS: Now A for
6	1156	Q. There is or isn't?	6		Identification.
7		A. There isn't an approach.	7		EXHIBIT NO. A for Identification:
8	1157	Q. It says there is not an approach?	8		CRE0028760 to CRE0028763.
9		A. That's what I see. It's clear	9		THE WITNESS: I'd like a break, please.
10		from that letter that they don't it's saying	10		MS. LA HOREY: Sure.
11		basically that a decision hasn't been taken. I see	11		Recess at 9:57 a.m
12		that as inconsistent because this has been subject	12		Upon resuming at 10:08 a.m
13		now of three discussions. It stayed in here.	13		BY MR. LISUS:
14	1158	Q. You're indicating Slide 24?	14	1164	Q. In the January 2012 time period
1	1100	A. Yes.	15	TTOI	where these various decks were circulating and
		n. 100.			proposed approaches being discussed, did you
15	1150	O Okazz And an it is been the			
16	1159	Q. Okay. And so it's been the	16		
16 17	1159	subject of three discussions in the proposed	17		discuss any of them with Mr. Seiling?
16 17 18	1159	subject of three discussions in the proposed A. No, it's been the subject of three	17 18	44	discuss any of them with Mr. Seiling? A. I don't remember.
16 17 18 19	1159	subject of three discussions in the proposed A. No, it's been the subject of three notes at least that you've shown me on separate	17 18 19	1165	discuss any of them with Mr. Seiling? A. I don't remember. Q. And I want to show you Exhibit C
16 17 18 19 20	1159	subject of three discussions in the proposed A. No, it's been the subject of three	17 18 19 20	1165	discuss any of them with Mr. Seiling? A. I don't remember. Q. And I want to show you Exhibit C on the examination of Mr. Shortill. Are you aware,
16 17 18 19	1159	subject of three discussions in the proposed A. No, it's been the subject of three notes at least that you've shown me on separate	17 18 19	1165	discuss any of them with Mr. Seiling? A. I don't remember. Q. And I want to show you Exhibit C
16 17 18 19 20	1159	subject of three discussions in the proposed A. No, it's been the subject of three notes at least that you've shown me on separate dates over a period of a month, and it has stayed	17 18 19 20	1165	discuss any of them with Mr. Seiling? A. I don't remember. Q. And I want to show you Exhibit C on the examination of Mr. Shortill. Are you aware,
16 17 18 19 20 21		subject of three discussions in the proposed A. No, it's been the subject of three notes at least that you've shown me on separate dates over a period of a month, and it has stayed in there.	17 18 19 20 21	1165	discuss any of them with Mr. Seiling? A. I don't remember. Q. And I want to show you Exhibit C on the examination of Mr. Shortill. Are you aware, sir, whether anyone on your staff discussed the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		subject of three discussions in the proposed A. No, it's been the subject of three notes at least that you've shown me on separate dates over a period of a month, and it has stayed in there. Q. And what's the significance of	17 18 19 20 21 22	1165	discuss any of them with Mr. Seiling? A. I don't remember. Q. And I want to show you Exhibit C on the examination of Mr. Shortill. Are you aware, sir, whether anyone on your staff discussed the proposed approach with OMAFRA prior to the Cabinet

		DONOAN OITMAICH 10, 2016			
1		Page 305 Mr. Linley in OMAFRA to Mr. Stransky and	1		Page 307 relevant to OMAFRA were eliminating Slots at
2		Mr. Keegan. It's an email from Mr. Linley to	2		Racetrack Program that currently transfers
3		Mr. Stransky and Mr. Keegan which Mr. Stransky then	3		approximately 345 million to the horse racing
4		forwards on. And it's an information note from	4		industry in
5		OMAFRA dated January 27, 2012.	5		MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, Mr. Lisus, where
6		MS. LA HOREY: While the witness is	6		are you reading from? I'm not following.
7		reading it, let me put in a CRE number for the	7		MR. LISUS: The first page.
		2 .			1 0
8		record. CRE0029902 and following.	8		MS. LA HOREY: Let us pick it up.
9	1160	BY MR. LISUS:	9		Okay. We got it.
10	1167	Q. Now, you	10	1100	BY MR. LISUS:
11		A. I haven't finished reading it. So	11	1173	Q. "In favour of a new transfer
12		I'm so this is actually the note that Blair	12		payment program kept at 100 million
13		would have sent to Linley. This is not an OMAFRA	13		to support the industry in
14		note.	14		Ontario."
15	1168	Q. It is an OMAFRA note. It's from	15		And that's the proposed approach we've seen in the
16		Thom Hagerty with input from Ramona Cameletti,	16		previous decks; correct?
17		David	17		A. No. My recollection wasn't it
18		A. And these are all because	18		500 million? There was different numbers than
19		then yeah, Guelph. So they've obviously been	19		this. Am I right or wrong? I don't have them in
20		provided with a lot of the ministry with I	20		front of me, and I've had three decks of about 30
21		mean, this is more detail than those minor-level	21		pages each.
22		documents you had me look at earlier, a lot more	22	1174	Q. All of which have the same thing.
23		detail. So it tells me that those earlier	23		A. No, I don't believe so.
24		documents were really just way behind what was	24	1175	Q. In any event, what OMAFRA
25		actually happening.	25		A. No, not in any event.
		Page 306			Page 308
1		See the date on this? It's four	1		MS. LA HOREY: Hang on. If you want
2		days well, actually it's dated the day before	2		him to agree that it's the same thing, then give
3		that last draft document, and so they must have	3		them to the witness. If you want the documents and
4		been provided and kept this information.	4		you'll make your arguments with the documents, then
5	1169	0. Right. And the information that	5		you can do that, but don't put a proposition to the
6		they were provided, as reflected in the notes, was:	6		witness, say it's the same thing, and then in any
7		"The elimination of SARP in	7		event. Okay?
8		favour of a new transfer payment	8		BY MR. LISUS:
9		program to support the horse racing	9	1176	Q. What OMAFRA
10		industry will shift the sector to a	10	1170	A. I just want to be clear. It's not
11		more market-based approach and is	11		the same thing, from my perspective. Very
12		expected to require this industry to	12		different.
13			13	1177	
14		transition to a financially sustainable size."	14	TT / /	Q. What OMAFRA was briefed on was eliminating the Slots at Racetrack Program in
1					
15		Right?	15		favour of a new transfer payment program capped at
16	1170	A. Yes.	16		100 million to support the industry in Ontario;
17	1170	Q. What OMAFRA was briefed on was:	17		right?
18		"Transition to the lower	18		MS. LA HOREY: You're reading from
19		funding level will be phased in over	19		paragraph 1 again.
20		a three-year period."	20		THE WITNESS: You're reading what a
21		Right?	21		briefing note says.
22		A. Mm-hm.	22		MS. LA HOREY: It's an information
23	1171	Q. Yes?	23		note.
24		A. Yes.	24		THE WITNESS: It's an information note.
25	1172	Q. And that the specific aspects	25		MR. ROSENBERG: Didn't you say this was
1			1		

1		Page 309	1	1101	Page 311
1 2		an OMAFRA document?	1 2	1181	Q. It says: "Ministry of Finance is
3		MS. LA HOREY: Sorry? MR. ROSENBERG: You say OMFRA was	3		scheduled"
3 4		briefed. This is, as I understand it, an OMAFRA	4		MS. LA HOREY: Where are you now?
5		briefing.	5		BY MR. LISUS:
6			6	1182	
		THE WITNESS: Yeah, this this I	'	1102	Q. "Ministry of Finance is
7		don't get this. I mean	7		scheduled"
8		MS. LA HOREY: The witness hasn't seen	8	1100	A. I'm sorry. Which page?
9		the document. It's some slots from OMAFRA. It's	9	1183	Q. First page. I'll walk through the
10		prepared from somebody who's got a 519 area code,	10		document with you.
11		which is well, it's an OMAFRA number.	11		" is scheduled at the jobs
12	1150	BY MR. LISUS:	12		and economic policy committee on
13	1178	Q. Let's see if I can help you.	13		February 7."
L4		"Purpose of note: To outline	14		Did you go to the jobs and economic policy
15		the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture,	15		committee on February 7?
16		Food and Rural Affairs proposed	16		R/F MS. LA HOREY: A refusal. That's a
17		response to a proposal that would	17		Cabinet committee, and I've said that we're not
18		redistribute the location of slot	18		answering questions about who attended at Cabinet
19		machines among municipalities and	19		committees or Cabinet meetings and what was said.
20		reduce financial support for the	20		MR. LISUS: Okay.
21		horse racing industry."	21		BY MR. LISUS:
22		Right?	22	1184	Q. And do you see where it the
23		A. And this is dated January 27th,	23		next bullet is:
24		2012, from OMAFRA. The last one you showed me, I	24		"The proposal includes a number
25		think, was dated January 28th, which was way behind	25		of changes that will allow the OLG
		citility was acces canacity bearing was way bentila	25		of charges that will allow the obs
		Page 310	23		Page 31
1		Page 310 what's in here.	1		Page 31 to maximize revenue by better
1 2		Page 310 what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets			Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban
1		Page 310 what's in here.	1		Page 31 to maximize revenue by better
1 2 3 4		Page 310 what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets	1 2		Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban
1 2 3 4 5	1179	what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan	1 2 3		Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6	1179	what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them.	1 2 3 4	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan?
1 2 3 4 5	1179	Page 310 what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here.	1 2 3 4 5	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6	1179	Page 310 what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from	1 2 3 4 5 6	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		Page 310 what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here.	1 2 3 4 5 6	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Page 310 what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Page 310 what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		Page 310 what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of Finance. It's Ministry of Finance Cabinet	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I don't want to comment on what was in the Cabinet
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of Finance. It's Ministry of Finance Cabinet submission that would redistribute the location of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I don't want to comment on what was in the Cabinet document.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of Finance. It's Ministry of Finance Cabinet submission that would redistribute the location of slot machines among municipalities and reduce	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I don't want to comment on what was in the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Just for your
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of Finance. It's Ministry of Finance Cabinet submission that would redistribute the location of slot machines among municipalities and reduce financial support for the horse racing industry.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I don't want to comment on what was in the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Just for your information, Mr. Duncan, we have produced the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of Finance. It's Ministry of Finance Cabinet submission that would redistribute the location of slot machines among municipalities and reduce financial support for the horse racing industry. MS. LA HOREY: Are you reading from it?	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I don't want to comment on what was in the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Just for your information, Mr. Duncan, we have produced the Cabinet document subject to redactions.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of Finance. It's Ministry of Finance Cabinet submission that would redistribute the location of slot machines among municipalities and reduce financial support for the horse racing industry. MS. LA HOREY: Are you reading from it? MR. LISUS: Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I don't want to comment on what was in the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Just for your information, Mr. Duncan, we have produced the Cabinet document subject to redactions. THE WITNESS: There were this is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of Finance. It's Ministry of Finance Cabinet submission that would redistribute the location of slot machines among municipalities and reduce financial support for the horse racing industry. MS. LA HOREY: Are you reading from it? MR. LISUS: Yes. MS. LA HOREY: Can you tell us what	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1185	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I don't want to comment on what was in the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Just for your information, Mr. Duncan, we have produced the Cabinet document subject to redactions. THE WITNESS: There were this is part of it.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of Finance. It's Ministry of Finance Cabinet submission that would redistribute the location of slot machines among municipalities and reduce financial support for the horse racing industry. MS. LA HOREY: Are you reading from it? MR. LISUS: Yes. MS. LA HOREY: Can you tell us what you're reading from, what paragraph or what page?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		The Witness: Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I don't want to comment on what was in the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Just for your information, Mr. Duncan, we have produced the Cabinet document subject to redactions. THE WITNESS: There were this is part of it. BY MR. LISUS:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of Finance. It's Ministry of Finance Cabinet submission that would redistribute the location of slot machines among municipalities and reduce financial support for the horse racing industry. MS. LA HOREY: Are you reading from it? MR. LISUS: Yes. MS. LA HOREY: Can you tell us what you're reading from, what paragraph or what page? MR. LISUS: Purpose of note, first	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I don't want to comment on what was in the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Just for your information, Mr. Duncan, we have produced the Cabinet document subject to redactions. THE WITNESS: There were this is part of it. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Okay. And OMAFRA here is giving
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		What's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of Finance. It's Ministry of Finance Cabinet submission that would redistribute the location of slot machines among municipalities and reduce financial support for the horse racing industry. MS. LA HOREY: Are you reading from it? MR. LISUS: Yes. MS. LA HOREY: Can you tell us what you're reading from, what paragraph or what page? MR. LISUS: Purpose of note, first page.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		The Witness: There were this is part of it. By MR. LISUS: Q. Okay. And OMAFRA here is giving its feedback on specific aspects that may be tester serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I don't want to comment on what was in the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Just for your information, Mr. Duncan, we have produced the Cabinet document subject to redactions. THE WITNESS: There were this is part of it. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Okay. And OMAFRA here is giving its feedback on specific aspects that may be
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of Finance. It's Ministry of Finance Cabinet submission that would redistribute the location of slot machines among municipalities and reduce financial support for the horse racing industry. MS. LA HOREY: Are you reading from it? MR. LISUS: Yes. MS. LA HOREY: Can you tell us what you're reading from, what paragraph or what page? MR. LISUS: Purpose of note, first page. MS. LA HOREY: Okay. You read that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I don't want to comment on what was in the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Just for your information, Mr. Duncan, we have produced the Cabinet document subject to redactions. THE WITNESS: There were this is part of it. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Okay. And OMAFRA here is giving its feedback on specific aspects that may be relevant to OMAFRA; right?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		what's in here. I'm just saying there's different sets of data going around, and I'm not having the opportunity to look at all of them. Q. Well, Mr. Duncan A. I'd like to see the note from Finance that went here. Q. All I'm suggesting to you, sir, is that with this document, we are seeing OMAFRA's response to a proposal from the Ministry of Finance. It's Ministry of Finance Cabinet submission that would redistribute the location of slot machines among municipalities and reduce financial support for the horse racing industry. MS. LA HOREY: Are you reading from it? MR. LISUS: Yes. MS. LA HOREY: Can you tell us what you're reading from, what paragraph or what page? MR. LISUS: Purpose of note, first page. MS. LA HOREY: Okay. You read that. You're flipping back and forth between pages. I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1186	Page 31 to maximize revenue by better serving untapped demand in urban areas." Do you see that, Mr. Duncan? A. Yes. Q. That is what was under discussion and consideration by your government at the time; correct? A. Well, it says very clearly, includes a number of changes. So this is I don't want to comment on what was in the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Just for your information, Mr. Duncan, we have produced the Cabinet document subject to redactions. THE WITNESS: There were this is part of it. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Okay. And OMAFRA here is giving its feedback on specific aspects that may be relevant to OMAFRA; right? A. Appears to be, yes.

		Page 313			Page 315
1		eliminating the Slots at Racetrack	1		agricultural sector."
2		Program that currently transfers	2		Right?
3		approximately 345 million to the	3		A. That is in this document.
4		horse racing industry in favour of a	4	1194	Q. Did you understand that to be a
5		new transfer payment program capped	5		consequence of the decision that was being
6		at 100 million to support the	6		considered?
7		industry in Ontario."	7		A. That's an incomplete, as is the
8		Right?	8		previous point.
9		A. That's what this document says,	9	1195	Q. Okay. And you're telling me it's
10		yes.	10		incomplete because as you've explained, it was
11	1188	Q. If we look at page 3 of the	11		always the intention of government to have some
12		document at the top, it says:	12		let me just finish the question some form of
13		"The transition to the lower	13		transition funding. The question was when. Is
14		funding level will be phased in over	14		that why it's incomplete?
15		a three-year period."	15		A. In part. It overall provided, as
16		Do you see that?	16		you saw I think it's in this note. Certainly
17		A. I also see yes.	17		there's earlier notes that the estimates from
18		"As no design currently exists	18		OLG, that net revenue to OLG would increase by over
19		for the proposed transfer payment	19		a billion dollars, and that would free up more
20		program, it's difficult to predict	20		funding for everything from horse racing. That's
21		what the impact may be on the	21		clearly mentioned in earlier notes that you put on
22		sector."	22		the record. So those are, at best, incomplete.
23	1189	Q. Do you agree with that?	23	1196	0. Did Mr
24	1107	A. I don't know. I don't remember.	24	1100	A. And the other point I should make,
25	1190		25		the government did again, it's noted later in
23	1190	Q. Okay. And the fourth point is:	23		the government did again, it is noted later in
1		Page 314 "It is likely that the	1		Page 316 the note continue the benefit of the Pari-Mutuel
2		standardbred racing facilities will	2		Tax Reduction.
3		-	3	1197	
3 4		be the most significantly downsized as a number of small tracks are	-	1197	~
		*** **	4	1100	A. Yes.
5		highly dependent on the SARP funding	5	1198	Q. Did Mr. Shortill tell you that
6		from slots."	6		or Mr. Stransky tell you that they had received
7	1101	A. I'm sorry. Your question?	7		OMAFRA's views on the proposed approach of
8	1191	Q. You understood that too?	8		\$100 million annual transfer payment phased in over
9		A. Yes.	9		a three-year period?
10	1192	Q. Okay. And you also understood the	10		A. I don't recall.
11		point that OMAFRA was making, the next two bullets	11		MR. LISUS: That's the next exhibit.
12		down well, the next bullet:	12		MS. LA HOREY: It's a lettered exhibit.
13		"Wagering on horse racing has	13		Is that D?
14		been in decline, and it is likely	14		MR. MATTHEWS: B.
15		that the loss in revenue will reduce	15		EXHIBIT NO. B for Identification:
16		purse sizes to the point where	16		CRE0029902 and CRE0029903.
17		continued operation of some tracks	17		BY MR. LISUS:
		will become unsustainable."	18	1199	Q. So this is now February the 2nd,
18		You knew that that was a consequence of any change	19		2012, as you've seen from the email sequence. And
		1 1 3	00		do you recall being at a meeting with Mr. McGuinty
18		that would	20		
18 19			21		on February 2nd and discussing the question of
18 19 20	1193	that would			on February 2nd and discussing the question of termination of revenue share from Slots at
18 19 20 21	1193	that would A. Yes.	21		
18 19 20 21 22	1193	that would A. Yes. Q. And it says:	21 22		termination of revenue share from Slots at

		DUNCAN on March 16, 2018			Dog 210
1		Page 317 of preparing yourself to give evidence today,	1		Page 319 number?
2		you've seen the email sequence in February 2 and 3	2		MR. LISUS: CRE12973.
3		in which go to zero for horse racing is discussed?	3		BY MR. LISUS:
4		A. I have.	4	1211	Q. You are not, Mr. Duncan, anywhere
5	1201	Q. You don't recall those meetings?	5		on this email?
6		A. I don't recall those meetings.	6		A. I read backwards; right?
7	1202	Q. Were you in them, or do you not	7	1212	Q. Yeah, you read backwards.
8		recall?	8		A. Okay.
9		A. I don't recall.	9	1213	Q. Starts on a Saturday.
10	1203	Q. Okay. So you obviously can't tell	10		MS. LA HOREY: Right here.
11		me anything about how that decision was made	11		THE WITNESS: Okay.
12		because, as you say, you don't have a recollection?	12		BY MR. LISUS:
13		A. Well, I know the notes I read	13	1214	Q. Now, you weren't included in any
14		were, you know, snippets out of long discussions.	14	1211	of these emails?
15	1204	Q. All right. And you wouldn't have	15		A. No.
16	1201	kept notes of any of	16	1215	Q. And what it
17		A. No.	17	1213	A. Well, let me I'm not on the
18	1205		18		email. Whether or not I was called or involved, I
	1205	Q. Now, February 2nd was a Thursday			•
19		in 2012. February the 3rd was, therefore, a	19	1216	don't recall.
20 21		Friday.	20	1210	Q. What appears to be happening, sir,
		A. Friday usually comes after			if I'm understanding this correctly, is that the
22	1206	Thursday.	22		senior officials in your office and the Premier's
23 24	1206	Q. In my experience.	23		office and Cabinet office are preparing the
		Do you recall, sir, working over the	24		materials that are to go before Cabinet. Does
25		weekend of February 4, 5 in advance of appearing	25		that
		Page 318			Page 320
1		before a subcommittee of Cabinet on February 7?	1		A. It appears to me that they're
2		A. I don't recall that, but typically	2	1015	cleaning them up, finalizing them.
3		at that time of year, that is you're down to	3	1217	Q. Fair enough. There is a working
4		you can see decisions are being finalized on the	4		draft already?
5		budget. So typically over the seven budgets that I	5		A. Yes.
6		did, typically you're working weekends.	6	1218	Q. And they're cleaning them up to
7	1207	Q. Okay. With your staff?	7		reflect decisions having been made about them?
8		A. With my staff and most and	8		A. Mm-hm.
9		oftentimes with senior officials.	9	1219	Q. You have to say "yes."
10	1208	Q. Right. And so if I look at the	10		A. Yes.
		omails around that poriod Caturday Fobruary /	11	1220	Q. And so, for instance, on Saturday,
11		emails around that, period Saturday, February 4,			
11 12		you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene	12		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended
11 12 13		you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene is, among others. Are those the kinds of senior	13		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended changes and says:
11 12 13 14		you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene	13 14		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended changes and says: "The Cabinet deck on page 1
11 12 13 14 15		you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene is, among others. Are those the kinds of senior officials A. Yes.	13 14 15		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended changes and says: "The Cabinet deck on page 1 should speak to subsidies to the
11 12 13 14 15 16	1209	you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene is, among others. Are those the kinds of senior officials A. Yes. Q. And we've seen that Mr. Shortill	13 14 15 16		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended changes and says: "The Cabinet deck on page 1 should speak to subsidies to the horse racing industries being
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1209	you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene is, among others. Are those the kinds of senior officials A. Yes. Q. And we've seen that Mr. Shortill now appears to have been quite extensively involved	13 14 15 16 17		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended changes and says: "The Cabinet deck on page 1 should speak to subsidies to the horse racing industries being eliminated, not reduced."
11 12 13 14 15 16	1209	you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene is, among others. Are those the kinds of senior officials A. Yes. Q. And we've seen that Mr. Shortill	13 14 15 16 17 18		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended changes and says: "The Cabinet deck on page 1 should speak to subsidies to the horse racing industries being
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1209	you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene is, among others. Are those the kinds of senior officials A. Yes. Q. And we've seen that Mr. Shortill now appears to have been quite extensively involved	13 14 15 16 17		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended changes and says: "The Cabinet deck on page 1 should speak to subsidies to the horse racing industries being eliminated, not reduced."
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1209	you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene is, among others. Are those the kinds of senior officials A. Yes. Q. And we've seen that Mr. Shortill now appears to have been quite extensively involved in the horse racing issue for the purposes of the	13 14 15 16 17 18		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended changes and says: "The Cabinet deck on page 1 should speak to subsidies to the horse racing industries being eliminated, not reduced." Right?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1209	you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene is, among others. Are those the kinds of senior officials A. Yes. Q. And we've seen that Mr. Shortill now appears to have been quite extensively involved in the horse racing issue for the purposes of the budget. Is that your recollection?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended changes and says: "The Cabinet deck on page 1 should speak to subsidies to the horse racing industries being eliminated, not reduced." Right? A. He's speaking to the terminology
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene is, among others. Are those the kinds of senior officials A. Yes. Q. And we've seen that Mr. Shortill now appears to have been quite extensively involved in the horse racing issue for the purposes of the budget. Is that your recollection? A. Absolutely.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended changes and says: "The Cabinet deck on page 1 should speak to subsidies to the horse racing industries being eliminated, not reduced." Right? A. He's speaking to the terminology used. I'm not sure what the policy he's referring
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene is, among others. Are those the kinds of senior officials A. Yes. Q. And we've seen that Mr. Shortill now appears to have been quite extensively involved in the horse racing issue for the purposes of the budget. Is that your recollection? A. Absolutely. Q. And I want to just ask you to look	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended changes and says: "The Cabinet deck on page 1 should speak to subsidies to the horse racing industries being eliminated, not reduced." Right? A. He's speaking to the terminology used. I'm not sure what the policy he's referring to is. So this is like it's language that
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		you are not on them, but Mr. Shortill is, Dave Gene is, among others. Are those the kinds of senior officials A. Yes. Q. And we've seen that Mr. Shortill now appears to have been quite extensively involved in the horse racing issue for the purposes of the budget. Is that your recollection? A. Absolutely. Q. And I want to just ask you to look with me, sir, to see if you can help me out, at an	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		February 4, Mr. Bardeesy is giving his recommended changes and says: "The Cabinet deck on page 1 should speak to subsidies to the horse racing industries being eliminated, not reduced." Right? A. He's speaking to the terminology used. I'm not sure what the policy he's referring to is. So this is like it's language that they're I don't I haven't got the document

		Page 321			Page 323
1	1221	Q. Well, the document, as I	1		R/F MS. LA HOREY: Same answer. It's a
2		understand the email and the record that was in	2		refusal.
3		existence at the time, had the three-year phase-out	3		BY MR. LISUS:
4		and \$100 million a year transfer payment. And	4	1228	Q. Leaving aside what you said or
5		Mr. Bardeesy is saying correct it so that it	5		others said at the Cabinet meetings, sir, I presume
6		A. I don't know. I don't have that	6		from the documents that I've seen that it's
7		document.	7		standard practice to prepare for the minister
8	1222	O. Let's look at the email.	8		speaking notes to present to Cabinet?
9		A. I don't think the email contains	9		A. Typically, yes.
10		the substance, information that I would need to see	10	1229	Q. And would those have been prepared
11		in order to answer your question.	11	1227	by Mr. Shortill and Mr. Stransky?
12	1223	Q. Mr. Bardeesy says:	12		A. They would my recollection is
13	1223	"After stakeholder	13		typically they'd be prepared by senior officials.
14		considerations should go a slide	14		Then in my office's case, Tim and Blair would
15		that includes additional elements			
			15		review them, make changes to them as they felt
16		that were considered and rejected,	16	1020	necessary.
17		specifically lottery-style products	17	1230	Q. Okay. You said Tim. That's
18		in the hospitality sector,	18		Tim Shortill?
19		self-serve lottery machines, extra	19		A. Tim Shortill.
20		casinos in the GTA area, maintaining	20	1231	Q. And I'm going to ask you to look
21		a Slots at Racetrack Program subsidy	21		at another exhibit which is J on the examination of
22		at 100 million."	22		Mr. Orsini, which is another email sequence that
23		A. I'm sorry. Where? You lost me.	23		you are not on.
24		Yes, I see that.	24		MS. LA HOREY: Doc ID number?
25	1224	Q. So the Cabinet document that	25		MR. LISUS: CRE361002.
		Page 322			Page 324
1		existed had the program subsidy at \$100 million as	1		THE WITNESS: Yes, I've read the
2		an annual transfer payment that we've seen in our	2		document.
3		discussion of the prior documents?	3		BY MR. LISUS:
4		A. I don't know. I can only see what	4	1232	Q. This, again, refers to a meeting
5		Mr. Bardeesy said in his email.	5		that Mr. Bardeesy appears to have been in with
6	1225	Q. Okay. And he also says in his	6		Mr. McGuinty. I take it you don't recall being in
7		email:	7		that meeting on February 3rd?
8		"I think Minister Duncan is	8		A. I do not.
9		still working on how best to	9	1233	Q. And Mr. Goodwin, at the top of the
10					Q. And Mr. Goodwin, at the top of the
ΤÜ		position this, but my understanding	10		g. And Mr. Goodwin, at the top of the sequence, reports:
		position this, but my understanding is he wants to say that these were	10 11		-
11					sequence, reports:
10 11 12 13		is he wants to say that these were	11		sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning
11 12		is he wants to say that these were considered, then rejected, rather	11 12		sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning changing to a complete exit from
11 12 13		is he wants to say that these were considered, then rejected, rather than giving Cabinet the chance to do	11 12 13		sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning changing to a complete exit from program support in April 2013, just
11 12 13 14 15		is he wants to say that these were considered, then rejected, rather than giving Cabinet the chance to do the stripping out itself."	11 12 13 14		sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning changing to a complete exit from program support in April 2013, just the notice period and out."
11 12 13 14 15		is he wants to say that these were considered, then rejected, rather than giving Cabinet the chance to do the stripping out itself." Do you recall you having any thoughts like that or	11 12 13 14 15	1234	sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning changing to a complete exit from program support in April 2013, just the notice period and out." Do you see that? A. I do.
11 12 13 14 15	1226	is he wants to say that these were considered, then rejected, rather than giving Cabinet the chance to do the stripping out itself." Do you recall you having any thoughts like that or discussions like that with anyone? A. I don't recall.	11 12 13 14 15 16		sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning changing to a complete exit from program support in April 2013, just the notice period and out." Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you recall there being a
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1226	is he wants to say that these were considered, then rejected, rather than giving Cabinet the chance to do the stripping out itself." Do you recall you having any thoughts like that or discussions like that with anyone? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Were you before I ask	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning changing to a complete exit from program support in April 2013, just the notice period and out." Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you recall there being a discussion and a decision having been taken that
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1226	is he wants to say that these were considered, then rejected, rather than giving Cabinet the chance to do the stripping out itself." Do you recall you having any thoughts like that or discussions like that with anyone? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Were you before I ask you that, you were at Cabinet February 8; right?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning changing to a complete exit from program support in April 2013, just the notice period and out." Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you recall there being a discussion and a decision having been taken that there would be a complete exit from program support
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1226	is he wants to say that these were considered, then rejected, rather than giving Cabinet the chance to do the stripping out itself." Do you recall you having any thoughts like that or discussions like that with anyone? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Were you before I ask you that, you were at Cabinet February 8; right? R/F MS. LA HOREY: I've taken the position	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning changing to a complete exit from program support in April 2013, just the notice period and out." Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you recall there being a discussion and a decision having been taken that there would be a complete exit from program support effective April 2013?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1226	is he wants to say that these were considered, then rejected, rather than giving Cabinet the chance to do the stripping out itself." Do you recall you having any thoughts like that or discussions like that with anyone? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Were you before I ask you that, you were at Cabinet February 8; right? R/F MS. LA HOREY: I've taken the position that we're not going to answer who's at the Cabinet	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning changing to a complete exit from program support in April 2013, just the notice period and out." Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you recall there being a discussion and a decision having been taken that there would be a complete exit from program support effective April 2013? A. Well, there were policy and
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1226	is he wants to say that these were considered, then rejected, rather than giving Cabinet the chance to do the stripping out itself." Do you recall you having any thoughts like that or discussions like that with anyone? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Were you before I ask you that, you were at Cabinet February 8; right? R/F MS. LA HOREY: I've taken the position that we're not going to answer who's at the Cabinet meeting or what was said.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning changing to a complete exit from program support in April 2013, just the notice period and out." Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you recall there being a discussion and a decision having been taken that there would be a complete exit from program support effective April 2013? A. Well, there were policy and communication streams, so this simply says that
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		is he wants to say that these were considered, then rejected, rather than giving Cabinet the chance to do the stripping out itself." Do you recall you having any thoughts like that or discussions like that with anyone? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Were you before I ask you that, you were at Cabinet February 8; right? R/F MS. LA HOREY: I've taken the position that we're not going to answer who's at the Cabinet meeting or what was said. BY MR. LISUS:	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning changing to a complete exit from program support in April 2013, just the notice period and out." Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you recall there being a discussion and a decision having been taken that there would be a complete exit from program support effective April 2013? A. Well, there were policy and communication streams, so this simply says that from a communication's perspective, that we
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1226	is he wants to say that these were considered, then rejected, rather than giving Cabinet the chance to do the stripping out itself." Do you recall you having any thoughts like that or discussions like that with anyone? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Were you before I ask you that, you were at Cabinet February 8; right? R/F MS. LA HOREY: I've taken the position that we're not going to answer who's at the Cabinet meeting or what was said.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		sequence, reports: "And horse racing positioning changing to a complete exit from program support in April 2013, just the notice period and out." Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you recall there being a discussion and a decision having been taken that there would be a complete exit from program support effective April 2013? A. Well, there were policy and communication streams, so this simply says that

		Page 325			Page 327
1		A. Other than the termination of the	1	1244	Q. Yes.
2		Slots at Racetrack Program.	2		A. Okay.
3	1236	Q. Right. So all that would be	3	1245	Q. What I am seeing here, sir, is the
4		signalled in the budget would be the termination	4		close involvement of senior staff, in particular
5		and nothing about what would be done after?	5		your chief, Mr. Shortill.
6		A. That's correct.	6		A. Yes.
7	1237	Q. Okay. And you recall that	7	1246	Q. And they seem to be circulating
8		decision having been made?	8		revised minister's speaking points. That would
9		A. Yes.	9		be you would be the minister; right?
10	1238	Q. Okay. But you don't recall	10		A. Yes.
11		exactly when or by who?	11	1247	Q. And additional Qs and As?
12		A. No, you can see by this email	12		A. Yes.
13		what's instructive about this email is that it was	13	1248	Q. And a background chart on how we
14		a very dynamic process going into initially the	14		determine the horse racing full-time equivalent
15		Cabinet committee.	15		racetrack impacts. That's the employment impact,
16		Typically on issues of this nature and	16		correct, on full-time jobs?
17		the reason ministries are formally briefed in	17		A. Yes.
18		advance is to give them an opportunity to respond.	18	1249	Q. If we look up at the top in the
19		You take that response back. You and there's	19		next email, it says:
20		two streams that always go on.	20		"My understanding from Tim is
21		One is what I would call the policy	21		that we would expect minimal ${\tt Q}$ and ${\tt A}$
22		stream. The other is the communication stream.	22		type activity tomorrow based on
23		And this is a dynamic process that is literally	23		extensive discussions today."
24		phone calls they're making. And oftentimes,	24		I take it that means that this has been talked
25		they're not followed up with, even emails.	25		through with Cabinet colleagues and
		Page 326			Page 328
1	1239	Q. I'm just trying to understand that	1		A. Typically a document that goes to
2		process.	2		Cabinet committee gets a thorough discussion by the
3		A. Yeah.	3		key members of the $$ the key ministers who are
4	1240	Q. So if we because it did seem to	4		
5		Q. DO II WE DECAME IT AIA DECIN CO	_		affected.
		be quite dynamic and rapid.	5		affected. Those discussions then form amendments
6		· ·			
6 7		be quite dynamic and rapid.	5		Those discussions then form amendments
	1241	be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure	5 6		$ \begin{tabular}{lll} Those discussions then form amendments \\ to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. \\ \end{tabular}$
7	1241	be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid.	5 6 7		Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to
7 8 9	1241	be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email,	5 6 7 8		Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions.
7	1241	be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of	5 6 7 8 9		Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note
7 8 9 10	1241 1242	be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill.	5 6 7 8 9		Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet
7 8 9 10 11 12		be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. Sorry, which one is this?	5 6 7 8 9 10	1250	Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet committee meeting, I have spoken to our caucus
7 8 9 10 11 12 13		be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. Sorry, which one is this? Q. I haven't given it to you yet, but	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1250	Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet committee meeting, I have spoken to our caucus about the issues in OLG modernization.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13		be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. Sorry, which one is this? Q. I haven't given it to you yet, but I'm going to. This is the email with the whole	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1250	Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet committee meeting, I have spoken to our caucus about the issues in OLG modernization. Q. Right. And Mr. Shortill did
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. Sorry, which one is this? Q. I haven't given it to you yet, but I'm going to. This is the email with the whole Cabinet package attached to it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1250	Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet committee meeting, I have spoken to our caucus about the issues in OLG modernization. Q. Right. And Mr. Shortill did explain to us the consultation process or the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. Sorry, which one is this? Q. I haven't given it to you yet, but I'm going to. This is the email with the whole Cabinet package attached to it. A. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1250	Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet committee meeting, I have spoken to our caucus about the issues in OLG modernization. Q. Right. And Mr. Shortill did explain to us the consultation process or the discussion process that he and Mr. Stransky had
7 8 9 10 11		be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. Sorry, which one is this? Q. I haven't given it to you yet, but I'm going to. This is the email with the whole Cabinet package attached to it. A. Okay. MR. LISUS: And so that I don't waste	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1250	Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet committee meeting, I have spoken to our caucus about the issues in OLG modernization. Q. Right. And Mr. Shortill did explain to us the consultation process or the discussion process that he and Mr. Stransky had with some Cabinet ministers in advance of the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. Sorry, which one is this? Q. I haven't given it to you yet, but I'm going to. This is the email with the whole Cabinet package attached to it. A. Okay. MR. LISUS: And so that I don't waste time, Ms. La Horey, you're taking the same position	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1250	Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet committee meeting, I have spoken to our caucus about the issues in OLG modernization. Q. Right. And Mr. Shortill did explain to us the consultation process or the discussion process that he and Mr. Stransky had with some Cabinet ministers in advance of the Cabinet
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. Sorry, which one is this? Q. I haven't given it to you yet, but I'm going to. This is the email with the whole Cabinet package attached to it. A. Okay. MR. LISUS: And so that I don't waste time, Ms. La Horey, you're taking the same position that you have about what was discussed or said at	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1250	Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet committee meeting, I have spoken to our caucus about the issues in OLG modernization. Q. Right. And Mr. Shortill did explain to us the consultation process or the discussion process that he and Mr. Stransky had with some Cabinet ministers in advance of the Cabinet A. That was quite typical for our
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. Sorry, which one is this? Q. I haven't given it to you yet, but I'm going to. This is the email with the whole Cabinet package attached to it. A. Okay. MR. LISUS: And so that I don't waste time, Ms. La Horey, you're taking the same position that you have about what was discussed or said at Cabinet; right?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1250	Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet committee meeting, I have spoken to our caucus about the issues in OLG modernization. Q. Right. And Mr. Shortill did explain to us the consultation process or the discussion process that he and Mr. Stransky had with some Cabinet ministers in advance of the Cabinet A. That was quite typical for our ministry, especially when a Cabinet issue impacted
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. Sorry, which one is this? Q. I haven't given it to you yet, but I'm going to. This is the email with the whole Cabinet package attached to it. A. Okay. MR. LISUS: And so that I don't waste time, Ms. La Horey, you're taking the same position that you have about what was discussed or said at Cabinet; right? MS. LA HOREY: That is correct. BY MR. LISUS:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1250	Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet committee meeting, I have spoken to our caucus about the issues in OLG modernization. Q. Right. And Mr. Shortill did explain to us the consultation process or the discussion process that he and Mr. Stransky had with some Cabinet ministers in advance of the Cabinet A. That was quite typical for our ministry, especially when a Cabinet issue impacted directly their ministry or their stakeholder groups. Staff would do what I think you've
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1242	be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. Sorry, which one is this? Q. I haven't given it to you yet, but I'm going to. This is the email with the whole Cabinet package attached to it. A. Okay. MR. LISUS: And so that I don't waste time, Ms. La Horey, you're taking the same position that you have about what was discussed or said at Cabinet; right? MS. LA HOREY: That is correct. BY MR. LISUS:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1250	Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet committee meeting, I have spoken to our caucus about the issues in OLG modernization. Q. Right. And Mr. Shortill did explain to us the consultation process or the discussion process that he and Mr. Stransky had with some Cabinet ministers in advance of the Cabinet A. That was quite typical for our ministry, especially when a Cabinet issue impacted directly their ministry or their stakeholder
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1242	be quite dynamic and rapid. A. It's always dynamic. I'm not sure I would call it rapid. Q. If you look at the next email, CRE32045, Exhibit 11 on the examination of Mr. Shortill. A. Sorry, which one is this? Q. I haven't given it to you yet, but I'm going to. This is the email with the whole Cabinet package attached to it. A. Okay. MR. LISUS: And so that I don't waste time, Ms. La Horey, you're taking the same position that you have about what was discussed or said at Cabinet; right? MS. LA HOREY: That is correct. BY MR. LISUS: Q. I just want to talk to you about	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1250	Those discussions then form amendments to sometimes amendments to the actual minute. Sometimes it's amendments to speaking points to address certain questions. And the only other thing I would note in here is that obviously before the Cabinet committee meeting, I have spoken to our caucus about the issues in OLG modernization. Q. Right. And Mr. Shortill did explain to us the consultation process or the discussion process that he and Mr. Stransky had with some Cabinet ministers in advance of the Cabinet A. That was quite typical for our ministry, especially when a Cabinet issue impacted directly their ministry or their stakeholder groups. Staff would do what I think you've shown some document. There's exchanges of emails,

1		Page 329 A. That's an example. And then that	1		Page 331 by political staff. It then gets fact checked
2		gives the minister and the ministry the opportunity	2		through the public public service would've had
3		to respond in a having been fully briefed, gives	3		to have signed off on all of the factual
4		them a chance to respond at Cabinet committee and	4		
		ultimately at Cabinet.		1000	information that's contained in that speech.
5	1050	-	5	1260	Q. Okay. And in that speech, you
6	1252	Q. Okay. And then Mr. Shortill and	6		talk about what you characterize as subsidizing
7		Mr. Stransky, I think I heard you say, were	7		horse racing in Ontario?
8		responsible for preparing the Qs and As that you	8		MS. LA HOREY: Can you give him a copy
9		might anticipate and	9		of the speech so he's got it in front of him?
10		A. I think I said that they the	10		BY MR. LISUS:
11		ministry would do a first cut. They would	11	1261	Q. Sure. Page 4 of 5 under the
12		ultimately make adjustments to reflect.	12		heading third, bottom of page 4.
13	1253	Q. And same with your speaking	13		A. The circled part?
14		points?	14	1262	Q. Yes.
15		A. Yes. They would see them I	15		A. Yes, I see it.
16		guess that's they would see any of those before	16	1263	Q. Can I just ask you, sir, as of
17		they got to me.	17		February 13th, was a date set for the tabling or
18	1254	Q. Okay. And you obviously relied on	18		presentation of the budget?
19		your chief and senior staff members to provide you	19		A. I don't recall.
20		with accurate information?	20	1264	Q. Okay. But it would
21		A. I relied on them to the best of	21		A. It would be in that time frame.
22		their ability to provide me you know, it wasn't	22		By this point in a budget process, the it's now
23		a matter the public service generally produced	23		a matter of fact checking, writing, translating.
24		extremely thorough information where the public	24		Typically the typically and then
25		service typically wouldn't be involved in	25		typically the numbers don't get locked down until
					D 222
1		Page 330 discussions among ministers, within caucus, within	1		Page 332 about this point in time. And so it may or may not
1 2		discussions among ministers, within caucus, within	1 2		about this point in time. And so it may or may not
2		discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle.	2	1265	about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date.
2 3		discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they	2 3	1265	about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be
2 3 4		discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with	2 3 4	1265	about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a
2 3 4 5		discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual	2 3 4 5	1265	about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what
2 3 4 5 6		discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they	2 3 4 5 6	1265	about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about
2 3 4 5 6 7		discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking	2 3 4 5 6	1265	about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1265	about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1055	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1255	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1265	about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1255	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1255	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1255	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I mean, if you look at that budget in its totality,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that? A. Vaguely. I remember being there,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I mean, if you look at that budget in its totality, there were a number of cost cutting and a number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1256	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that? A. Vaguely. I remember being there, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I mean, if you look at that budget in its totality, there were a number of cost cutting and a number of difficult choices that had to be made and had to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that? A. Vaguely. I remember being there, yes. Q. All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I mean, if you look at that budget in its totality, there were a number of cost cutting and a number of difficult choices that had to be made and had to be made in the context of a minority parliament, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1256 1257	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that? A. Vaguely. I remember being there, yes. Q. All right. A. And I have reviewed the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I mean, if you look at that budget in its totality, there were a number of cost cutting and a number of difficult choices that had to be made and had to be made in the context of a minority parliament, which had a very direct bearing on what we said and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1256	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that? A. Vaguely. I remember being there, yes. Q. All right. A. And I have reviewed the Q. Speech?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I mean, if you look at that budget in its totality, there were a number of cost cutting and a number of difficult choices that had to be made and had to be made in the context of a minority parliament, which had a very direct bearing on what we said and didn't say in the document in order to give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1256 1257 1258	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that? A. Vaguely. I remember being there, yes. Q. All right. A. And I have reviewed the Q. Speech? A. The speeches, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I mean, if you look at that budget in its totality, there were a number of cost cutting and a number of difficult choices that had to be made and had to be made in the context of a minority parliament, which had a very direct bearing on what we said and didn't say in the document in order to give ourselves the opportunity, to give the legislature
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1256 1257	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that? A. Vaguely. I remember being there, yes. Q. All right. A. And I have reviewed the Q. Speech? A. The speeches, yes. Q. I presume that speech was written	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I mean, if you look at that budget in its totality, there were a number of cost cutting and a number of difficult choices that had to be made and had to be made in the context of a minority parliament, which had a very direct bearing on what we said and didn't say in the document in order to give ourselves the opportunity, to give the legislature the opportunity to make substantive changes that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1256 1257 1258	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that? A. Vaguely. I remember being there, yes. Q. All right. A. And I have reviewed the Q. Speech? A. The speeches, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I mean, if you look at that budget in its totality, there were a number of cost cutting and a number of difficult choices that had to be made and had to be made in the context of a minority parliament, which had a very direct bearing on what we said and didn't say in the document in order to give ourselves the opportunity, to give the legislature
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1256 1257 1258	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that? A. Vaguely. I remember being there, yes. Q. All right. A. And I have reviewed the Q. Speech? A. The speeches, yes. Q. I presume that speech was written for you? A. Yes. Whenever the Finance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I mean, if you look at that budget in its totality, there were a number of cost cutting and a number of difficult choices that had to be made and had to be made in the context of a minority parliament, which had a very direct bearing on what we said and didn't say in the document in order to give ourselves the opportunity, to give the legislature the opportunity to make substantive changes that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1256 1257 1258	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that? A. Vaguely. I remember being there, yes. Q. All right. A. And I have reviewed the Q. Speech? A. The speeches, yes. Q. I presume that speech was written for you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I mean, if you look at that budget in its totality, there were a number of cost cutting and a number of difficult choices that had to be made and had to be made in the context of a minority parliament, which had a very direct bearing on what we said and didn't say in the document in order to give ourselves the opportunity, to give the legislature the opportunity to make substantive changes that might allow them or enable them to support the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1256 1257 1258	discussions among ministers, within caucus, within the political circle. So typically I would say that they would they would either concur or not agree with the public service on whether it's an actual recommendation or talking points, and then they would put a political in terms of talking points, speaking notes, they would simply give input from the political side. Q. Okay. Now, after Cabinet on February 7, you gave a speech we discussed briefly yesterday at the Economic Club of Canada? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that? A. Vaguely. I remember being there, yes. Q. All right. A. And I have reviewed the Q. Speech? A. The speeches, yes. Q. I presume that speech was written for you? A. Yes. Whenever the Finance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		about this point in time. And so it may or may not have been that we determined the date. Q. But it would be A. It would it would it's now a matter of when can it be translated, how what when will we lock down the numbers. It's not about the substance of what's in the document anymore. It's now about the logistics of getting it out, choosing a date that works. Q. Fair enough. Were you anticipating this to be a contentious budget approval process? A. Certain issues in it were, yes. I mean, if you look at that budget in its totality, there were a number of cost cutting and a number of difficult choices that had to be made and had to be made in the context of a minority parliament, which had a very direct bearing on what we said and didn't say in the document in order to give ourselves the opportunity, to give the legislature the opportunity to make substantive changes that might allow them or enable them to support the budget. Or as I think and I don't recall, but

		Page 333			Page 335
1	1267	Q. Right. And I think that the	1		particular budget, there were much bigger items in
2		Progressive Conservatives voted on block against?	2		the budget, so we wanted to get some of these other
3		A. Yes. Yeah. It was always up to	3		less contentious or very kind of focused items out
4		the NDP.	4		in advance of the budget to signal our intention.
5	1268	Q. Still is, isn't it?	5		Sometimes you put out good news before
6		A. No. It's a majority government.	6		a budget. You don't want to sometimes like,
7	1269	Q. No, I mean for the next election.	7		for instance, the year the 2009 budget, we did
8	1207	A. Yeah.	8		all kinds of good news announcements in advance of
9	1270	Q. You had just gone through an	9		the budget because the big item in the budget was
10	1270	election a few months before?	10		the HST. So we didn't want everything to get lost.
11		A. That's correct.			Historically, governments put
12	1271		11 12		
1	12/1	Q. Did you expect or anticipate that			everything out on one day. And what has evolved,
13		the Conservatives would vote on block to try and	13		starting with Mr. Martin Fetterly in the 1990s, is
14		trigger another election?	14		there's a steady stream of things that are put out.
15		A. Oh, yes.	15		And it varies budget to budget and what the
16	1272	Q. But you didn't know which way the	16		government's goals and objectives are.
17		NDP would go?	17	1277	Q. Okay. Just in order of magnitude,
18		A. They were the they held the	18		sir, what would the 2012 budget have been in terms
19		balance of power. That was well reported, well	19		of billions?
20		discussed, and that's who the discussions always	20		A. In terms of approximately,
21		were.	21		including interest, would have been in the 115 to
22		We actually, at one point, thought the	22		125 billion range.
23		budget was going to be defeated because the NDP	23	1278	Q. So obviously this \$345 million a
24		leader stopped returning our calls, and it got to	24		year item is a very small part of it?
25		the point where we then they agreed to the	25		A. No, I wouldn't view it that way at
		Page 334			Page 336
1		budget. Then they started stripping out key	1		Page 336 all.
1 2		budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee.	1 2	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why
	1273	budget. Then they started stripping out key		1279	all.
2	1273	budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee.	2	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why
2 3	1273 1274	budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process?	2 3	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference.
2 3 4		budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes.	2 3 4	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So
2 3 4 5		budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is	2 3 4 5	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of
2 3 4 5 6		budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election?	2 3 4 5 6	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as
2 3 4 5 6 7		budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of	2 3 4 5 6	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to get the budget passed. And that's why, on a whole variety of things, we left a lot of leeway in terms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million. And then that if I may, you said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to get the budget passed. And that's why, on a whole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million. And then that if I may, you said it's not big. It was almost as much as the entire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to get the budget passed. And that's why, on a whole variety of things, we left a lot of leeway in terms of the third party and getting enough votes to pass	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1279	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million. And then that if I may, you said it's not big. It was almost as much as the entire budget of the Ministry of Agriculture. And so it is a big number.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1274	budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to get the budget passed. And that's why, on a whole variety of things, we left a lot of leeway in terms of the third party and getting enough votes to pass the budget. Q. Okay. Now, in your speech, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million. And then that if I may, you said it's not big. It was almost as much as the entire budget of the Ministry of Agriculture. And so it is a big number. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1274	budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to get the budget passed. And that's why, on a whole variety of things, we left a lot of leeway in terms of the third party and getting enough votes to pass the budget. Q. Okay. Now, in your speech, which is, I believe, sir, your first public comment about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million. And then that if I may, you said it's not big. It was almost as much as the entire budget of the Ministry of Agriculture. And so it is a big number. Q. Okay. A. Any number is a big number.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1274	budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to get the budget passed. And that's why, on a whole variety of things, we left a lot of leeway in terms of the third party and getting enough votes to pass the budget. Q. Okay. Now, in your speech, which is, I believe, sir, your first public comment about the fiscal direction of the government for 2012,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1280	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million. And then that if I may, you said it's not big. It was almost as much as the entire budget of the Ministry of Agriculture. And so it is a big number. Q. Okay. A. Any number is a big number. Q. You explained that you signal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1274	budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to get the budget passed. And that's why, on a whole variety of things, we left a lot of leeway in terms of the third party and getting enough votes to pass the budget. Q. Okay. Now, in your speech, which is, I believe, sir, your first public comment about the fiscal direction of the government for 2012, you make some very specific references to horse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1280	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million. And then that if I may, you said it's not big. It was almost as much as the entire budget of the Ministry of Agriculture. And so it is a big number. Q. Okay. A. Any number is a big number. Q. You explained that you signal things with these speeches. What were you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1274	budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to get the budget passed. And that's why, on a whole variety of things, we left a lot of leeway in terms of the third party and getting enough votes to pass the budget. Q. Okay. Now, in your speech, which is, I believe, sir, your first public comment about the fiscal direction of the government for 2012, you make some very specific references to horse racing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1280	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million. And then that if I may, you said it's not big. It was almost as much as the entire budget of the Ministry of Agriculture. And so it is a big number. Q. Okay. A. Any number is a big number. Q. You explained that you signal things with these speeches. What were you signalling here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1274	budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to get the budget passed. And that's why, on a whole variety of things, we left a lot of leeway in terms of the third party and getting enough votes to pass the budget. Q. Okay. Now, in your speech, which is, I believe, sir, your first public comment about the fiscal direction of the government for 2012, you make some very specific references to horse racing? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1280	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million. And then that if I may, you said it's not big. It was almost as much as the entire budget of the Ministry of Agriculture. And so it is a big number. Q. Okay. A. Any number is a big number. Q. You explained that you signal things with these speeches. What were you signalling here? A. We were signalling that that kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1274	budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to get the budget passed. And that's why, on a whole variety of things, we left a lot of leeway in terms of the third party and getting enough votes to pass the budget. Q. Okay. Now, in your speech, which is, I believe, sir, your first public comment about the fiscal direction of the government for 2012, you make some very specific references to horse racing? A. Yes. Q. Why did you do that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1280	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million. And then that if I may, you said it's not big. It was almost as much as the entire budget of the Ministry of Agriculture. And so it is a big number. Q. Okay. A. Any number is a big number. Q. You explained that you signal things with these speeches. What were you signalling here? A. We were signalling that that kind of spending was going to be ending, and there would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1274	budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to get the budget passed. And that's why, on a whole variety of things, we left a lot of leeway in terms of the third party and getting enough votes to pass the budget. Q. Okay. Now, in your speech, which is, I believe, sir, your first public comment about the fiscal direction of the government for 2012, you make some very specific references to horse racing? A. Yes. Q. Why did you do that? A. Typically these speeches signal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1280	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million. And then that if I may, you said it's not big. It was almost as much as the entire budget of the Ministry of Agriculture. And so it is a big number. Q. Okay. A. Any number is a big number. Q. You explained that you signal things with these speeches. What were you signalling here? A. We were signalling that that kind of spending was going to be ending, and there would be it wasn't just horse racing. There was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1274	budget. Then they started stripping out key portions of the budget and committee. Q. Contentious process? A. Oh, yes. Q. I suppose the downside of it is that the province gets put into another election? A. Well, it depends on your point of view. If you think the budget is that bad, then you think it's a good thing the province has another election. But from our perspective, we wanted to get the budget passed. And that's why, on a whole variety of things, we left a lot of leeway in terms of the third party and getting enough votes to pass the budget. Q. Okay. Now, in your speech, which is, I believe, sir, your first public comment about the fiscal direction of the government for 2012, you make some very specific references to horse racing? A. Yes. Q. Why did you do that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1280	all. Q. Okay. So explain to me why there's this kind of reference. A. You have interest payments. So take at that time probably 11 or 12 off of 125 million. You have education which we saw as our top priority, so take 13 off of that. You'd take health care which we saw as a much higher priority. So at the time, was probably 42 to 46 billion. So it's really more like 345 million. And then that if I may, you said it's not big. It was almost as much as the entire budget of the Ministry of Agriculture. And so it is a big number. Q. Okay. A. Any number is a big number. Q. You explained that you signal things with these speeches. What were you signalling here? A. We were signalling that that kind of spending was going to be ending, and there would

	Page 337			Page 339
1	1282 Q. Okay.	1		specific government program that we were changing.
2	A. By the way, 345 million is	2		In fact, we didn't say we were changing
3	actually the budget has a three-year time	3		it, but it was very clear that the funding the
4	horizon on it, that particular budget. So it's	4		direct funding was going to
5	really a billion two.	5	1290	Q. You say a specific government
6	1283 Q. Right. Did you speak with	6		program. Which ministry administered that specific
7	Mr. Seiling before you gave this speech?	7		government program?
8	A. Don't recall.	8		MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, which one are you
9	1284 Q. Okay.	9		talking about? Service Ontario?
10	A. Typically I wouldn't have, but I	10		MR. LISUS: No.
11	don't recall.	11		THE WITNESS: I was talking about the
12	1285 Q. Okay. Did you	12		OLG. It was administered by OLG who had a direct
13	A. Remember, as you pointed out the	13		line on the budget in terms of revenue. I think it
14	other day, the regulators are at arm's length	14		was around 2 billion at the time.
15	relationship.	15		BY MR. LISUS:
16	1286 Q. Right.	16	1291	Q. Does OLG administer government
17	A. So if so that would have	17		programs?
18	influenced it.	18		A. Yes. This was a program. It came
19	1287 Q. Did you anticipate, sir, a	19		right off the bottom line. You saw it in the
20	reaction from commentators to your speech? I have	20		earlier deck the discussion. So it was and,
21	to presume you did.	21		again, the terminology in here was approved by the
22	A. To this speech?	22		public service, the term "subsidy," all those sorts
23	1288 Q. Yes.	23		of things.
24	A. Yes.	24	1292	Q. The only reason I asked the
25	1289 Q. What generally did you anticipate?	25		question is I didn't know that the OLG was in the
	Page 338			Page 340
1	A. Typically they will report what	1		business of administering government programs.
2	they see as the biggest news in the speech. I	2		A. They all are. For instance,
3	don't recall what they did, but we set out the	3		Hydro One subsidizes rural rates. It's off the
4	kind we set out examples in this speech. I just	4		rate base, but that's a political directive.
Е				,,
5	quickly glanced at it.	5	1293	Q. And LCBO also administers
6	quickly glanced at it. So, for instance, the LCBO	5 6	1293	
	1 1 3		1293	Q. And LCBO also administers
6	So, for instance, the LCBO	6	1293	Q. And LCBO also administers government programs?
6 7	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was	6 7	1293	Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong
6 7 8	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new	6 7 8	1293	Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly
6 7 8 9	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with	6 7 8 9	1293	Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the
6 7 8 9 10	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things.	6 7 8 9	1293	Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So
6 7 8 9 10 11	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things. And in the case of the LCBO headquarter	6 7 8 9 10 11	1293	Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So there were foregone revenues based on these
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things. And in the case of the LCBO headquarter sale, they decided not to proceed with it, but then	6 7 8 9 10 11 12		Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So there were foregone revenues based on these decisions.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things. And in the case of the LCBO headquarter sale, they decided not to proceed with it, but then they did last year. It was a big piece of land.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So there were foregone revenues based on these decisions. Q. Did you get the reaction that you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things. And in the case of the LCBO headquarter sale, they decided not to proceed with it, but then they did last year. It was a big piece of land. So we what we did with this speech,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So there were foregone revenues based on these decisions. Q. Did you get the reaction that you anticipated?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things. And in the case of the LCBO headquarter sale, they decided not to proceed with it, but then they did last year. It was a big piece of land. So we what we did with this speech, I recall very well, are signalling the types we	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So there were foregone revenues based on these decisions. Q. Did you get the reaction that you anticipated? A. We were actually as I recall,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things. And in the case of the LCBO headquarter sale, they decided not to proceed with it, but then they did last year. It was a big piece of land. So we what we did with this speech, I recall very well, are signalling the types we took examples of where our thinking was. In this	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So there were foregone revenues based on these decisions. Q. Did you get the reaction that you anticipated? A. We were actually as I recall, it didn't get it didn't get as much reaction as
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things. And in the case of the LCBO headquarter sale, they decided not to proceed with it, but then they did last year. It was a big piece of land. So we what we did with this speech, I recall very well, are signalling the types we took examples of where our thinking was. In this case, it was a government asset.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1294	Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So there were foregone revenues based on these decisions. Q. Did you get the reaction that you anticipated? A. We were actually as I recall, it didn't get it didn't get as much reaction as we thought.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things. And in the case of the LCBO headquarter sale, they decided not to proceed with it, but then they did last year. It was a big piece of land. So we what we did with this speech, I recall very well, are signalling the types we took examples of where our thinking was. In this case, it was a government asset. In this case in the second one, the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1294	Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So there were foregone revenues based on these decisions. Q. Did you get the reaction that you anticipated? A. We were actually as I recall, it didn't get it didn't get as much reaction as we thought. Q. You got reaction from
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things. And in the case of the LCBO headquarter sale, they decided not to proceed with it, but then they did last year. It was a big piece of land. So we what we did with this speech, I recall very well, are signalling the types we took examples of where our thinking was. In this case, it was a government asset. In this case in the second one, the Service Ontario improvements, that was an example	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1294	Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So there were foregone revenues based on these decisions. Q. Did you get the reaction that you anticipated? A. We were actually as I recall, it didn't get it didn't get as much reaction as we thought. Q. You got reaction from Mr. Snobelen?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things. And in the case of the LCBO headquarter sale, they decided not to proceed with it, but then they did last year. It was a big piece of land. So we what we did with this speech, I recall very well, are signalling the types we took examples of where our thinking was. In this case, it was a government asset. In this case in the second one, the Service Ontario improvements, that was an example of where we were trying to modernize delivery of organizations.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1294	Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So there were foregone revenues based on these decisions. Q. Did you get the reaction that you anticipated? A. We were actually as I recall, it didn't get it didn't get as much reaction as we thought. Q. You got reaction from Mr. Snobelen? A. I would expect that. He was a political component in the horse racing industry.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things. And in the case of the LCBO headquarter sale, they decided not to proceed with it, but then they did last year. It was a big piece of land. So we what we did with this speech, I recall very well, are signalling the types we took examples of where our thinking was. In this case, it was a government asset. In this case in the second one, the Service Ontario improvements, that was an example of where we were trying to modernize delivery of organizations. In the case of Service Ontario, at that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1294	Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So there were foregone revenues based on these decisions. Q. Did you get the reaction that you anticipated? A. We were actually as I recall, it didn't get it didn't get as much reaction as we thought. Q. You got reaction from Mr. Snobelen? A. I would expect that. He was a political component in the horse racing industry. Q. All right. And did you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So, for instance, the LCBO headquarters, the reason I chuckle at that, it was in my 2012 budget, and then it was when the new government took over, they put that along with horse racing they had another look at things. And in the case of the LCBO headquarter sale, they decided not to proceed with it, but then they did last year. It was a big piece of land. So we what we did with this speech, I recall very well, are signalling the types we took examples of where our thinking was. In this case, it was a government asset. In this case in the second one, the Service Ontario improvements, that was an example of where we were trying to modernize delivery of organizations.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1294	Q. And LCBO also administers government programs? A. LCBO perhaps I used the wrong term. It's government money, and it goes directly to the in fact, it's a very direct line to the revenue line, not on the expenditure line. So there were foregone revenues based on these decisions. Q. Did you get the reaction that you anticipated? A. We were actually as I recall, it didn't get it didn't get as much reaction as we thought. Q. You got reaction from Mr. Snobelen? A. I would expect that. He was a political component in the horse racing industry. Q. All right. And did you

1		DUNCAN on March 16, 2018			
1	1297	Page 341 O. But not from Mr. Snobelen?	1		Page 343 your speech, Mr. Snobelen made a statement on
2	1271	A. No. And I in fact, I was glad	2		Ontario News Watch February 16, 2012?
3		when he agreed when I asked him to serve on the	3		A. I don't recall.
4		panel to help us implement the transition plans	4	1305	Q. Have you reviewed that?
5		that we had.	5	1303	·· -
	1000			1200	
6	1298	Q. So Mr	6	1306	Q. Let me show it to you. This is
7		A. And I always liked John, by the	7		Exhibit 4 in the examination of John Snobelen. Do
8		way, and I knew he was very familiar with the horse	8		you recall becoming aware of Mr. Snobelen making
9		racing industry, had been a horse person himself.	9		this statement?
10		I think I don't know if he was in standardbred,	10		A. Where was this given? I'm sorry.
11		but I know he was very a real horseman himself.	11		Ontario News Watch. Does anyone ever read that?
12		When I say "horseman," I don't know if he bred them	12	1307	Q. I presume Mr. Snobelen thinks they
13		or not.	13		do. It's a video service.
14	1299	Q. But you knew he was someone who	14		A. This is a political statement, you
15		had been around the industry for a long time and	15		know, and it's a political commentary given as a
16		knew a lot about it?	16		Conservative partisan.
17		A. And that he had a direct interest	17	1308	Q. What do you mean by that?
18		in it, as did many of the few people that spoke	18		A. I read it. I'm one of the few
19		out.	19		people that does. They have political
20	1300	Q. When did you first speak to him	20		commentators, pundits, people who have points of
21		about the idea of sitting on a panel?	21		view that are, in his case, obviously different
22		A. It was the day Ernie Eves got his	22		from the government.
23		Order of Ontario, which I believe was May of 2012.	23	1309	Q. So you weren't obviously surprised
24	1301	Q. When did it first occur to you to	24	2505	that
25	1301	ask John to sit on a panel?	25		A. Not at all.
		abit total to bit on a pater.	25		n. Not at all.
1		Page 342 A. It had been percolate we were	1	1310	Page 344 O someone from the Conservative
1		-		1310	~
2		talking about it internally even before the budget,	2		camp would express these views?
3		what would the next steps be after the budget.			
4			3		A. Not at all. And there were people
-		It's largely political discussions.	4	1011	within our party.
5		It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of	4 5	1311	within our party. Q. And you anticipate
6		It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP	4 5 6	1311	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of
6 7		It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do	4 5 6 7	1311	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was
6 7 8		It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a	4 5 6	1311	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was
6 7		It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do	4 5 6 7	1311	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was
6 7 8	1302	It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a	4 5 6 7 8	1311	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was
6 7 8 9	1302	It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister.	4 5 6 7 8 9		within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice.
6 7 8 9 10	1302	It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was	4 5 6 7 8 9		within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that
6 7 8 9 10 11	1302	It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1302	It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and pressed for the creation of a panel, a transition	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the Toronto Sun?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1302	It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and pressed for the creation of a panel, a transition panel?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1312	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the Toronto Sun? A. Yes. He had a regular column.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1302	It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and pressed for the creation of a panel, a transition panel? A. I don't recall that. I mean, he	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1312	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the Toronto Sun? A. Yes. He had a regular column. Q. I'm showing you a column that he
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1302	It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and pressed for the creation of a panel, a transition panel? A. I don't recall that. I mean, he certainly would have supported it, and he might	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1312	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the Toronto Sun? A. Yes. He had a regular column. Q. I'm showing you a column that he wrote the next day on February the 17th.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1302	It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and pressed for the creation of a panel, a transition panel? A. I don't recall that. I mean, he certainly would have supported it, and he might have thought he was coming up with it, but there	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1312 1313	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the Toronto Sun? A. Yes. He had a regular column. Q. I'm showing you a column that he wrote the next day on February the 17th. A. Mm-hm. Yeah.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1302	It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and pressed for the creation of a panel, a transition panel? A. I don't recall that. I mean, he certainly would have supported it, and he might have thought he was coming up with it, but there were even before the budget, there were	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1312 1313	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the Toronto Sun? A. Yes. He had a regular column. Q. I'm showing you a column that he wrote the next day on February the 17th. A. Mm-hm. Yeah. Q. Do you remember seeing this?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1302	It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and pressed for the creation of a panel, a transition panel? A. I don't recall that. I mean, he certainly would have supported it, and he might have thought he was coming up with it, but there were even before the budget, there were considerable discussions internally at the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1312 1313 1314	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the Toronto Sun? A. Yes. He had a regular column. Q. I'm showing you a column that he wrote the next day on February the 17th. A. Mm-hm. Yeah. Q. Do you remember seeing this? A. No. I typically didn't read them. Q. Okay. And you didn't speak to him
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and pressed for the creation of a panel, a transition panel? A. I don't recall that. I mean, he certainly would have supported it, and he might have thought he was coming up with it, but there were even before the budget, there were considerable discussions internally at the political level about that. Q. Okay.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1312 1313 1314	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the Toronto Sun? A. Yes. He had a regular column. Q. I'm showing you a column that he wrote the next day on February the 17th. A. Mm-hm. Yeah. Q. Do you remember seeing this? A. No. I typically didn't read them. Q. Okay. And you didn't speak to him about these statements he was making in February?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and pressed for the creation of a panel, a transition panel? A. I don't recall that. I mean, he certainly would have supported it, and he might have thought he was coming up with it, but there were even before the budget, there were considerable discussions internally at the political level about that. Q. Okay. A. And I did like, I remember the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1312 1313 1314	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the Toronto Sun? A. Yes. He had a regular column. Q. I'm showing you a column that he wrote the next day on February the 17th. A. Mm-hm. Yeah. Q. Do you remember seeing this? A. No. I typically didn't read them. Q. Okay. And you didn't speak to him about these statements he was making in February? A. No, I don't recall. I don't
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and pressed for the creation of a panel, a transition panel? A. I don't recall that. I mean, he certainly would have supported it, and he might have thought he was coming up with it, but there were even before the budget, there were considerable discussions internally at the political level about that. Q. Okay. A. And I did like, I remember the day. It was the day Ernie Eves got his I don't	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1312 1313 1314	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the Toronto Sun? A. Yes. He had a regular column. Q. I'm showing you a column that he wrote the next day on February the 17th. A. Mm-hm. Yeah. Q. Do you remember seeing this? A. No. I typically didn't read them. Q. Okay. And you didn't speak to him about these statements he was making in February? A. No, I don't recall. I don't recall speaking to him. The first conversation I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and pressed for the creation of a panel, a transition panel? A. I don't recall that. I mean, he certainly would have supported it, and he might have thought he was coming up with it, but there were even before the budget, there were considerable discussions internally at the political level about that. Q. Okay. A. And I did like, I remember the day. It was the day Ernie Eves got his I don't know the day, but I'm pretty it was nice	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1312 1313 1314	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the Toronto Sun? A. Yes. He had a regular column. Q. I'm showing you a column that he wrote the next day on February the 17th. A. Mm-hm. Yeah. Q. Do you remember seeing this? A. No. I typically didn't read them. Q. Okay. And you didn't speak to him about these statements he was making in February? A. No, I don't recall. I don't recall speaking to him. The first conversation I recall is that day directly with him because we had
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		It's largely political discussions. And we wanted and we made a point of having him and Mr. Buchanan who had been an NDP and NDP ag minister who because the work I do with a number of ag groups right now, I know was a very well respected and popular ag minister. Q. My understanding is that it was Minister McMeekin who came up with the idea and pressed for the creation of a panel, a transition panel? A. I don't recall that. I mean, he certainly would have supported it, and he might have thought he was coming up with it, but there were even before the budget, there were considerable discussions internally at the political level about that. Q. Okay. A. And I did like, I remember the day. It was the day Ernie Eves got his I don't	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1312 1313 1314	within our party. Q. And you anticipate A. Mr. McMeekin was had a lot of problems with this policy. Absolutely. And he was very heartfelt. We all were. We all knew this was a difficult choice. Q. Now, you were aware that Mr. Snobelen also wrote a column in the Toronto Sun? A. Yes. He had a regular column. Q. I'm showing you a column that he wrote the next day on February the 17th. A. Mm-hm. Yeah. Q. Do you remember seeing this? A. No. I typically didn't read them. Q. Okay. And you didn't speak to him about these statements he was making in February? A. No, I don't recall. I don't recall speaking to him. The first conversation I

		DONGAN OIT MAICH 10, 2010			
1		Page 345 that's all these are, I felt that he would be a	1		Page 347 A. No, I didn't say that. What
2		good person to put on the panel if he would agree	2		I think I said and you'll have to check the
3		to do it, and he did agree to do it. And we	3		transcript was that it was a process, the OLG,
4		were I was actually happy to have his	4		that involved Finance, Cabinet office, Premier's
5			5		office, OIG.
		involvement, along with Mr. Buchanan and John	6	1202	·
6	1216	Wilkinson.	-	1323	Q. Was it your understanding, sir,
7	1316	Q. Was there an outcry from the horse	7		that that was OLG's recommendation?
8		racing industry in response to your speech?	8		A. No. My understanding is what's in
9		A. Oh, yes. Yeah. Yeah.	9		the documents, which, by the way, are not in my
10	1317	Q. What do you remember about that?	10		view, don't entirely reflect. You should also look
11		A. Well, I had to have extra had	11		at public statements. I did part of the
12		to you know, I had to block all kinds of people	12		announcement with Mr. Godfrey myself.
13		on Twitter and or not Twitter. Facebook.	13	1324	Q. Right. Now, you can again,
14		Threats. My son was punched at a my son with a	14		you're not on this
15		disability was punched at a party. Thank goodness	15		A. Again, you have to be careful
16		he had friends of his.	16		using "draft" versus "non-draft." Cabinet the
17		It was probably the ugliest kind of	17		eventual Cabinet decisions would have been shared
18		there's some YouTube video up there you can see of	18		and agreed to by OLG as well. All I saw from you
19		one place where I was harassed in an announcement.	19		were draft documents from OLG.
20		So it was quite violent, ugly. I've never I	20	1325	Q. Well, there is a final report from
21		never experienced anything quite like that.	21		OLG.
22		Threats of horses being destroyed.	22		A. Mm-hm. I'm aware of it.
23	1318	Q. Were you made aware from anyone in	23	1326	Q. Is it your understanding that in
24		Finance or your staff that Minister McMeekin was	24		that final report, OLG came to the recommendation
25		concerned with the depth of the analysis of the	25		independently of Finance that the revenue sharing
1		Page 346 economic impact of	1		Page 348 with the horse racing industry from the Slots at
2		A. Ted made me aware of that himself	2		Racetrack Program should be terminated without
3		directly. He was wrong.	3		transition support?
4	1319	Q. So Ted made you aware of that	4		A. It wasn't terminated without
5	1317	A. Ted was very passionate about this	5		transition support.
6		issue.	6	1327	Q. It was not?
7	1320	Q. Approximately when did Ted make	7	1327	A. There was nothing in the Cabinet
8	1320	you aware of that?	8		minute about transition support. There was no
9			9		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			decision not to do transition. In fact, quite the
10		it would have my recollection is it started when	10		opposite. As I've said to you, the documents you
11		Cabinet first became aware of what we were doing,	11	1200	shared paint a very narrow, selective picture.
12	1001	but as time wore on, he became more impassioned.	12	1328	Q. You did read the OLG modernization
13	1321	Q. I want to show you an email	13		report?
14		sequence which is in Mr. Orsini's examination, 32.	14	1000	A. Oh, yes, in its entirety.
15		MS. LA HOREY: For the record, it's	15	1329	Q. You're aware
16		CRE0106086.	16		MS. LA HOREY: If you're going to ask
17		THE WITNESS: Okay.	17		him questions, it's best if he has the document in
18		BY MR. LISUS:	18		front of him. So why don't we wait until
19	1322	Q. I want to just refer to something	19		Mr. Matthews gets it.
20		you said to me yesterday. Was it your	20		MR. LISUS: Let's take a ten-minute
21		understanding, sir, that the decision to terminate	21		break.
22		the Slots at Racetrack Program revenue sharing with	22		Recess at 11:12 a.m
1		no announced transition funding was based on a	23		Upon resuming at 11:25 a.m
23		iio delicaloca ciambiololi idanaliig was sasca cii a			1 5
		recommendation to that effect by OLG in its final	24		BY MR. LISUS:
23			24 25	1330	

		DONCAN OII WAICH 10, 2010			D 054
1		OLG final report, modernization report. On the	1		Page 351 understanding that OLG recommended the termination
2		right-hand column middle:	2		of revenue sharing?
3		"The Slots at Racetrack Program	3		A. I need to see the recommendation.
4		limits OLG's flexibility to locate	4	1337	Q. There aren't any. This is all it
5		gaming facilities near OLG	5	1337	says about Slots at Racetracks.
6		customers. Furthermore, the formula	6		A. When we made our budget
7			7		5
		restricts OLG's ability to maximize			announcement, I didn't have a resignation from
8		revenues for key government	8		anybody at OLG or from people like Mr. McMeekin. I
9		priorities. As such, the Slots at	9		presume they supported what we did.
10		Racetrack Program should be drawn to	10	1338	Q. Sorry, give me that again.
11		a close."	11		A. When we announced the budget, I
12		And that was the recommendation upon which the	12		did statements with Mr. Godfrey. We did the
13		government relied to justify the termination of the	13		announcement of the closure of Windsor Raceway.
14		revenue sharing with the horse racing industry; is	14		His newspaper supported the government's position
15		that right?	15		on the Slots at Racetrack Program.
16		A. Well, no. The balance is a little	16		When we came to vote on the budget, we
17		bit further below.	17		had the votes to pass the government's proposal,
18	1331	Q. Which words are you referring to,	18		including the support of Mr. McMeekin.
19		sir?	19		So you're saying there's no
20		A. The rest.	20		recommendations in their final document. I just
21	1332	Q. "To improve the ability to offer	21		asked you to show them to me.
22		games at sites, OLG should	22	1339	Q. Well, I'm not aware of
23		establish a fair and simple funding	23	2007	A. You asked me to comment on their
24		model that would supply a portion	24		recommendations and whether I thought they
25		of slot machine revenue to host	25		recommended this. There's no recommendations in
23		of stot macrime revenue to nost	23		recommended this. There's no recommendations in
		Page 350	1		Page 352
1		municipalities independent of the	1	1040	their final report.
2		type of facility."	2	1340	Q. So what was your understanding of
3		A. And the straightforward.	3		what OLG's recommendation was at the conclusion of
4	1333	Q. "A straightforward formula would	4		its year-and-a-half-long land-based gaming review
5		have two key benefits: Make the	5		with respect to the Slots at Racetrack Program?
6		formula consistent across	6		A. Based on the continuing support of
7		municipalities, provide flexibility	7		the chair and board as well as a subsequent to
8		for the decision to add table games	8		the announcement based they were in concurrence
9		or other new games based on	9		with the government's plans.
10		customer interest, municipal	10	1341	Q. Right, but what was your
11		consent, and OLG's provincial	11		understanding of what the report's position was
		consent, and OLG's provincial market plan."	11 12		understanding of what the report's position was with respect
11					with respect
11 12 13		market plan." Those words?	12		with respect A. They had no recommendations. You
11 12 13 14		market plan." Those words?	12 13 14	1342	with respect A. They had no recommendations. You just said that.
11 12 13 14 15	1334	market plan." Those words? A. Can I just see the next page as well?	12 13 14 15	1342	with respect A. They had no recommendations. You just said that. Q. Do you agree with that?
11 12 13 14 15	1334	market plan." Those words? A. Can I just see the next page as well? Q. Yes.	12 13 14 15 16		with respect A. They had no recommendations. You just said that. Q. Do you agree with that? A. Pardon me?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1334	market plan." Those words? A. Can I just see the next page as well? Q. Yes. A. And also I'd like to see the	12 13 14 15 16 17	1342 1343	with respect A. They had no recommendations. You just said that. Q. Do you agree with that? A. Pardon me? Q. Do you agree with that?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1334	Those words? A. Can I just see the next page as well? Q. Yes. A. And also I'd like to see the recommendations pages. They're under something	12 13 14 15 16 17 18		with respect A. They had no recommendations. You just said that. Q. Do you agree with that? A. Pardon me? Q. Do you agree with that? A. I agree I'm not going to
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		market plan." Those words? A. Can I just see the next page as well? Q. Yes. A. And also I'd like to see the recommendations pages. They're under something called "description."	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		with respect A. They had no recommendations. You just said that. Q. Do you agree with that? A. Pardon me? Q. Do you agree with that? A. I agree I'm not going to question you. You've I don't have all the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1334	market plan." Those words? A. Can I just see the next page as well? Q. Yes. A. And also I'd like to see the recommendations pages. They're under something called "description." Q. I think you're thinking of the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		with respect A. They had no recommendations. You just said that. Q. Do you agree with that? A. Pardon me? Q. Do you agree with that? A. I agree I'm not going to question you. You've I don't have all the documents. You've given me one paragraph out of
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Those words? A. Can I just see the next page as well? Q. Yes. A. And also I'd like to see the recommendations pages. They're under something called "description." Q. I think you're thinking of the Drummond report when you say "recommendations."	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		with respect A. They had no recommendations. You just said that. Q. Do you agree with that? A. Pardon me? Q. Do you agree with that? A. I agree I'm not going to question you. You've I don't have all the documents. You've given me one paragraph out of something called "description."
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		market plan." Those words? A. Can I just see the next page as well? Q. Yes. A. And also I'd like to see the recommendations pages. They're under something called "description." Q. I think you're thinking of the Drummond report when you say "recommendations." A. No, no, I'd like to see their	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		with respect A. They had no recommendations. You just said that. Q. Do you agree with that? A. Pardon me? Q. Do you agree with that? A. I agree I'm not going to question you. You've I don't have all the documents. You've given me one paragraph out of something called "description." What I can tell you is I did dozens of
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		market plan." Those words? A. Can I just see the next page as well? Q. Yes. A. And also I'd like to see the recommendations pages. They're under something called "description." Q. I think you're thinking of the Drummond report when you say "recommendations." A. No, no, I'd like to see their recommendations because you've said they	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		with respect A. They had no recommendations. You just said that. Q. Do you agree with that? A. Pardon me? Q. Do you agree with that? A. I agree I'm not going to question you. You've I don't have all the documents. You've given me one paragraph out of something called "description." What I can tell you is I did dozens of meetings both before and after. We saw no member
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		market plan." Those words? A. Can I just see the next page as well? Q. Yes. A. And also I'd like to see the recommendations pages. They're under something called "description." Q. I think you're thinking of the Drummond report when you say "recommendations." A. No, no, I'd like to see their	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		with respect A. They had no recommendations. You just said that. Q. Do you agree with that? A. Pardon me? Q. Do you agree with that? A. I agree I'm not going to question you. You've I don't have all the documents. You've given me one paragraph out of something called "description." What I can tell you is I did dozens of

1		Page 353 government changed. So I assume that they	1	1353	Page 355 Q. When you say you knew it would be
2		supported what we did and that there was agreement.	2	1333	very difficult, you knew that in February 2012;
3	1344	Q. All right. Thank you.	3		right?
4	1311	A. But I'm just curious where	4		A. I probably knew it a long time
5		these you asked me about their recommendations.	5		before then.
6		Was there a recommendation? It's your word, not	6	1354	Q. When you say you knew it would be
7		mine.	7	1334	very difficult for the people who would be
	1245				
8	1345	Q. I actually think it was your word	8		affected, I take it, sir, that included
9		from yesterday, but it doesn't matter.	9		standardbred breeders?
10		A. But, no, I just I'm curious.	10		A. I didn't know who they were at the
11		You used it, and then you took a select paragraph	11		time. It would involve anybody who would derive a
12		on page 13 under something called "description	12		livelihood out of essentially an industry that was
13		customer focus."	13		subsidized. Take the subsidy away, there's nothing
14	1346	Q. That is the only well	14		left.
15		A. That's right. It is. Go ahead.	15	1355	Q. Right. And so you knew it would
16		Say it. It's the only reference, and it says very	16		have a very serious impact on it?
17		clearly.	17		A. We knew that the industry would
18	1347	Q. That's my point, sir.	18		become smaller. I was advised by OLG and others
19		A. "Slots at Racetrack Program should	19		that they weren't certain how many tracks would
20		be drawn to a close."	20		remain. There was a view that Woodbine would
21	1348	Q. Right.	21		survive as a thoroughbred track. There was a view
22		A. That's their recommendation. You	22		that the market might be able to support several
23		just said it's the only one.	23		no one ever landed on a precise number up until
24	1349	Q. Do you agree with it?	24		that point.
25		A. It doesn't say "recommendation"	25	1356	Q. So you had made your speech giving
		Page 354			
			l .		Page 356 l
1		there.	1		Page 356 the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would
1 2	1350	-	1 2		<u> </u>
	1350	there.			the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would
2	1350	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the	2		the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was
2 3	1350	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate	2 3		the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated
2 3 4	1350	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate	2 3 4	1357	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my
2 3 4 5	1350	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their	2 3 4 5	1357	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you
2 3 4 5 6	1350	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made	2 3 4 5 6	1357	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1357	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1350	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1357	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at? A. Oh, yes. There was all kinds of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times. There was certainly concurrence among our advisors
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at? A. Oh, yes. There was all kinds of dialogue. I don't know what was said. But, yeah,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times. There was certainly concurrence among our advisors and officials that that was proper language. That
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1351	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at? A. Oh, yes. There was all kinds of dialogue. I don't know what was said. But, yeah, they were intimately involved in every sentence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times. There was certainly concurrence among our advisors and officials that that was proper language. That speech would not have been given had they not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at? A. Oh, yes. There was all kinds of dialogue. I don't know what was said. But, yeah, they were intimately involved in every sentence. Q. Okay. Before the break, you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1358	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times. There was certainly concurrence among our advisors and officials that that was proper language. That speech would not have been given had they not approved the use of that term.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1351	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at? A. Oh, yes. There was all kinds of dialogue. I don't know what was said. But, yeah, they were intimately involved in every sentence. Q. Okay. Before the break, you were telling me that you weren't you anticipated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times. There was certainly concurrence among our advisors and officials that that was proper language. That speech would not have been given had they not approved the use of that term. Q. And who do you say approved the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1351	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at? A. Oh, yes. There was all kinds of dialogue. I don't know what was said. But, yeah, they were intimately involved in every sentence. Q. Okay. Before the break, you were telling me that you weren't you anticipated there being a reaction from Conservatives to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1358	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times. There was certainly concurrence among our advisors and officials that that was proper language. That speech would not have been given had they not approved the use of that term. Q. And who do you say approved the use of that term?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1351	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at? A. Oh, yes. There was all kinds of dialogue. I don't know what was said. But, yeah, they were intimately involved in every sentence. Q. Okay. Before the break, you were telling me that you weren't you anticipated there being a reaction from Conservatives to the announcement regarding the termination of SARP,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1358	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times. There was certainly concurrence among our advisors and officials that that was proper language. That speech would not have been given had they not approved the use of that term. Q. And who do you say approved the use of that term? A. Any speech the minister gives is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1351	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at? A. Oh, yes. There was all kinds of dialogue. I don't know what was said. But, yeah, they were intimately involved in every sentence. Q. Okay. Before the break, you were telling me that you weren't you anticipated there being a reaction from Conservatives to the announcement regarding the termination of SARP, including from Mr. Snobelen; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1358	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times. There was certainly concurrence among our advisors and officials that that was proper language. That speech would not have been given had they not approved the use of that term. Q. And who do you say approved the use of that term? A. Any speech the minister gives is fact checked by a variety of people.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1351	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at? A. Oh, yes. There was all kinds of dialogue. I don't know what was said. But, yeah, they were intimately involved in every sentence. Q. Okay. Before the break, you were telling me that you weren't you anticipated there being a reaction from Conservatives to the announcement regarding the termination of SARP, including from Mr. Snobelen; correct? A. Well, frankly, I hadn't thought of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1358	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times. There was certainly concurrence among our advisors and officials that that was proper language. That speech would not have been given had they not approved the use of that term. Q. And who do you say approved the use of that term? A. Any speech the minister gives is fact checked by a variety of people. Q. I see. How do they fact check?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1351	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at? A. Oh, yes. There was all kinds of dialogue. I don't know what was said. But, yeah, they were intimately involved in every sentence. Q. Okay. Before the break, you were telling me that you weren't you anticipated there being a reaction from Conservatives to the announcement regarding the termination of SARP, including from Mr. Snobelen; correct? A. Well, frankly, I hadn't thought of John, but I was thinking more about the people who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1358	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times. There was certainly concurrence among our advisors and officials that that was proper language. That speech would not have been given had they not approved the use of that term. Q. And who do you say approved the use of that term? A. Any speech the minister gives is fact checked by a variety of people. Q. I see. How do they fact check? A. I don't know. You'd have to ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1351	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at? A. Oh, yes. There was all kinds of dialogue. I don't know what was said. But, yeah, they were intimately involved in every sentence. Q. Okay. Before the break, you were telling me that you weren't you anticipated there being a reaction from Conservatives to the announcement regarding the termination of SARP, including from Mr. Snobelen; correct? A. Well, frankly, I hadn't thought of John, but I was thinking more about the people who would be directly affected. We knew, of course,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1358	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times. There was certainly concurrence among our advisors and officials that that was proper language. That speech would not have been given had they not approved the use of that term. Q. And who do you say approved the use of that term? A. Any speech the minister gives is fact checked by a variety of people. Q. I see. How do they fact check? A. I don't know. You'd have to ask them. You've got them on your witness list. All I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1351	there. Q. But do you agree that that is the content of the OLG report which the government relied on to support its decision to terminate A. I relied on the advice of the OLG, its board, its CEO, its board chair, and their continued support once the budget had been made public. Q. Are you aware that Mr. Stransky and Mr. Shortill communicated with Mr. Phillips about the language of the paragraph that we looked at? A. Oh, yes. There was all kinds of dialogue. I don't know what was said. But, yeah, they were intimately involved in every sentence. Q. Okay. Before the break, you were telling me that you weren't you anticipated there being a reaction from Conservatives to the announcement regarding the termination of SARP, including from Mr. Snobelen; correct? A. Well, frankly, I hadn't thought of John, but I was thinking more about the people who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1358	the signal that the subsidy, as you style it, would be terminated A. That was the that speech was fact checked by ministry officials. It was not my term. Q. Why are you A. Minister of Finance doesn't go out and just say anything. Because you imply that it's just me saying that. Q. No, I'm not. A. When the Minister of Finance gives a speech on the record, it's fact checked 20 times. There was certainly concurrence among our advisors and officials that that was proper language. That speech would not have been given had they not approved the use of that term. Q. And who do you say approved the use of that term? A. Any speech the minister gives is fact checked by a variety of people. Q. I see. How do they fact check? A. I don't know. You'd have to ask

1		Page 357	1	1260	Page 359
1	1261	in a formal speech.	1	1369	Q. After five years as the Minister
2	1361	Q. You were satisfied that the use of	2		of Finance, you understood what a subsidy was?
3		the term "subsidy" was appropriately fact checked;	3		Yes?
4		correct?	4	1270	A. Depends on what you mean by that.
5		A. I'm satisfied that it was a	5	1370	Q. What do you mean by it?
6		terminology that our advisors and people who had	6		A. As it's used in that speech, it
7	1060	been very intimately involved in this approved.	7		was it was language that was approved and agreed
8	1362	Q. Who was that?	8		to by our department.
9		A. Well, ultimately the deputy	9	1371	Q. And that's
10		minister is responsible, so he delegates that.	10		A. That's all I'm going to say about
11	1060	You'd have to ask them. I don't know.	11	1000	it. You can ask them.
12	1363	Q. How would he fact check whether or	12	1372	Q. After Mr. Snobelen's broadcast and
13		not	13		his Toronto Sun column, we see that certain radio
14		A. I would suggest you ask him.	14		ads are put out. We discussed those yesterday;
15	1364	Q. You've got to let me finish the	15		correct?
16		question.	16		A. We discussed them yesterday, yes.
17		MS. LA HOREY: One at a time.	17	1373	Q. And you still haven't been able to
18		BY MR. LISUS:	18		recover any memory of how these ads came to be put
19	1365	Q. As the guy making the speech using	19		out?
20		the term, how did you understand the term "subsidy"	20		A. No. Do you know how long they
21		had been fact checked? What facts would be looked	21		ran?
22		at to check that?	22	1374	Q. They certainly
23		A. Well, you've got the documents.	23		A. I never heard them. I never heard
24		You'd have to ask them. All I know is that I was	24		them. Never saw them.
25		approved to use that language. It was used in	25		MS. LA HOREY: We went over this
		Page 358			Page 360
1		government documents. It was used in briefing	1		yesterday, so let's move on.
2					
		papers.	2		THE WITNESS: Sorry.
3		And I know you dispute that it's a	2 3		BY MR. LISUS:
				1375	
3 4 5		And I know you dispute that it's a	3	1375	BY MR. LISUS:
3 4		And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute	3 4	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the
3 4 5	1366	And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people	3 4 5	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan.
3 4 5 6 7 8	1366	And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day.	3 4 5 6	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a
3 4 5 6 7	1366	And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people	3 4 5 6 7	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I
3 4 5 6 7 8	1366	And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill?	3 4 5 6 7 8	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1366	And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it.	3 4 5 6 7 8	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1366	And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice. MR. LISUS: I'm not going to ask the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1366	And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a lawsuit, and we know you're here on behalf of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1366	And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a lawsuit, and we know you're here on behalf of the plaintiffs, so it's obvious.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice. MR. LISUS: I'm not going to ask the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a lawsuit, and we know you're here on behalf of the plaintiffs, so it's obvious. BY MR. LISUS:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice. MR. LISUS: I'm not going to ask the same question twice.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a lawsuit, and we know you're here on behalf of the plaintiffs, so it's obvious. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Are you paying Ontario to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice. MR. LISUS: I'm not going to ask the same question twice. MS. LA HOREY: If you're going to ask
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a lawsuit, and we know you're here on behalf of the plaintiffs, so it's obvious. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Are you paying Ontario to represent you, Mr. Duncan?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice. MR. LISUS: I'm not going to ask the same question twice. MS. LA HOREY: If you're going to ask him questions about the content, may we please have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a lawsuit, and we know you're here on behalf of the plaintiffs, so it's obvious. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Are you paying Ontario to represent you, Mr. Duncan? R/F MS. LA HOREY: Mr. Lisus, that's a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice. MR. LISUS: I'm not going to ask the same question twice. MS. LA HOREY: If you're going to ask him questions about the content, may we please have the document?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a lawsuit, and we know you're here on behalf of the plaintiffs, so it's obvious. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Are you paying Ontario to represent you, Mr. Duncan? R/F MS. LA HOREY: Mr. Lisus, that's a refusal. Let's just can we stick to the subject	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice. MR. LISUS: I'm not going to ask the same question twice. MS. LA HOREY: If you're going to ask him questions about the content, may we please have the document? MR. LISUS: Of course. It's CRE81193,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a lawsuit, and we know you're here on behalf of the plaintiffs, so it's obvious. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Are you paying Ontario to represent you, Mr. Duncan? R/F MS. LA HOREY: Mr. Lisus, that's a refusal. Let's just can we stick to the subject matter of the summons, please.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice. MR. LISUS: I'm not going to ask the same question twice. MS. LA HOREY: If you're going to ask him questions about the content, may we please have the document? MR. LISUS: Of course. It's CRE81193, Exhibit 31 on Mr. Orsini's examination.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1367	And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a lawsuit, and we know you're here on behalf of the plaintiffs, so it's obvious. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Are you paying Ontario to represent you, Mr. Duncan? R/F MS. LA HOREY: Mr. Lisus, that's a refusal. Let's just can we stick to the subject matter of the summons, please. BY MR. LISUS:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice. MR. LISUS: I'm not going to ask the same question twice. MS. LA HOREY: If you're going to ask him questions about the content, may we please have the document? MR. LISUS: Of course. It's CRE81193, Exhibit 31 on Mr. Orsini's examination. THE WITNESS: Is this an accurate
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1367	And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a lawsuit, and we know you're here on behalf of the plaintiffs, so it's obvious. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Are you paying Ontario to represent you, Mr. Duncan? R/F MS. LA HOREY: Mr. Lisus, that's a refusal. Let's just can we stick to the subject matter of the summons, please. BY MR. LISUS: Q. I'm just trying to understand. So	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1375	BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice. MR. LISUS: I'm not going to ask the same question twice. MS. LA HOREY: If you're going to ask him questions about the content, may we please have the document? MR. LISUS: Of course. It's CRE81193, Exhibit 31 on Mr. Orsini's examination. THE WITNESS: Is this an accurate transcription of them?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1367	And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a lawsuit, and we know you're here on behalf of the plaintiffs, so it's obvious. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Are you paying Ontario to represent you, Mr. Duncan? R/F MS. LA HOREY: Mr. Lisus, that's a refusal. Let's just can we stick to the subject matter of the summons, please. BY MR. LISUS: Q. I'm just trying to understand. So how long had you been the Minister of Finance in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice. MR. LISUS: I'm not going to ask the same question twice. MS. LA HOREY: If you're going to ask him questions about the content, may we please have the document? MR. LISUS: Of course. It's CRE81193, Exhibit 31 on Mr. Orsini's examination. THE WITNESS: Is this an accurate transcription of them? BY MR. LISUS:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1367	And I know you dispute that it's a subsidy and that people paying your bill dispute that, but that was not the view of the government of the day. Q. Why are you commenting on people paying my bill? A. Just felt like it. MS. LA HOREY: We know there's a lawsuit, and we know you're here on behalf of the plaintiffs, so it's obvious. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Are you paying Ontario to represent you, Mr. Duncan? R/F MS. LA HOREY: Mr. Lisus, that's a refusal. Let's just can we stick to the subject matter of the summons, please. BY MR. LISUS: Q. I'm just trying to understand. So how long had you been the Minister of Finance in 2012?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		BY MR. LISUS: Q. I want to ask you about the accuracy of their content, Mr. Duncan. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, we spent quite a bit of time on this, so I MR. LISUS: Ms. La Horey, this is a cross-examination. MS. LA HOREY: I know, but you don't get to ask the same question twice. MR. LISUS: I'm not going to ask the same question twice. MS. LA HOREY: If you're going to ask him questions about the content, may we please have the document? MR. LISUS: Of course. It's CRE81193, Exhibit 31 on Mr. Orsini's examination. THE WITNESS: Is this an accurate transcription of them? BY MR. LISUS: Q. Yes.

		DUNCAN on March 16, 2018			
1		Page 361 A. Well, without actually hearing the	1		Page 363 MS. LA HOREY: because you've
2		ad, it's difficult for me to know what was said.	2		answered that you don't know if they're accurate.
3	1378	Q. Let's assume for the purposes of	3		THE WITNESS: Yeah.
4	1370		4		MS. LA HOREY: But listen to his
		my question this is an accurate transcription.			
5		Okay?	5		question. Okay? And if he asks you a question
6		A. I won't assume that. I've seen	6		that you're able to answer, you can answer it.
7		transcriptions that are inaccurate many times,	7		THE WITNESS: Okay.
8		specifically broadcast transactions. Do you know	8		MS. LA HOREY: But you don't need to
9		where they were broadcast?	9		assume that they're accurate if you're not
10	1379	Q. Mr. Duncan, please just answer my	10		convinced of it.
11		questions. If Ms. La Horey thinks I'm asking you	11		BY MR. LISUS:
12		improper questions, she'll pipe in. You don't need	12	1387	Q. Was SARP revenue share secret?
13		to argue with me about my questions. Okay?	13		A. I'm sorry?
14		A. Well, you said they were	14	1388	Q. Was the SARP revenue sharing
15		broadcast. The broadcast what do you mean by	15		program secret?
16		"broadcast"?	16		A. I don't know.
17	1380	Q. I mean broadcast on the radio.	17	1389	Q. Well, you see it disclosed and
18		What do you mean by "broadcast"?	18		reported on every budget since 2000; right?
19		A. Well, it could be could be	19		A. Well, I don't know what they mean
20		60 Minutes, could be Netflix, or it could be, you	20		by that. They could mean are the precise amounts.
21		know, a single radio station that had an	21		That my understanding is the precise amounts
22		antigovernment vent.	22		were secret or at least they were confidential.
23	1381	Q. An antigovernment vent?	23	1390	O. The 345 million was secret?
24		A. Yeah. There's a few of those.	24		A. No. I'm talking about how much
25		MS. LA HOREY: Why don't you just wait	25		did the Toldo family at Windsor Raceway get; how
1		Page 362 for the question.	1		Page 364 much did Mr. Carter and others who had lawsuits
2		BY MR. LISUS:	2		that they wanted to continue to get that money.
3	1382	Q. Let me refresh your memory, sir.	3		Those amounts. Are those public?
4	1302	One of your Cabinet colleagues, Ms. Broten,	4	1391	Q. Mr. Duncan, you're not here to
5		distributes the content of these radio ads in an	5	1371	argue with me, sir. Your lawyer will argue with me
6		email. You think that she	6		if she thinks she needs to.
7		A. She did not she did not	7		A. Thank you very much. Well, I
8	1383	Q. You have to let me finish the	8		just you asked me a question, so I said no, I
	1303	~	•		
9		question. You think she was distributing radio ads	9		don't know. Sure, were the top-line numbers
10		from an antigovernment station?	10		public? Yes. 345 million over I forget the
11	1004	A. I just never heard these ads.	11	1000	total billions over the life of the program, yes.
		Q. That's fine, sir. I accept that.	12	1392	
12	1384	_			Q. "Yes" what?
13	1384	A. I'm not I just I don't know	13		A. Those numbers were.
13 14	1384	A. I'm not I just I don't know what was in them precisely. Now, they're	13 14	1393	A. Those numbers were. Q. Were secret?
13 14 15		A. I'm not I just I don't know what was in them precisely. Now, they're clearly they're available based on that.	13 14 15	1393	A. Those numbers were. Q. Were secret? A. No, those were public. But were
13 14 15 16	1384	A. I'm not I just I don't know what was in them precisely. Now, they're clearly they're available based on that. Q. I'm showing you what's in them.	13 14	1393	A. Those numbers were. Q. Were secret? A. No, those were public. But were the amounts of money paid to individual horse
13 14 15 16 17		A. I'm not I just I don't know what was in them precisely. Now, they're clearly they're available based on that. Q. I'm showing you what's in them. A. I'm not sure that's an accurate	13 14 15	1393	A. Those numbers were. Q. Were secret? A. No, those were public. But were the amounts of money paid to individual horse people, individual tracks, I don't believe those
13 14 15 16 17		A. I'm not I just I don't know what was in them precisely. Now, they're clearly they're available based on that. Q. I'm showing you what's in them.	13 14 15 16 17 18	1393	A. Those numbers were. Q. Were secret? A. No, those were public. But were the amounts of money paid to individual horse people, individual tracks, I don't believe those were disclosed publicly.
13 14 15 16 17	1385	A. I'm not I just I don't know what was in them precisely. Now, they're clearly they're available based on that. Q. I'm showing you what's in them. A. I'm not sure that's an accurate	13 14 15 16 17	1393 1394	A. Those numbers were. Q. Were secret? A. No, those were public. But were the amounts of money paid to individual horse people, individual tracks, I don't believe those
13 14 15 16 17 18	1385	A. I'm not I just I don't know what was in them precisely. Now, they're clearly they're available based on that. Q. I'm showing you what's in them. A. I'm not sure that's an accurate Q. On what basis are you not sure	13 14 15 16 17 18		A. Those numbers were. Q. Were secret? A. No, those were public. But were the amounts of money paid to individual horse people, individual tracks, I don't believe those were disclosed publicly.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1385	A. I'm not I just I don't know what was in them precisely. Now, they're clearly they're available based on that. Q. I'm showing you what's in them. A. I'm not sure that's an accurate Q. On what basis are you not sure it's accurate, sir?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19		A. Those numbers were. Q. Were secret? A. No, those were public. But were the amounts of money paid to individual horse people, individual tracks, I don't believe those were disclosed publicly. Q. When you use in your speech the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1385	A. I'm not I just I don't know what was in them precisely. Now, they're clearly they're available based on that. Q. I'm showing you what's in them. A. I'm not sure that's an accurate Q. On what basis are you not sure it's accurate, sir? A. Well, you're asking me to comment	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		A. Those numbers were. Q. Were secret? A. No, those were public. But were the amounts of money paid to individual horse people, individual tracks, I don't believe those were disclosed publicly. Q. When you use in your speech the term
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1385	A. I'm not I just I don't know what was in them precisely. Now, they're clearly they're available based on that. Q. I'm showing you what's in them. A. I'm not sure that's an accurate Q. On what basis are you not sure it's accurate, sir? A. Well, you're asking me to comment on their accuracy, and I don't know if the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		A. Those numbers were. Q. Were secret? A. No, those were public. But were the amounts of money paid to individual horse people, individual tracks, I don't believe those were disclosed publicly. Q. When you use in your speech the term MS. LA HOREY: Can we give him a copy
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1385	A. I'm not I just I don't know what was in them precisely. Now, they're clearly they're available based on that. Q. I'm showing you what's in them. A. I'm not sure that's an accurate Q. On what basis are you not sure it's accurate, sir? A. Well, you're asking me to comment on their accuracy, and I don't know if the transcript is accurate.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		A. Those numbers were. Q. Were secret? A. No, those were public. But were the amounts of money paid to individual horse people, individual tracks, I don't believe those were disclosed publicly. Q. When you use in your speech the term MS. LA HOREY: Can we give him a copy of his speech?

1	1205	Page 365	1		Page 367
1	1395	Q. Sure.	1		Same word.
2		A. I think I used the gross numbers	2		A. But these weren't done by the
3		that were reported in estimates, and we talked	3		government, and I didn't say them.
4		about estimates yesterday. Just like if you want	4	1402	Q. I'm not suggesting you said them,
5		to know how much physician compensation was in	5		sir.
6		Ontario today, you could find out.	6		A. So why are you asking me about it?
7		Could you find out how much Dr. Smith	7		MS. LA HOREY: Hold on a sec. Just for
8		in Petawawa bills? Not yet, although that's the	8		the record, when he says these weren't done by the
9		courts are now saying the government may have to	9		government, the witness was pointing at Exhibit 31
10		reveal that. Just saying.	10		to Mr. Orsini's examination, CRE0081193.
11		MS. LA HOREY: Just wait for the	11		BY MR. LISUS:
12		question.	12	1403	Q. And, again, you can't tell me who
13		BY MR. LISUS:	13		did this or why Ms. Broten is sending them around?
14	1396	Q. Here's your speech. This should	14		MS. LA HOREY: We went over this
15		have been marked as an exhibit on your examination,	15		last
16		so we'll do that now.	16		THE WITNESS: We went over that
17		MS. LA HOREY: What number are we at?	17		yesterday.
18		MR. MATTHEWS: Exhibit Number 22.	18		MS. LA HOREY: I get to object. We
19		EXHIBIT NO. 22: Document entitled	19		went over that, so we're not going to cover old
20		"Ontario Finance Minister Dwight	20		ground.
21		Duncan, Remarks to the Economic Club of	21		BY MR. LISUS:
22		Canada, February 13, 2012"	22	1404	Q. You were anticipating, as you
23		BY MR. LISUS:	23	1101	explained to me, the Progressive Conservative party
24	1397		24		
25	1397	Q. Okay. So in your speech, sir, on	25		opposing your budget?
23		the fourth page, third, you say:	25		A. Actually, no, I think what I said
		Page 366			Page 368
1		"Ontario taxpayers have been	1		to you was that the individuals would oppose it,
2		subsidizing horse racing in Ontario	2		but I assume the Conservatives would oppose the
3		to the tune of 345 million a year."	3		entire budget. They always do. I don't think a
4		Right?	4		I don't think an official opposition have ever
5		A. Yes, that's correct.	5		voted in favour of a government budget. Perhaps in
6	1398	Q. You say:	6		wartime.
7		"To put that annual subsidy in	7	1405	Q. This radio ad says that:
8		perspective, it's more support."	8		"Tim Hudak says these rich
9		Et cetera. See that?	9		payouts should be protected."
10		A. More support than we provide for	10		You're shrugging.
11		water protection, road safety, and families.	11		MS. LA HOREY: No, there's no question
12	1399	Q. Right. And	12		yet.
13		A. I think it was, like, half the	13		THE WITNESS: What's the question?
14		entire budget in the Ministry of Agriculture and	14		BY MR. LISUS:
15		Food. It wasn't part of that budget.	15	1406	Q. Was it your view that Mr. Hudak
16	1400	Q. This is the fact-checked word that	16		thought that these rich payouts from Slots at
17		you explained to me a few minutes ago, subsidy;	17		Racetrack Program to the horse racing industry
18		right?	18		should be protected?
19		A. That was a word that was agreed to	19		A. That wasn't my ad. I don't know
-		by senior officials that should be used in	20		whose ad that was.
20		describing this.	21	1407	Q. "He had cancelled full-day
20 21			22	110/	kindergarten."
21	1401	(). When we look at the radio and it			remarked your court
21 22	1401	Q. When we look at the radio ads, it			
21 22 23	1401	says:	23		Do you see that?
21 22	1401				

		Page 369			Page 371
1		don't ever I never said that. So, no, I what	1		wasn't even privy to all the negotiations. I was
2		I see here is an advertisement. I don't even know	2		used as resource person.
3		who did them. I gave	3		At that point, I think the NDP had
4		MS. LA HOREY: Just wait for the	4		refused to talk to me. They only wanted to deal
5		question.	5		with the Premier's office, leader to leader as you
6		THE WITNESS: Sorry.	6		will.
7		BY MR. LISUS:	7		And ultimately, I believe they were
8	1408	Q. Are you aware that Minister	8		able to abstain from voting. So they were able
9		McMeekin in October 2012 said that the decision to	9		to and as I said, a number of items were
10		end the SAR program was made by the Ministry of	10		deliberately silent in the budget knowing that
11		Finance, not the Ministry of Agriculture	11		there would be post-budget negotiations.
12		A. Yes, I was.	12		And so ultimately, I think what they
13	1409	Q Food and Rural Affairs?	13		did was they got what they felt was enough to allow
14		A. Yes, I was.	14		them to allow us to pass the budget.
15	1410	O. And that he said it was his	15	1416	Q. And part of what they got was
16		decision to fight to establish a review process?	16		242 million for child care?
17		A. He certainly did, and he in	17		A. I don't recall the specifics.
18		both cases. He wasn't aware of all the aspects,	18		There were a variety of things. And then this
19		but he was very passionate and did vote for the	19		by the way, this wasn't the end of the I think
20		budget, I might add.	20		I'd indicated in earlier questions that subsequent
21		Ultimately, when a minister disagrees	21		to the deal, then when the budget went to
22		with his government, if he disagrees, he has the	22		committee, they started removing, with the support
23		ability to resign, which he did not do in my	23		of the Conservatives, key clauses to the budget
24		recollection. And I believe the Hansard record	24		which effectively
25		shows that he voted for the budget.	25		So that particular day, there was a
23		blows that he voted for the badget.	23		bo that particular day, there was a
1	1411	Page 370 Q. Did he discuss with you resigning?	1		Page 372 chance that the government could be could fall
2	1411	A. Never. We had many discussions	2		because the budget had would have effectively
3		both in Cabinet and	3		been defeated.
				1/17	
4 5		MS. LA HOREY: You're not going to discuss	4 5	1417	~
5 6			-		struck with the NDP to abstain from a vote against?
7		THE WITNESS: Sorry. We had many discussions outside of Cabinet.	6		A. No. We that was not we
			8		didn't have anything to do with their decision on
8	1 41 0	BY MR. LISUS:	•		the vote. We assume that they would simply vote
9	1412	Q. Now, counsel for OLG on the	9		with it, but they used they used a part of
10		examination of Mr. Bardeesy put an exhibit forward	10	1 41 0	that's their decision. We weren't privy to that.
11		and put a document forward, a newspaper article,	11	1418	Q. I want to just show you a report
12		and marked it as an exhibit.	12		of the deal that appears to have been struck. It
13		A. Okay.	13		says
			14		MS. LA HOREY: Can he just read it
14	1413	Q. And this talks about the minority			
14 15	1413	Liberal government surviving its first budget vote	15		first, please.
14 15 16	1413	Liberal government surviving its first budget vote with the cooperation of NDP leader Andrea	15 16		first, please. MR. LISUS: Yeah.
14 15 16 17	1413	Liberal government surviving its first budget vote with the cooperation of NDP leader Andrea Horvath	15 16 17		first, please. MR. LISUS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay.
14 15 16 17 18		Liberal government surviving its first budget vote with the cooperation of NDP leader Andrea Horvath A. Yes.	15 16 17 18		first, please. MR. LISUS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS:
14 15 16 17 18 19	1413 1414	Liberal government surviving its first budget vote with the cooperation of NDP leader Andrea Horvath A. Yes. Q avoiding a spring election?	15 16 17 18 19	1419	first, please. MR. LISUS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. The Liberals added 242 million
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1414	Liberal government surviving its first budget vote with the cooperation of NDP leader Andrea Horvath A. Yes. Q avoiding a spring election? A. That's absolutely correct.	15 16 17 18 19 20	1419	first, please. MR. LISUS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. The Liberals added 242 million over three years to help stabilize the province's
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Liberal government surviving its first budget vote with the cooperation of NDP leader Andrea Horvath A. Yes. Q avoiding a spring election? A. That's absolutely correct. Q. Okay. What happened there?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1419	first, please. MR. LISUS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. The Liberals added 242 million over three years to help stabilize the province's struggling child care sector as 4- and 5-year-olds
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1414	Liberal government surviving its first budget vote with the cooperation of NDP leader Andrea Horvath A. Yes. Q avoiding a spring election? A. That's absolutely correct. Q. Okay. What happened there? A. So your I don't the reason I	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1419	first, please. MR. LISUS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. The Liberals added 242 million over three years to help stabilize the province's
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1414	Liberal government surviving its first budget vote with the cooperation of NDP leader Andrea Horvath A. Yes. Q avoiding a spring election? A. That's absolutely correct. Q. Okay. What happened there? A. So your I don't the reason I imagine you have to use newspaper clippings is	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		first, please. MR. LISUS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. The Liberals added 242 million over three years to help stabilize the province's struggling child care sector as 4- and 5-year-olds move into all-day kindergarten; right? A. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1414	Liberal government surviving its first budget vote with the cooperation of NDP leader Andrea Horvath A. Yes. Q avoiding a spring election? A. That's absolutely correct. Q. Okay. What happened there? A. So your I don't the reason I	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1419	first, please. MR. LISUS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. The Liberals added 242 million over three years to help stabilize the province's struggling child care sector as 4- and 5-year-olds move into all-day kindergarten; right?

1		JUNCAN on March 16, 2018			
1		Page 373 had cancelled full-day kindergarten?	1	1426	Page 375 Q. Let me finish the question
2		A. I don't know what those ads I	2	1420	August 2012?
3		never heard those ads.	3		A. I never heard the term "wedge"
4	1421	Q. Are you aware, sir, that	4		used on anything.
5	1721	Minister McMeekin's chief of staff expressed the	5	1427	Q. Okay.
6		opinion to a colleague in the Cabinet office in	6	142/	A. Were there discussions about
7		2013 that the decision to terminate the revenue	'		
			7	1400	strategy of getting the budget passed? Absolutely.
8		share at Slots at Racetrack Program without	8	1428	Q. Was the positioning of the
9		transition support was, in part, designed to wedge	9		decision regarding SARP part of that strategy?
10		the opposition in the short-term?	10		A. I don't I don't recall because
11	1400	A. No.	11	1400	it wasn't a huge issue.
12	1422	Q. Have you heard that before?	12	1429	Q. Okay. Now
13		A. No.	13		A. And I note that the NDP abstained
14	1423	Q. The decision did wedge the	14		from voting. I do recall that publicly in the
15		opposition, didn't it?	15		House and other places, both the NDP and the
16		A. I don't know. I have no idea what	16		Conservatives voiced their opposition to the plan
17		the opp why the opposition do what they do. I	17		to end the subsidy. Again, I can't answer beyond
18		do know that that as you know, he confirmed that	18		that because I wasn't involved in all of the
19		we very much were going to do a transition.	19		specific negotiations.
20		Absolutely.	20	1430	Q. Did you ever read the horse racing
21		And I think I did indicate to you in	21		transition panel interim report in August?
22		earlier answers that part of the budget is the	22		A. I have not, no. I think that was
23		policy decisions as well as what I would call the	23		published after I left.
24		communications decisions.	24	1431	Q. August 17, 2012.
25		And as I said to you, we had to be	25		A. No, I'm sorry. I didn't read
		Page 374	<u> </u>		Page 376
1		constantly aware of the fact that we would we	1		that.
2		would need either the vote of one of the opposition	2	1432	Q. I want to show you Exhibit 15 on
3		parties or their abstention in order to pass the	3		Mr. Shortill's examination. In the summer of 2012,
4		budget.	4		did you speak with Mr. Sorbara at all about the
5	1424	Q. Did you speak with	5		decision to terminate SARP?
6		MR. LISUS: I'll mark that newspaper	I 6		
7			6		A. The summer of 2012?
, ,		article as the next exhibit, please.	7	1433	A. The summer of 2012? Q. Yes.
8				1433	
		article as the next exhibit, please.	7	1433	Q. Yes.
8		article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What	7 8	1433	Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have
8 9		article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at?	7 8 9	1433	Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have
8 9 10		article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012,	7 8 9 10	1433	Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm
8 9 10 11		article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article, "Ontario budget: Child care	7 8 9 10 11	1433	Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I may have spoken to him,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14		article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article, "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal,"	7 8 9 10 11 12	1433 1434	Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I may have spoken to him, but I don't recall. I spoke to Greg on a number of
8 9 10 11 12 13		article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article, "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal," will be Exhibit C.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I may have spoken to him, but I don't recall. I spoke to Greg on a number of issues.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14		article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article, "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal," will be Exhibit C. EXHIBIT NO. C for Identification:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I may have spoken to him, but I don't recall. I spoke to Greg on a number of issues. Q. Are you aware that on August 2,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article, "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal," will be Exhibit C. EXHIBIT NO. C for Identification: April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I may have spoken to him, but I don't recall. I spoke to Greg on a number of issues. Q. Are you aware that on August 2, 2012, Mr. Sorbara gave a radio interview in which
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article, "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal," will be Exhibit C. EXHIBIT NO. C for Identification: April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article entitled "Ontario budget: Child care	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I may have spoken to him, but I don't recall. I spoke to Greg on a number of issues. Q. Are you aware that on August 2, 2012, Mr. Sorbara gave a radio interview in which he commented on SARP and said that he had been
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article, "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal," will be Exhibit C. EXHIBIT NO. C for Identification: April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article entitled "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I may have spoken to him, but I don't recall. I spoke to Greg on a number of issues. Q. Are you aware that on August 2, 2012, Mr. Sorbara gave a radio interview in which he commented on SARP and said that he had been speaking with Mr. Wilkinson on the panel and that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1425	article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article, "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal," will be Exhibit C. EXHIBIT NO. C for Identification: April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article entitled "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal."	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I may have spoken to him, but I don't recall. I spoke to Greg on a number of issues. Q. Are you aware that on August 2, 2012, Mr. Sorbara gave a radio interview in which he commented on SARP and said that he had been speaking with Mr. Wilkinson on the panel and that relief or support or help was going to be
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1425	article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article, "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal," will be Exhibit C. EXHIBIT NO. C for Identification: April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article entitled "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal." BY MR. LISUS:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1434	Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I may have spoken to him, but I don't recall. I spoke to Greg on a number of issues. Q. Are you aware that on August 2, 2012, Mr. Sorbara gave a radio interview in which he commented on SARP and said that he had been speaking with Mr. Wilkinson on the panel and that relief or support or help was going to be A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1425	article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article, "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal," will be Exhibit C. EXHIBIT NO. C for Identification: April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article entitled "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal." BY MR. LISUS: Q. Are you aware of there being in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1434	Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I may have spoken to him, but I don't recall. I spoke to Greg on a number of issues. Q. Are you aware that on August 2, 2012, Mr. Sorbara gave a radio interview in which he commented on SARP and said that he had been speaking with Mr. Wilkinson on the panel and that relief or support or help was going to be A. Yes. Q. You are aware of that?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1425	article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article, "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal," will be Exhibit C. EXHIBIT NO. C for Identification: April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article entitled "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal." BY MR. LISUS: Q. Are you aware of there being in addition to Mr. Keegan's views about the decision	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1434	Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I may have spoken to him, but I don't recall. I spoke to Greg on a number of issues. Q. Are you aware that on August 2, 2012, Mr. Sorbara gave a radio interview in which he commented on SARP and said that he had been speaking with Mr. Wilkinson on the panel and that relief or support or help was going to be A. Yes. Q. You are aware of that? A. I am aware of that, yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1425	article as the next exhibit, please. MS. LA HOREY: Lettered exhibit. What are we at? MR. MATTHEWS: So the April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article, "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal," will be Exhibit C. EXHIBIT NO. C for Identification: April 24, 2012, Toronto Star article entitled "Ontario budget: Child care and anti-poverty advocates cheer Liberal-NDP deal." BY MR. LISUS: Q. Are you aware of there being in addition to Mr. Keegan's views about the decision regarding SARP to have been wedge strategy, there	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1434	Q. Yes. A. No, because there I may have spoken to him about SARP, but there wouldn't have been a decision. Oh, in the summer of 2012. I'm sorry. I don't recall. I may have spoken to him, but I don't recall. I spoke to Greg on a number of issues. Q. Are you aware that on August 2, 2012, Mr. Sorbara gave a radio interview in which he commented on SARP and said that he had been speaking with Mr. Wilkinson on the panel and that relief or support or help was going to be A. Yes. Q. You are aware of that? A. I am aware of that, yes. Q. Are you aware that as a result of

		D 077			D 077
1	1437	Page 377 Q. How are you aware of that, sir?	1		Page 379 the industry and rural Ontario to help us figure
2		A. I don't recall. We were engaged	2		out the best way to implement transition funding.
3		in setting up the transition, negotiating how it	3	1443	Q. And do you see where Mr. Wilkinson
4		would happen, but I don't I don't recall	4		says to Mr. Gene:
5		specifically. I don't recall a conversation with	5		"I will lay out the plan B and
6		Greg about that. I do recall when he did the radio	6		time lines we need to avoid the
7		interview. I was made aware of it. I don't recall	7		consequences of plan A, fiscal,
		specific conversations.	8		<u>-</u>
8	1 4 2 0				legal, and political, and give us an
9	1438	Q. Do you recall who made you aware?	9		advantage in the by-elections by
10		A. No. I also recall Greg in his	10		wedging both Tim and Andrea."
11		autobiography spoke about how he opposed initially	11		A. I saw that, yes.
12		the HST back in 2009 when he was still in our	12	1444	Q. Did the implementation of
13		caucus.	13		transition support give an advantage in the
14		And Greg is Greg again, I do not	14		by-elections?
15		recall speaking to him about this specifically, but	15		A. I don't know. I wasn't involved
16		I do recall hearing about his comments. And it was	16		in by-elections. I don't even recall which
17		consistent with what they were going to do, so we	17		by-elections. I think we lost all the by-elections
18		weren't too concerned about it. That's probably	18		around then, I think.
19		why I didn't speak to him.	19	1445	Q. And so did you have any
20	1439	Q. Are you aware that Mr. Wilkinson	20		discussions with Mr. Wilkinson about wedging the
21		wrote Mr. Gene and emailed the day after that radio	21		Conservatives?
22		interview?	22		A. I would have had conversations
23		A. I knew they were in communication.	23		about I certainly don't recall using the word
24		I'm not aware of a specific email.	24		"wedges," and I don't recall any conversations on
25	1440	Q. Are you aware that Mr. Wilkinson	25		that with him.
		Page 378			Page 380
1		spoke to Mr. Gene about any transition strategy	1		But I certainly you know, I was
2		MS. LA HOREY: Well, you're reading	2		surprised that the NDP didn't make a bigger issue
3		from a document, Mr. Lisus, and you put a document	3		out of I wasn't surprised with the
4		in front of the witness. Why don't you give him a	4		Conservatives. After all, it was their program
5		chance to look at it.	5		initially. They're the ones who expanded you
6		THE WITNESS: Is it the same document?	6		know, brought it in along with a number of other
7		I'm sorry. Where is this that you were talking	7		tax cuts for the industry.
8		about a letter?	8		I was surprised that the NDP didn't
9			9		make a bigger issue out of it. You'll notice in
	1 // / 1	BY MR. LISUS:			
10	1441	Q. Second paragraph.	10		the previous documents that you asked me to look at, there's no reference to it by the either of
11		MS. LA HOREY: Let's just take a	11		at. there's no reference to it by the either of
			10		
12		minute. We'll all read it. And for the record,	12		those articles.
12 13		minute. We'll all read it. And for the record, CRE0029291.	13		those articles. You asked if it was part of the
12 13 14		minute. We'll all read it. And for the record, CRE0029291. THE WITNESS: Okay.	13 14		those articles. You asked if it was part of the discussions. I wasn't privy to all those
12 13 14 15		minute. We'll all read it. And for the record, CRE0029291. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS:	13 14 15		those articles. You asked if it was part of the discussions. I wasn't privy to all those discussions. But the NDP ultimately abstained and
12 13 14 15 16	1442	minute. We'll all read it. And for the record, CRE0029291. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Did this email sequence come to	13 14 15 16		those articles. You asked if it was part of the discussions. I wasn't privy to all those discussions. But the NDP ultimately abstained and allowed the budget to pass.
12 13 14 15 16 17	1442	minute. We'll all read it. And for the record, CRE0029291. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Did this email sequence come to your attention in the summer	13 14 15 16 17	1446	those articles. You asked if it was part of the discussions. I wasn't privy to all those discussions. But the NDP ultimately abstained and allowed the budget to pass. Q. And I want to ask you about some
12 13 14 15 16 17	1442	minute. We'll all read it. And for the record, CRE0029291. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Did this email sequence come to your attention in the summer A. I don't believe I ever read the	13 14 15 16 17 18	1446	those articles. You asked if it was part of the discussions. I wasn't privy to all those discussions. But the NDP ultimately abstained and allowed the budget to pass.
12 13 14 15 16 17	1442	minute. We'll all read it. And for the record, CRE0029291. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Did this email sequence come to your attention in the summer	13 14 15 16 17	1446	those articles. You asked if it was part of the discussions. I wasn't privy to all those discussions. But the NDP ultimately abstained and allowed the budget to pass. Q. And I want to ask you about some
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1442	minute. We'll all read it. And for the record, CRE0029291. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Did this email sequence come to your attention in the summer A. I don't believe I ever read the	13 14 15 16 17 18	1446 1447	those articles. You asked if it was part of the discussions. I wasn't privy to all those discussions. But the NDP ultimately abstained and allowed the budget to pass. Q. And I want to ask you about some comments that you made in March of 2012
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1442	minute. We'll all read it. And for the record, CRE0029291. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Did this email sequence come to your attention in the summer A. I don't believe I ever read the email, but I do remember that there were I	13 14 15 16 17 18 19		those articles. You asked if it was part of the discussions. I wasn't privy to all those discussions. But the NDP ultimately abstained and allowed the budget to pass. Q. And I want to ask you about some comments that you made in March of 2012 A. Okay.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1442	minute. We'll all read it. And for the record, CRE0029291. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Did this email sequence come to your attention in the summer A. I don't believe I ever read the email, but I do remember that there were I talked to John directly on a number of occasions	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		those articles. You asked if it was part of the discussions. I wasn't privy to all those discussions. But the NDP ultimately abstained and allowed the budget to pass. Q. And I want to ask you about some comments that you made in March of 2012 A. Okay. Q which we know is prior to the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1442	minute. We'll all read it. And for the record, CRE0029291. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Did this email sequence come to your attention in the summer A. I don't believe I ever read the email, but I do remember that there were I talked to John directly on a number of occasions because we were actively looking for him to be a	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		those articles. You asked if it was part of the discussions. I wasn't privy to all those discussions. But the NDP ultimately abstained and allowed the budget to pass. Q. And I want to ask you about some comments that you made in March of 2012 A. Okay. Q which we know is prior to the budget being tabled; correct?
	1442	minute. We'll all read it. And for the record, CRE0029291. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Did this email sequence come to your attention in the summer A. I don't believe I ever read the email, but I do remember that there were I talked to John directly on a number of occasions because we were actively looking for him to be a key part of the panel.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1447	You asked if it was part of the discussions. I wasn't privy to all those discussions. But the NDP ultimately abstained and allowed the budget to pass. Q. And I want to ask you about some comments that you made in March of 2012 A. Okay. Q which we know is prior to the budget being tabled; correct? A. Yes.

		Page 381			Page 383
1		Program?	1		MR. MATTHEWS: 23.
2		A. I'm sorry. What's the date of	2		MS. LA HOREY: Thank you.
3		these comments from	3		EXHIBIT NO. 23: Document entitled
4	1449	Q. March 20, 2012.	4		"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)"
5		A. So approximately a week before the	5		dated Tuesday, March 20, 2012.
6		budget.	6		BY MR. LISUS:
7	1450	Q. Yes.	7	1453	Q. I want to show you
8		A. Okay.	8		MR. ROSENBERG: What I've been handed
9		MS. LA HOREY: Can you provide the	9		is the March 21st transcript. What's on the screen
10		witness with a copy if you're going to ask about	10		is the March 20th.
11		them, please. Can you give us the document	11		MR. LISUS: We just marked March 20.
12		reference, if there is one?	12		We're going to look at March 21 now.
13		THE WITNESS: There doesn't appear to	13		MR. ROSENBERG: Exhibit 23 is March
14		be one.	14		21st.
15		MS. LA HOREY: Okay.	15		
		-	-		MR. MATTHEWS: No, that's not correct.
16		MR. ROSENBERG: Counsel, is this	16		Exhibit 23 is March 20th, which I have in front of
17		produced? Do you have a copy for me?	17		me.
18		MR. MATTHEWS: We've provided a copy	18		MS. LA HOREY: Can we go off the record
19		for the witness.	19		for a sec.
20		MR. ROSENBERG: You haven't provided a	20		OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION
21		copy for counsel so I can follow along.	21		BY MR. LISUS:
22		MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, I didn't where	22	1454	Q. Looking now at Hansard March 21.
23		did this come from?	23		A. Yes.
24		MR. LISUS: From Hansard.	24	1455	Q. You see there's a number of
25		MS. LA HOREY: Okay. It hasn't been	25		statements made by you on that day about
		Page 382			Page 384
1		produced as an exhibit or attachment to date?	1		A. I'm sorry. Are you referring to
2		MR. LISUS: Correct. Well, I don't	2		page 1160 of Hansard?
3		know if it's an attachment on the Affidavit or not.	3	1456	Q. Begins on 1159.
4		MR. MATTHEWS: I'm not sure.	4		A. I'm sorry. Okay. May I read
5		MR. LISUS: Do you want a copy,	5		this, please?
6		Mr. Rosenberg?	6	1457	Q. Yes, of course.
7		MR. ROSENBERG: I don't want to delay	7	110,	A. Okay. I've had a chance to read
8		the examination. Why don't I just stand behind the	8		it.
9		witness.	9	1458	Q. You made those comments?
10			10	1130	A. Yes.
		MR. MATTHEWS: I can put it up on the			
11		screen if you just bear with me for a second.	11		MR. LISUS: That's the next exhibit.
12		MR. ROSENBERG: Sure. Thank you.	12		MS. LA HOREY: Is this 24?
10		ND TT0770- 0 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			DISTITUTE NO. OA. D
13		MR. LISUS: So we're now in March 20th.	13		EXHIBIT NO. 24: Document entitled
14		MS. LA HOREY: Let everyone catch up,	14		"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)"
14 15		$\operatorname{MS}.$ LA HOREY: Let everyone catch up, please, sir.	14 15		"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)" dated Wednesday, March 21, 2012.
14 15 16		MS. LA HOREY: Let everyone catch up, please, sir. MR. LISUS: I'm on page 1113.	14 15 16		"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)"
14 15 16 17		$\operatorname{MS}.$ LA HOREY: Let everyone catch up, please, sir.	14 15	1459	"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)" dated Wednesday, March 21, 2012. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Now, the budget hadn't been tabled
14 15 16		MS. LA HOREY: Let everyone catch up, please, sir. MR. LISUS: I'm on page 1113.	14 15 16	1459	"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)" dated Wednesday, March 21, 2012. BY MR. LISUS:
14 15 16 17	1451	MS. LA HOREY: Let everyone catch up, please, sir. MR. LISUS: I'm on page 1113. THE WITNESS: Okay.	14 15 16 17	1459	"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)" dated Wednesday, March 21, 2012. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Now, the budget hadn't been tabled
14 15 16 17 18	1451	MS. LA HOREY: Let everyone catch up, please, sir. MR. LISUS: I'm on page 1113. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS:	14 15 16 17 18	1459 1460	"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)" dated Wednesday, March 21, 2012. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Now, the budget hadn't been tabled at this point?
14 15 16 17 18 19	1451	MS. LA HOREY: Let everyone catch up, please, sir. MR. LISUS: I'm on page 1113. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. You made those comments in the	14 15 16 17 18 19		"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)" dated Wednesday, March 21, 2012. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Now, the budget hadn't been tabled at this point? A. That's correct.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1451 1452	MS. LA HOREY: Let everyone catch up, please, sir. MR. LISUS: I'm on page 1113. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. You made those comments in the House?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20		"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)" dated Wednesday, March 21, 2012. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Now, the budget hadn't been tabled at this point? A. That's correct. Q. So why were you having debates
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		MS. LA HOREY: Let everyone catch up, please, sir. MR. LISUS: I'm on page 1113. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. You made those comments in the House? A. I did.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)" dated Wednesday, March 21, 2012. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Now, the budget hadn't been tabled at this point? A. That's correct. Q. So why were you having debates about the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		MS. LA HOREY: Let everyone catch up, please, sir. MR. LISUS: I'm on page 1113. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. LISUS: Q. You made those comments in the House? A. I did. Q. If we go to March 21.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)" dated Wednesday, March 21, 2012. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Now, the budget hadn't been tabled at this point? A. That's correct. Q. So why were you having debates about the A. Because of my speech to the

וועעם	IGITI I	DUNCAN ON March 16, 2018			
1		Page 385 Club would become the subject of questions in the	1		Page 387 EXHIBIT NO. 25: Document entitled
2		House?	2		"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)"
3		A. Yes.	3		dated Wednesday, April 18, 2012.
4	1462	Q. And I want to show you a Hansard	4		MR. MATTHEWS: Just for the record,
	1402	-			·
5		extract from April 26.	5		because I don't think we said so, we've given the
6	1.460	A. 2012?	6		witness an excerpt from Hansard of April 26, 2012.
7	1463	Q. Yes, sir. And before that,	7	4.004	BY MR. LISUS:
8		April 18, 2012.	8	1471	Q. That's what you said in the House?
9		A. Okay.	9		A. Yes.
10	1464	Q. Now, in this session and you	10		MS. LA HOREY: And it's page 1886 of
11		said these things in the House?	11		Hansard. And that's exhibit
12		A. If it's in Hansard, I would have,	12		MR. MATTHEWS: 26, I believe.
13		yes.	13		Mr. Rosenberg, that's the last exhibit.
14	1465	Q. In this session, you make	14		EXHIBIT NO. 26: Document entitled
15		reference to Mr. Drummond, quote:	15		"Official Report of Debates (Hansard)"
16		"Said not to subsidize horse	16		dated Thursday, April 26, 2012.
17		racing. They said no to that."	17		BY MR. LISUS:
18		Do you see that?	18	1472	Q. Was part of the deal that the
19		MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, which page are	19		government did with the NDP with respect to the
20		you on?	20		budget was a payment of \$50 million over three
21		THE WITNESS: Where is that? I'm	21		years to assist in transition?
22		sorry. I missed that.	22		A. I don't recall.
23		BY MR. LISUS:	23	1473	0. Okay.
24	1466		24	11/3	A. One of the concerns I had
	1400	Q. April 18, page 1661, left-hand			
25		column.	25		sorry.
		Page 386	_		Page 388
1		A. Sorry, I missed that page. I	1		MS. LA HOREY: Mr. Lisus has enough
2		apologize. Can I read it? I want to read the	2		questions of his own without you answering ones
3		whole page. Okay.	3		that he hasn't asked.
4	1467	Q. And on the prior page, 1660, you	4		BY MR. LISUS:
5		see your reference to:	5	1474	Q. Were you involved in the work of
6		"The leader of their party says	6		the panel in the summer of 2012?
7		we should have adopted every one of	7		A. No.
8		Don Drummond's recommendations."	8	1475	Q. Were you updated on the progress
9		No, I think the words were "no cherry-picking."	9		of the panel?
10		A. Yeah.	10		A. I don't recall. I would assume I
11	1468	Q. And then you go over to say:	11		would have been. And I'm not sure how much work
12		"Mr. Drummond said not to	12		they were doing at the time.
13		subsidize horse racing."	13	1476	Q. Okay.
14		They said no to that; right?	14	-	A. Typically the panels like that
15		A. Yes, the opposition said no to	15		slow down in the summertime.
16		that.	16	1477	Q. Okay. Were you aware as to
17	1469	0. You understood that Mr. Drummond	17	11 11	whether or not there was urgency to the provision
18	エヨリブ	had recommended the elimination of the Slots at	18		
TO					of transition support to the horse racing industry after the announcement of the termination of Slots
10		Racetrack Program? A. No, I didn't understand that.	19		
19		A. No, I didn't understand that.	20		at Racetrack Program?
20		•	0.4		
20 21		I think I misspoke in the House.	21		A. There certainly was on my part
20 21 22	1470	I think I misspoke in the House. Q. Okay.	22		because we knew that the as soon as those
20 21 22 23	1470	I think I misspoke in the House.			because we knew that the as soon as those termination clauses were operative, we knew we were
20 21 22	1470	I think I misspoke in the House. Q. Okay.	22		because we knew that the as soon as those

		DUNCAN on March 16, 2018			
1	1.470	Page 389	1		Page 391
1	1478	Q. And the panel was going to get it	1		that you were asked by counsel for the plaintiffs,
2		done?	2		and so I'll have to jump around a bit, and for that
3	1.450	A. That was my hope and expectation.	3		I apologize.
4	1479	Q. What did you understand to be the	4		But the usual rules apply. If you
5		nature of the urgency?	5		don't understand my question, please ask me to
6		A. Well, first of all, that as of 365	6		repeat or rephrase. I'll be happy to do so.
7		days later, the subsidy stopped.	7		Mr. Lisus took you to a document that
8	1480	Q. Is that when you expected the	8		was marked as Exhibit B to your examination, and
9		situation would	9		this is a briefing note from the Ministry of
10		A. Well, it would become acute then.	10		Agriculture and Rural Affairs January 27, 2012.
11		I mean, there were business decisions being made	11		It's also marked as Exhibit C to Mr. Shortill's
12		already as reflected, for instance, in the Hansards	12		examination if that assists my friends.
13		that you showed me.	13		MR. LISUS: What's the page number in
14		That work I do in other parts of the	14		the upper right corner?
15		agricultural sector, I know that, you know, they	15		MR. ROSENBERG: I have two sets of page
16		make decisions last fall with respect to crops	16		numbers. So I see 785 in the smaller one. Does
17		they're going to put in their field next spring.	17		that assist?
18		So there certainly was an urgency and	18		BY MR. ROSENBERG:
19		that the longer that was left open, the more	19	1482	Q. If I could direct your attention
20		difficult it would be.	20		to page 5 of that document, please.
21		MR. LISUS: Let's take a break.	21		A. Okay.
22		Recess at 12:20 p.m	22	1483	Q. You'll see under the heading
23		Upon resuming at 1:10 p.m	23		"Cons"
24		MR. LISUS: Those are my questions for	24		A. Mm-hm.
25		now.	25		MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, you need to say
1		Page 390 MS. LA HOREY: When you say "for now,"	1		Page 392 "yes" for the record.
2		you mean subject to your reexamination?	2		THE WITNESS: I apologize. Yes.
3		MR. LISUS: My recross-examination and	3		BY MR. ROSENBERG:
4		any other information that comes up by way of	4	1484	Q. "Potential for raising
5		refusal or otherwise.	5	1101	Q. Totalicial for larging
6		rerusar or otherwise.)		expectations of OMAFPA's ability to
7		MC IN HODEV: Well I think you're	6		expectations of OMAFRA's ability to
/		MS. LA HOREY: Well, I think you're	6		deliver on stakeholder management
		limited to anything that comes out of questions	7		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have
8		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's	7 8		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine
8 9		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine	7 8 9		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more
8 9 10		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan.	7 8 9 10		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process."
8 9 10 11		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So	7 8 9 10 11		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that?
8 9 10 11 12		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising	7 8 9 10 11 12	1405	deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising out of a refusal that is ruled by the Court to be	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1485	deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Was that consistent with your
8 9 10 11 12 13 14		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising out of a refusal that is ruled by the Court to be answered, our position is that you're done. So one	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1485	deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Was that consistent with your understanding of OMAFRA's expertise in January
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising out of a refusal that is ruled by the Court to be answered, our position is that you're done. So one last chance to ask one more question, Mr. Lisus.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1485	deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Was that consistent with your understanding of OMAFRA's expertise in January 2012?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising out of a refusal that is ruled by the Court to be answered, our position is that you're done. So one last chance to ask one more question, Mr. Lisus. No? Okay.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Was that consistent with your understanding of CMAFRA's expertise in January 2012? A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising out of a refusal that is ruled by the Court to be answered, our position is that you're done. So one last chance to ask one more question, Mr. Lisus. No? Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: Let's go off the record	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1485	deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Was that consistent with your understanding of OMAFRA's expertise in January 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you go back to page 4 of the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising out of a refusal that is ruled by the Court to be answered, our position is that you're done. So one last chance to ask one more question, Mr. Lisus. No? Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: Let's go off the record for a second.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Was that consistent with your understanding of OMAFRA's expertise in January 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you go back to page 4 of the document, under the heading "Cons," you see:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising out of a refusal that is ruled by the Court to be answered, our position is that you're done. So one last chance to ask one more question, Mr. Lisus. No? Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: Let's go off the record	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Was that consistent with your understanding of OMAFRA's expertise in January 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you go back to page 4 of the document, under the heading "Cons," you see: "Even though OMAFRA does not
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising out of a refusal that is ruled by the Court to be answered, our position is that you're done. So one last chance to ask one more question, Mr. Lisus. No? Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: Let's go off the record for a second.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Was that consistent with your understanding of OMAFRA's expertise in January 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you go back to page 4 of the document, under the heading "Cons," you see:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1481	limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising out of a refusal that is ruled by the Court to be answered, our position is that you're done. So one last chance to ask one more question, Mr. Lisus. No? Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: Let's go off the record for a second. OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Was that consistent with your understanding of OMAFRA's expertise in January 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you go back to page 4 of the document, under the heading "Cons," you see: "Even though OMAFRA does not
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1481	limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising out of a refusal that is ruled by the Court to be answered, our position is that you're done. So one last chance to ask one more question, Mr. Lisus. No? Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: Let's go off the record for a second. OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSENBERG:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Was that consistent with your understanding of OMAFRA's expertise in January 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you go back to page 4 of the document, under the heading "Cons," you see: "Even though OMAFRA does not have a significant policy or program
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1481	limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising out of a refusal that is ruled by the Court to be answered, our position is that you're done. So one last chance to ask one more question, Mr. Lisus. No? Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: Let's go off the record for a second. OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSENBERG: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Duncan. My	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Was that consistent with your understanding of OMAFRA's expertise in January 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you go back to page 4 of the document, under the heading "Cons," you see: "Even though OMAFRA does not have a significant policy or program focus on the industry."
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1481	limited to anything that comes out of questions refused that are directed to be answered. That's my position, that this is your chance to examine Mr. Duncan. You have my position on the record. So subject to your reexamination and anything arising out of a refusal that is ruled by the Court to be answered, our position is that you're done. So one last chance to ask one more question, Mr. Lisus. No? Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: Let's go off the record for a second. OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSENBERG: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Duncan. My name is Michael Rosenberg. I'm counsel for the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		deliver on stakeholder management when the ministry does not have designated expertise in the equine industry - expertise is more focused on process." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Was that consistent with your understanding of OMAFRA's expertise in January 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you go back to page 4 of the document, under the heading "Cons," you see: "Even though OMAFRA does not have a significant policy or program focus on the industry." And, again, I'd ask, was that consistent with your

	Page 393		Page 395
1	A. Yes.	1	a budget, to kind of get everything pulled together
2	1487 Q. Now, the paragraph continues:	2	and get a consistent plan. Because that's what a
3	"The ministry is regarded as	3	budget is ultimately, a plan.
4	being an important voice for the	4	In the development of the budget
5	sector - the absence of OMAFRA from	5	itself, there are two principal three principal
6	the implementation may raise some	6	components to the budget: Revenue plan,
7	criticism."	7	expenditure plan, and a policy plan.
8	My question is whether it was intended that OMAFRA	8	Typically, as I indicated in earlier
9	would play a role in implementing transition	9	testimony, the first minister and the Finance
10	funding for the horse racing industry following the	10	minister will kind of get together early on and
11	termination of SARP.	11	say, "Okay, where what do we want this to be
12	A. Yes.	12	about?"
13	1488 Q. Mr. Lisus asked you a number of	13	You know, this is the government's
14	questions about who was told what and when. In	14	plan. Documents such as a party's platform will
15	fairness to you, sir, I think that you answered	15	inform that, the state of the economy.
16	that you did not recall a number of times.	16	You know, when we did the 2008 budget,
17	I was wondering if just generally you	17	Bear Stearns had just happened. Asset-backed
18	could tell me how budget secrecy works.	18	commercial paper was just starting to emerge, but
19	A. Okay. Budget secrecy is rooted in	19	we had a surplus, and nobody really had yet
20	the past when oftentimes there would be information	20	fathomed what was going to happen in the next
21	contained in the budget that was seen to be able to	21	several months.
22	move markets. That's why the Finance minister	22	So it gives the government time to do
23	doesn't rise until 4 o'clock traditional time when	23	that. Then you typically do an expenditure plan.
24	markets close. Of course, that's all in the past.	24	So the government then directs every ministry,
25	Budgets are as I indicated	25	every Crown corporation to project its own spending
	Page 204		Page 2000
1	Page 394 yesterday, are not even a required document.	1	Page 396 plans.
2	Estimates are required. Budgets first started, I	2	And you can conduct that process in
3	believe, in the U.K. parliament the beginning of	١ ۾	
4		3	different ways. The process we use was we would
1 1	the 20th Century.	4	different ways. The process we use was we would send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we
5	the 20th Century. And even within Ontario standing orders		send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we
	-	4	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry.
5	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons,	4 5	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a
5 6	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only	4 5 6	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The
5 6 7	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates.	4 5 6 7	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the
5 6 7 8	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government	4 5 6 7 8	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget.
5 6 7 8 9	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates.	4 5 6 7 8	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the
5 6 7 8 9	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the	4 5 6 7 8 9	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that. So the secrecy goes back to a time long	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to programs. There's a certain amount of latitude.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that. So the secrecy goes back to a time long ago. It also gives the government time to go	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that. So the secrecy goes back to a time long ago. It also gives the government time to go through an exercise similar to the one we went	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to programs. There's a certain amount of latitude. Typically what the central agencies do is provide a large a number, a top-of-line
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that. So the secrecy goes back to a time long ago. It also gives the government time to go	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to programs. There's a certain amount of latitude. Typically what the central agencies do
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that. So the secrecy goes back to a time long ago. It also gives the government time to go through an exercise similar to the one we went through with respect to the horse racing industry	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to programs. There's a certain amount of latitude. Typically what the central agencies do is provide a large a number, a top-of-line number, and typically it's never enough.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that. So the secrecy goes back to a time long ago. It also gives the government time to go through an exercise similar to the one we went through with respect to the horse racing industry and with the SARP program. It allows governments because	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to programs. There's a certain amount of latitude. Typically what the central agencies do is provide a large a number, a top-of-line number, and typically it's never enough. Q. What's never enough? A. The amount of money that the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that. So the secrecy goes back to a time long ago. It also gives the government time to go through an exercise similar to the one we went through with respect to the horse racing industry and with the SARP program. It allows governments because remember, governments are full of people with	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to programs. There's a certain amount of latitude. Typically what the central agencies do is provide a large a number, a top-of-line number, and typically it's never enough. Q. What's never enough? A. The amount of money that the central agencies will assign. If you're the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that. So the secrecy goes back to a time long ago. It also gives the government time to go through an exercise similar to the one we went through with respect to the horse racing industry and with the SARP program. It allows governments — because remember, governments are full of people with different points of view. There's not always	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to programs. There's a certain amount of latitude. Typically what the central agencies do is provide a large — a number, a top-of-line number, and typically it's never enough. Q. What's never enough? A. The amount of money that the central agencies will assign. If you're the minister — let's use agriculture. You'd get your
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that. So the secrecy goes back to a time long ago. It also gives the government time to go through an exercise similar to the one we went through with respect to the horse racing industry and with the SARP program. It allows governments — because remember, governments are full of people with different points of view. There's not always agreement within a government on any issue.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to programs. There's a certain amount of latitude. Typically what the central agencies do is provide a large a number, a top-of-line number, and typically it's never enough. Q. What's never enough? A. The amount of money that the central agencies will assign. If you're the minister let's use agriculture. You'd get your envelope. It might say \$600 million.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that. So the secrecy goes back to a time long ago. It also gives the government time to go through an exercise similar to the one we went through with respect to the horse racing industry and with the SARP program. It allows governments because remember, governments are full of people with different points of view. There's not always agreement within a government on any issue. And it gives the government time to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to programs. There's a certain amount of latitude. Typically what the central agencies do is provide a large a number, a top-of-line number, and typically it's never enough. Q. What's never enough? A. The amount of money that the central agencies will assign. If you're the minister let's use agriculture. You'd get your envelope. It might say \$600 million. By your own reckoning, you can't
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that. So the secrecy goes back to a time long ago. It also gives the government time to go through an exercise similar to the one we went through with respect to the horse racing industry and with the SARP program. It allows governments because remember, governments are full of people with different points of view. There's not always agreement within a government on any issue. And it gives the government time to evolve a position, have an internal debate and	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to programs. There's a certain amount of latitude. Typically what the central agencies do is provide a large a number, a top-of-line number, and typically it's never enough. Q. What's never enough? A. The amount of money that the central agencies will assign. If you're the minister let's use agriculture. You'd get your envelope. It might say \$600 million. By your own reckoning, you can't deliver your existing programs with that. And
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And even within Ontario standing orders and in the standing orders of the House of Commons, there's no requirement for a budget. The only thing there's a requirement for is estimates. For instance, Mr. Eves' government actually did a budget at magna outside of the legislature. Now, it turned out there is now considered to be a convention around that. So the secrecy goes back to a time long ago. It also gives the government time to go through an exercise similar to the one we went through with respect to the horse racing industry and with the SARP program. It allows governments because remember, governments are full of people with different points of view. There's not always agreement within a government on any issue. And it gives the government time to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	send an envelope with a dollar figure of what we would plan to give each department or ministry. They would, in turn, respond, and they would have a chance to pitch that to Treasury Board. The Treasury Board would ultimately approve the expenditure plan that goes into the budget. In those expenditure plans, ministries can pitch new programs, get rid of programs, continue funding programs, decrease funding to programs. There's a certain amount of latitude. Typically what the central agencies do is provide a large a number, a top-of-line number, and typically it's never enough. Q. What's never enough? A. The amount of money that the central agencies will assign. If you're the minister let's use agriculture. You'd get your envelope. It might say \$600 million. By your own reckoning, you can't

	Page 397			Page 399
1	of its operation.	1		another piece where we basically if you I
2	So within the government, only on the	2		won't take you through the substance of it, but we
3	highest-priority items and typically in a	3		felt that at some point, the government would
4	budget, you will identify what your there will	4		actually have to legislate the solution to that.
5	be a theme and then your priority items.	5		It turned out we didn't. We were able to negotiate
6	Typically, depending on the ministry,	6		a solution over a number of years.
7	on the minister, they will be brought into the	7	1490	Q. This is the pension issue?
8	discussions once the central agencies have sort of	8		A. The pension issue. And basically
9	decided on a direction.	9		cut it down to what I would call its most basic
10	So on the case of SARP, ag and food	10		element. Sort of if the pension if a pension
11	would have only been indirectly involved because	11		has an unfunded liability, what is the first way to
12	this was originally an agreement. Really didn't	12		correct it historically, and by law until then,
13	involve the Ministry of Agriculture.	13		higher contributions. The change was the first
14	In fact, the Ministry of Agriculture,	14		thing you do now is reduce benefits.
15	you know, was rather envious of the amount of money	15		Interestingly, the reason we were able
16	that was given to the horse racing industry through	16		to reach an agreement on that with both the
17	the OLG because it was you know, like I	17		employers, which in our case these are the
18	indicated earlier, it's indicated in the Hansard,	18		jointly-sponsored pension plans and the unions, was
19	it's also indicated in some of the documents that	19		because many rank and file union members found
20	counsel presented, you know, it's huge relative to	20		that for instance, teachers, I think they were
21	the entire Ministry of Agriculture budget.	21		contributing, I think, 13 percent of their gross
22	The program was started because when	22		pay.
23	the province introduced casino gaming to Ontario,	23		But that was, again, a policy that we
24	it was felt that that was an additional threat to	24		felt would be the most problematic politically and
25	an industry that had been in financial difficulty	25		could actually lead to the defeat of the
	Page 398			Page 400
1	for many, many years.	1		government.
2	It was done outside of the department.	2	1491	Q. Now, this budgeting process that
3	It was political, done by the previous government	3		you've just been describing, does the need for
4	and frankly supported by, I think, the entire	4		confidentiality or secrecy limit what you can tell
5	legislature up until we had a chance to look at it	5		industry participants about measures that are
6	carefully and see if it was continuing to fulfill	6		contemplated for the budget?
7	the mandate that was originally intended.	7		
8	So you develop you get your kind	1		A. Absolutely. And you also know
9	bo you develop you get your kind	8		A. Absolutely. And you also know that once you release a budget, that there will be
1	of your directional piece from the leader of the	8 9		
10				that once you release a budget, that there will be
	of your directional piece from the leader of the	9		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact,
10	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a	9 10		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's
10 11	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a revenue plan. You have to reconcile those and	9 10 11		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's (ph) 1981 budget where the entire budget was walked
10 11 12	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a revenue plan. You have to reconcile those and identify your key projects.	9 10 11 12		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's (ph) 1981 budget where the entire budget was walked back. I think of Mr. Morneau's situation last
10 11 12 13	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a revenue plan. You have to reconcile those and identify your key projects. This was not a key project in the 2012	9 10 11 12 13		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's (ph) 1981 budget where the entire budget was walked back. I think of Mr. Morneau's situation last year. It wasn't a budget matter, but it was a tax
10 11 12 13 14	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a revenue plan. You have to reconcile those and identify your key projects. This was not a key project in the 2012 budget. I think the biggest one, the one that most	9 10 11 12 13 14		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's (ph) 1981 budget where the entire budget was walked back. I think of Mr. Morneau's situation last year. It wasn't a budget matter, but it was a tax matter that Mr. Morneau had to walk back. And
10 11 12 13 14 15	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a revenue plan. You have to reconcile those and identify your key projects. This was not a key project in the 2012 budget. I think the biggest one, the one that most worried us was in terms of its impact was and	9 10 11 12 13 14 15		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's (ph) 1981 budget where the entire budget was walked back. I think of Mr. Morneau's situation last year. It wasn't a budget matter, but it was a tax matter that Mr. Morneau had to walk back. And typically that's exactly what happens. And even
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a revenue plan. You have to reconcile those and identify your key projects. This was not a key project in the 2012 budget. I think the biggest one, the one that most worried us was in terms of its impact was and I see you've got a copy of the document there	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's (ph) 1981 budget where the entire budget was walked back. I think of Mr. Morneau's situation last year. It wasn't a budget matter, but it was a tax matter that Mr. Morneau had to walk back. And typically that's exactly what happens. And even within governments.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a revenue plan. You have to reconcile those and identify your key projects. This was not a key project in the 2012 budget. I think the biggest one, the one that most worried us was in terms of its impact was and I see you've got a copy of the document there was the pension piece.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's (ph) 1981 budget where the entire budget was walked back. I think of Mr. Morneau's situation last year. It wasn't a budget matter, but it was a tax matter that Mr. Morneau had to walk back. And typically that's exactly what happens. And even within governments. I mean, you know, one of my colleagues
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a revenue plan. You have to reconcile those and identify your key projects. This was not a key project in the 2012 budget. I think the biggest one, the one that most worried us was in terms of its impact was and I see you've got a copy of the document there was the pension piece. Oftentimes, the stuff that gets	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's (ph) 1981 budget where the entire budget was walked back. I think of Mr. Morneau's situation last year. It wasn't a budget matter, but it was a tax matter that Mr. Morneau had to walk back. And typically that's exactly what happens. And even within governments. I mean, you know, one of my colleagues who used to sit next to me would often say to me as
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a revenue plan. You have to reconcile those and identify your key projects. This was not a key project in the 2012 budget. I think the biggest one, the one that most worried us was in terms of its impact was and I see you've got a copy of the document there was the pension piece. Oftentimes, the stuff that gets immediate media attention isn't really the most	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's (ph) 1981 budget where the entire budget was walked back. I think of Mr. Morneau's situation last year. It wasn't a budget matter, but it was a tax matter that Mr. Morneau had to walk back. And typically that's exactly what happens. And even within governments. I mean, you know, one of my colleagues who used to sit next to me would often say to me as we were sitting in the House, "The opposition
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a revenue plan. You have to reconcile those and identify your key projects. This was not a key project in the 2012 budget. I think the biggest one, the one that most worried us was in terms of its impact was and I see you've got a copy of the document there was the pension piece. Oftentimes, the stuff that gets immediate media attention isn't really the most particularly difficult issue in a budget. In this	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's (ph) 1981 budget where the entire budget was walked back. I think of Mr. Morneau's situation last year. It wasn't a budget matter, but it was a tax matter that Mr. Morneau had to walk back. And typically that's exactly what happens. And even within governments. I mean, you know, one of my colleagues who used to sit next to me would often say to me as we were sitting in the House, "The opposition doesn't even know a fraction of what's going on
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a revenue plan. You have to reconcile those and identify your key projects. This was not a key project in the 2012 budget. I think the biggest one, the one that most worried us was in terms of its impact was and I see you've got a copy of the document there was the pension piece. Oftentimes, the stuff that gets immediate media attention isn't really the most particularly difficult issue in a budget. In this budget, that was the both in terms of dollars	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's (ph) 1981 budget where the entire budget was walked back. I think of Mr. Morneau's situation last year. It wasn't a budget matter, but it was a tax matter that Mr. Morneau had to walk back. And typically that's exactly what happens. And even within governments. I mean, you know, one of my colleagues who used to sit next to me would often say to me as we were sitting in the House, "The opposition doesn't even know a fraction of what's going on behind the scenes." And within a government,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of your directional piece from the leader of the government. Then you do an expenditure plan, a revenue plan. You have to reconcile those and identify your key projects. This was not a key project in the 2012 budget. I think the biggest one, the one that most worried us was in terms of its impact was and I see you've got a copy of the document there was the pension piece. Oftentimes, the stuff that gets immediate media attention isn't really the most particularly difficult issue in a budget. In this budget, that was the both in terms of dollars and in terms of potential political challenge,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		that once you release a budget, that there will be ample opportunity to go back at it. In fact, budgets have been I think of Mr. McKechin's (ph) 1981 budget where the entire budget was walked back. I think of Mr. Morneau's situation last year. It wasn't a budget matter, but it was a tax matter that Mr. Morneau had to walk back. And typically that's exactly what happens. And even within governments. I mean, you know, one of my colleagues who used to sit next to me would often say to me as we were sitting in the House, "The opposition doesn't even know a fraction of what's going on behind the scenes." And within a government, there's always considerable concern, debate.

	IGITI DONOAN OITWAIGIT 10, 2010		
1	Page 401	1	Page 403
1 2	the people that would be affected by this once he became fully aware of its and that's why you	1 2	understood Mr. Rosenberg and Mr. Duncan to both be
3		3	speaking about general government theories of good
4	have a Cabinet. But a Cabinet has to speak as one voice when it comes out.		government and general policies within a
	7	4	parliamentary system that has a Cabinet. I agree
5	MR. LISUS: You shouldn't get into what	5	that Mr. Duncan should not be speaking about what
6	people said at Cabinet.	6	happened at that Cabinet meeting.
7	MS. LA HOREY: No, I don't think he	7	THE WITNESS: So then let me reference
8	has.	8	what you raised with me, Mr. McMeekin's radio
9	THE WITNESS: I haven't said what	9	interview and Mr. Sorbara's radio interview.
10	happened in Cabinet. What I will	10	Mr. McMeekin was, at the time, a member
11	MS. LA HOREY: Thank you for the	11	of Cabinet. Those discussions happened not only in
12	warning.	12	Cabinet. They happened outside of Cabinet. And
13	THE WITNESS: What I will say yes, I	13	members of Cabinet oftentimes will outside of
14	have to be careful of my own oath.	14	the restrictions of Cabinet, will talk about
15	What I will say is that one of the	15	things.
16	reasons for Cabinet solidarity is to allow members	16	Ultimately, they have to vote in the
17	of Cabinet and secrecy at this point I mean,	17	legislature, yay or nay. In our legislature, you
18	there have been times in history where ministers	18	can abstain. I don't know if it's ever been tested
19	resign over disagreement with a government policy,	19	if a Cabinet minister were to abstain on a
20	particularly a budgetary policy. And so I don't	20	government vote. I don't think that's ever been
21	think that ever that didn't occur when I was in	21	tested.
22	government, but it's happened on a number of	22	But in the Ontario legislature at the
23	occasions.	23	time, you can now that's a relatively recent
24	So it gives those within the	24	innovation. That happened in the time I served in
25	government I forget how many were at the Cabinet	25	the legislature. And I think my recollection is
	Page 402		Dogg 404
	1 490 102		Page 404
1	table at the time, but there are there are a	1	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against
1 2	ŭ	1 2	<u>e</u>
	table at the time, but there are there are a		the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against
2	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet,	2	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it.
2 3	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as	2 3	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG:
2 3 4	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the	2 3 4	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: Q. Let me just pick up on that. So
2 3 4 5	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table.	2 3 4 5	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your
2 3 4 5 6	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and	2 3 4 5 6	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget?
2 3 4 5 6 7	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance,	2 3 4 5 6 7	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. Q. I think you said that's healthy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet table?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually so there's a motion to adopt the budgetary policy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet table? A. Yes, and between and among	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually so there's a motion to adopt the budgetary policy of the government. There is first reading, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet table? A. Yes, and between and among ministries and also at the Treasury Board table.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually so there's a motion to adopt the budgetary policy of the government. There is first reading, which is done the same day as the budget.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet table? A. Yes, and between and among ministries and also at the Treasury Board table. MR. LISUS: Look, you're assuming there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually so there's a motion to adopt the budgetary policy of the government. There is first reading, which is done the same day as the budget. Now, that typically is allowed to pass
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet table? A. Yes, and between and among ministries and also at the Treasury Board table. MR. LISUS: Look, you're assuming there was a frank discussion around the Cabinet table,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually so there's a motion to adopt the budgetary policy of the government. There is first reading, which is done the same day as the budget. Now, that typically is allowed to pass unanimously because if you can't pass it at first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. 1492 Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet table? A. Yes, and between and among ministries and also at the Treasury Board table. MR. LISUS: Look, you're assuming there was a frank discussion around the Cabinet table, and we have a complete black-out on what happened	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually so there's a motion to adopt the budgetary policy of the government. There is first reading, which is done the same day as the budget. Now, that typically is allowed to pass unanimously because if you can't pass it at first reading, you've got a real problem.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet table? A. Yes, and between and among ministries and also at the Treasury Board table. MR. LISUS: Look, you're assuming there was a frank discussion around the Cabinet table, and we have a complete black-out on what happened at the Cabinet table, so we shouldn't get into this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually so there's a motion to adopt the budgetary policy of the government. There is first reading, which is done the same day as the budget. Now, that typically is allowed to pass unanimously because if you can't pass it at first reading, you've got a real problem. So the way the the custom of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet table? A. Yes, and between and among ministries and also at the Treasury Board table. MR. LISUS: Look, you're assuming there was a frank discussion around the Cabinet table, and we have a complete black-out on what happened at the Cabinet table, so we shouldn't get into this given the position that the Crown has taken.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually so there's a motion to adopt the budgetary policy of the government. There is first reading, which is done the same day as the budget. Now, that typically is allowed to pass unanimously because if you can't pass it at first reading, you've got a real problem. So the way the the custom of the place, typically gets first reading approval.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. 1492 Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet table? A. Yes, and between and among ministries and also at the Treasury Board table. MR. LISUS: Look, you're assuming there was a frank discussion around the Cabinet table, and we have a complete black-out on what happened at the Cabinet table, so we shouldn't get into this given the position that the Crown has taken. We don't know whether there was a frank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1493 Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually so there's a motion to adopt the budgetary policy of the government. There is first reading, which is done the same day as the budget. Now, that typically is allowed to pass unanimously because if you can't pass it at first reading, you've got a real problem. So the way the the custom of the place, typically gets first reading approval. Second reading is approval in principle. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	table at the time, but there are — there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are — each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. 1492 Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet table? A. Yes, and between and among ministries and also at the Treasury Board table. MR. LISUS: Look, you're assuming there was a frank discussion around the Cabinet table, and we have a complete black-out on what happened at the Cabinet table, so we shouldn't get into this given the position that the Crown has taken. We don't know whether there was a frank suggestion, no discussion, a disputatious	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually so there's a motion to adopt the budgetary policy of the government. There is first reading, which is done the same day as the budget. Now, that typically is allowed to pass unanimously because if you can't pass it at first reading, you've got a real problem. So the way the the custom of the place, typically gets first reading approval. Second reading is approval in principle. And typically after approval in principle, a budget document will go to committee.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	table at the time, but there are there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet table? A. Yes, and between and among ministries and also at the Treasury Board table. MR. LISUS: Look, you're assuming there was a frank discussion around the Cabinet table, and we have a complete black-out on what happened at the Cabinet table, so we shouldn't get into this given the position that the Crown has taken. We don't know whether there was a frank suggestion, no discussion, a disputatious discussion. There shouldn't be characterizations about anything at Cabinet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. A. Yes. 1494 Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually so there's a motion to adopt the budgetary policy of the government. There is first reading, which is done the same day as the budget. Now, that typically is allowed to pass unanimously because if you can't pass it at first reading, you've got a real problem. So the way the the custom of the place, typically gets first reading approval. Second reading is approval in principle. And typically after approval in principle, a budget document will go to committee. Now, there is now, again, a relatively
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	table at the time, but there are — there are a number of individuals who are part of a Cabinet, and they have very wholesome debates, as well as there are — each ministry is represented at the Cabinet table. So you have ministers, ministries, and then, of course, central agencies such as Finance, Treasury Board, Cabinet office who have to bring it all together. 1492 Q. I think you said that's healthy. You want a frank discussion around the Cabinet table? A. Yes, and between and among ministries and also at the Treasury Board table. MR. LISUS: Look, you're assuming there was a frank discussion around the Cabinet table, and we have a complete black-out on what happened at the Cabinet table, so we shouldn't get into this given the position that the Crown has taken. We don't know whether there was a frank suggestion, no discussion, a disputatious discussion. There shouldn't be characterizations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the NDP abstained on that rather than vote against it. BY MR. ROSENBERG: Q. Let me just pick up on that. So the decision to terminate SARP was part of your 2012 budget? A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And that budget, I think you told Mr. Lisus, was put to a motion before the legislature? A. It was put there's actually so there's a motion to adopt the budgetary policy of the government. There is first reading, which is done the same day as the budget. Now, that typically is allowed to pass unanimously because if you can't pass it at first reading, you've got a real problem. So the way the the custom of the place, typically gets first reading approval. Second reading is approval in principle. And typically after approval in principle, a budget document will go to committee.

ואאם	GHT DUNCAN on March 16, 2018		
1	Page 405 And the reason for that is for issues	1	Page 407 the debate. Those were from question period.
2	that are and I don't know how many times it's	2	the departe. Those were from question period. 1497 O. I see.
3	been used in our parliament, but typically if a	3	A. I remember generally, yes. And
4	government refers a bill to committee after first	4	those were actually I think the ones you
5	_	5	
	reading, it's signalling that it would prefer to		presented were actually questions before the budget
6	have the voice of the entire legislature before	6	itself was tabled.
7	approval and principle.	7	1498 Q. He did ask you and I just
8	Euthanasia, issues of that nature and	8	remind you, the earliest one was March 20. As I
9	other less contentious issues will find their way.	9	understand it, the decision the OLG
10	Typically budgets don't.	10	modernization report was released on March 12,
11	So then the budget goes into committee	11	2012. So it's after the release of that report.
12	where the government, the opposition the	12	A. Yeah.
13	opposition in a minority parliament control the	13	1499 Q. All I wanted from you, sir, is
14	committee.	14	that you recall discussions and it looked like at
15	There will be committee hearings. The	15	times some fairly heated discussions about the
16	minister will likely be called to give a statement,	16	wisdom of terminating SARP?
17	answer questions, and then the committee will have	17	A. They were heated discussions, but
18	to vote on the budget clause by clause and send the	18	I would note just for your purposes, as I did in
19	bill back to the House for with a vote on so	19	the Hansard, that the questions didn't come until
20	there will be a second reading vote.	20	late in question period, which typically meant it
21	That would be the first vote, and that	21	wasn't high priority for the opposition.
22	would be the most important vote. That would be	22	There is and I don't know if the
23	the approval and principle as amended.	23	rules are still the same. I've been gone for five
24	I would I don't recall. I do recall	24	years. There's leaders' questions, and at that
25	the one day when the third party started to remove	25	point, nothing had come up in leaders' questions, I
1	Page 406	1	Page 408
1 2	the key clauses from the budget, which effectively	1 2	think. You'll need to double-check that. Then there's first members' questions,
3	would have gutted it. But it was a bit of a panic moment. We didn't know what they were doing, and	3	second members' questions, third members'
4	they were even though they'd agreed to support	4	questions. Those questions were all coming up
5	the budget that's not right. They agreed to	5	at third and fourth members' questions, which
6	allow the budget to be passed. We didn't know what	6	typically signals that the opposition are trying
7	they were doing.	7	just to it's not the highest
۱ /	Eventually they backed off. Those	8	priority for them.
9		9	-
10	clauses were left in the budget. I do believe we took I do believe we had to do some amendments	10	It is more like they want to make a point. They want to get something in. And by
	to the budget bill, if I'm not mistaken, but,		
11		11	doing it before the budget, probably also
12 13	again, I'd want to check on that.	12 13	signalling that they were really waiting to see, from their perspective, kind of what the largest
14	So there are all of these opportunities	14	
15	both for the government and the opposition to vote, as I say, in favour, opposed, or in the case of the	15	issues were going to be. Because as counsel for the plaintiff
16		16	indicated, budget speeches are designed to get
17	Ontario legislature, to abstain. 1495 Q. And ultimately, your 2012 budget,	17	certain things out, and they're also designed to
18	1495 Q. And ultimately, your 2012 budget, which included the decision to terminate SARP, was	18	
19		19	keep certain things quiet until the budget.
	passed by the legislature?		So typically, you'll put out things of
20	A. Yes, it was.	20	that nature to signal, and that's the opposition
21	1496 Q. My friend, Mr. Lisus, put to you	21	parties will seize on those typically.
22	some Hansard from the legislature in which the	22	Like, for instance, this year,
ו חי	decision to terminate SARP was being debated by	23	Mr. Sousa announced in his pre-budget speech that
23	mombons of the landalations Brown with the	24	the definit rould be do billion and or the
23 24 25	members of the legislature. Do you remember that? A. Those were actually not parts of	24 25	the deficit would be \$8 billion. And so the next until the House was prorogued yesterday,

1500 1501	the questions were all around that. It's a very normal part of the process. Q. Now, what about the decision ultimately to provide transition funding to the horse racing industry? Was that in the budget?	1 2 3 4	1503	other body? A. It didn't get approved until after I left. Q. I see.
	Q. Now, what about the decision ultimately to provide transition funding to the	3	1503	I left.
	ultimately to provide transition funding to the		1503	
1501		4	1503	O T 000
1501	horse racing industry? Was that in the budget?			Q. I Sec.
1501		5		A. It was very clear I was happy
1501	A. No.	6		to see, you know, the presentation of the
T30T	Q. So where does that come from?	7		conversations that were going on with Mr. Snobelen,
	A. That was ongoing. And part of the	8		with Mr. Wilkinson. We had also asked Mr. Buchanan
	reason it wasn't in the budget was we still	9		to be part of that.
	hadn't we hadn't had what we felt were enough	10		There had been meetings, as I recall,
	discussions with those in the industry that were	11		once the budget was announced with the racing
	affected.	12		commission, with horse people, both track owners as
	As you pointed out, because this	13		well as breeders and others associated with the
				industry.
				And the government again, we had
				bandied about different numbers based on work that
				was done by OLG, by Finance, by advice we had from
				experts on the outside. You know, you learn that,
				for instance, even Churchill Downs doesn't make
				·
				money. So we really felt, given that we had a
	,			
				one-year period from the time that the termination
				notice was given until the time the money stopped,
				that that would give us enough time to settle on an
	the opposition.	25		appropriate compensation package.
	Page 410	1	1504	Page 412
	-		1504	Q. Just to come back to my question,
				you said it happened after you left the
	-			legislature. Do you remember how the transition
				funding was implemented? Was it through a vote of
	1	-		the legislature?
				A. I don't believe so. I don't know.
				I'd been gone by then. And as you know, our
		-		successors broadened their approach, took a
				different approach to horse racing. Not entirely
	minority legislature, typically it's the third	10		different, but it reflected some of the things that
	party.	11		we hadn't decided upon.
	And so we were cognizant of the fact	12		And so but once I left, I typically
	that we would have to negotiate with one likely	13		didn't say didn't follow it very closely.
	the NDP, one or the other of the opposition	14		Although I did I guess I think the number is
	parties.	15		closer to 500 million over five years. I stand to
	But as I said, I think Mr. Hudak had	16		be corrected.
	made it very clear that the Conservatives would	17	1505	Q. I don't want you to speculate if
	vote against the budget before it had been tabled.	18		you didn't
1502	Q. Mr. Lisus put to you that the	19		A. Okay, I didn't.
	\$50 million in transition funding that was	20	1506	Q. For our purposes, it will suffice
		21		to say that the decision to terminate SARP at least
	initially announced was the result of a budget deal	ZT		
	initially announced was the result of a budget deal with the NDP. And I think you told him that you	22		was the result of a vote in the legislature that
				-
	with the NDP. And I think you told him that you	22		was the result of a vote in the legislature that
		As you pointed out, because this program was largely cooked up between the then Premier's office and the horse racing industry, there was no expertise within ag and food. We did have outside experts, and we felt that, number 1, it would it would be premature to determine what compensation should be, how much. As I indicated, there was also a desire on our part to leave flexibility, not just on that announcement but a number of other announcements anticipating that there would be negotiations with the opposition. Page 410 My recollection is that even before they saw the budget, the official opposition said they would vote against it. I had a chance to review the Hansard that the plaintiffs' attorney showed me and reminded me that that was, in fact, the case. And then the government's you know, as is typically the case in a hung parliament they call it hung parliament in the U.K. In a minority legislature, typically it's the third party. And so we were cognizant of the fact that we would have to negotiate with one likely the NDP, one or the other of the opposition parties. But as I said, I think Mr. Hudak had made it very clear that the Conservatives would	As you pointed out, because this program was largely cooked up between the then 14 Premier's office and the horse racing industry, there was no expertise within ag and food. We did have outside experts, and we felt that, number 1, it would it would be premature to determine what compensation should be, how much. As I indicated, there was also a desire on our part to leave flexibility, not just on that announcement but a number of other announcements anticipating that there would be negotiations with the opposition. Page 410 My recollection is that even before they saw the budget, the official opposition said they would vote against it. I had a chance to review the Hansard that the plaintiffs' attorney showed me and reminded me that that was, in fact, the case. And then the government's you know, as is typically the case in a hung parliament they call it hung parliament in the U.K. In a minority legislature, typically it's the third party. And so we were cognizant of the fact that we would have to negotiate with one likely the NDP, one or the other of the opposition parties. But as I said, I think Mr. Hudak had made it very clear that the Conservatives would 17	As you pointed out, because this program was largely cooked up between the then 14 Premier's office and the horse racing industry, 15 there was no expertise within ag and food. We did have outside experts, and we felt that, number 1, it would it would be premature to determine what compensation should be, how much. As I indicated, there was also a desire on our part to leave flexibility, not just on that announcement but a number of other announcements anticipating that there would be negotiations with the opposition. Page 410 My recollection is that even before they saw the budget, the official opposition said they would vote against it. I had a chance to review the Hansard that the plaintiffs' attorney showed me and reminded me that that was, in fact, the case. And then the government's you know, as is typically the case in a hung parliament they call it hung parliament in the U.K. In a minority legislature, typically it's the third party. And so we were cognizant of the fact that we would have to negotiate with one likely the NDP, one or the other of the opposition parties. But as I said, I think Mr. Hudak had made it very clear that the Conservatives would 17 1505

		Page 413		Page 41:
1		which is a confidence vote.	1	A. Yes, absolutely.
2	1507	Q. As you said, it was certainly no	2	1511 Q. I think you told us that one of
3		secret that the decision to terminate SARP was part	3	the steps that you took following the announcement
4		of that budget because it was discussed in question	4	of the decision to terminate SARP was the
5		period by the opposition?	5	appointment of the horse racing transition panel?
6		A. Yes. And it was clearly a	6	A. Yes.
7		confidence matter. In a minority parliament and	7	1512 Q. Was that the leadership that is
8		I don't again, it's all convention, but in a	8	contemplated in this OMAFRA memo?
9		minority parliament, a government can introduce	9	A. Yes. And we appointed three
10		legislation and say that it won't consider this to	10	the other consideration, all this was a minority
11		be a matter of confidence.	11	parliament. So just as we had had in the in the
12		That wouldn't be the case with a	12	case of Mr. Godfrey and Mr. Phillips, prominent
13		budget, but it's clearly which means it's a	13	Conservatives in leadership roles at OLG, on this
14		confidence matter. If it's defeated, there's an	14	panel we reached out to both the other parties to
15		election.	15	ensure that the panel's work would be seen as
16	1508	Q. If we go back to Exhibit B to your	16	nonpartisan, because the kinds of exchanges that
17	2500	examination, sir. This is Exhibit C to	17	you that you saw in the legislature are
18		Mr. Shortill's examination.	18	inherently partisan, and it forces everybody to
19		MS. LA HOREY: CRE0029902. And,	19	kind of put a little water in their wine and be
20		Mr. Lisus, I believe it's your page 783.	20	more focused on the important work at hand which
21		MR. LISUS: Thank you.	21	was to help transition an industry we knew was
22		MR. ROSENBERG: Or 676 is what I have.	22	going to be deeply affected by this.
23		MS. LA HOREY: 674, 675.	23	1513 Q. Why couldn't you have gone through
23 24		MR. ROSENBERG: I think we're on the	24	
			25	this kind of exercise before announcing the decision to terminate SARP?
25		same page. As I said, these exhibits have been	45	decision to terminate SARP?
1		Page 414	1	Page 41
1		used in a number of different examinations, and my	1	A. Well, we did go through the
2		friend's ingenious numbering scheme changes from	2	exercise. What we determined was that in terms of
3		one examination to another, so I think we've	3	transition and what eventually it would look like,
4		established a concordance.	4	it would be important to have outside advice
5		MS. LA HOREY: What page of the	5	because we as the notes indicated, we didn't
6		document are we on?	6	have in-house expertise really.
7		MR. ROSENBERG: I'm looking at the	7	The program you know, you had
8		second page of the document.	8	talking points, but because of the downturn in
9		MS. LA HOREY: Thank you.	9	08-09, because of the size of the deficit, we had
10	1509	Q. I'm just looking under the heading	10	to step up, review. And part of the role of
11		"Horse Racing Industry Impact."	11	government is to provide leadership and make those
12		A. Mm-hm.	12	decisions, and they were our decisions.
13	1510	Q. The second bullet:	13	When we stepped out with the budget, we
14		"The proposal includes the	14	had taken it to we knew where we felt was the
15		intent to appoint an industry leader	15	right place to take Ontario in terms of this
16		to assist the horse racing industry	16	particular program, but we also knew that
		to develop a sustainability plan for	17	because as you had pointed out and as was in the
17		transitioning to the lower funding	18	documents, we would certainly have to do a much
		level.	19	broader consultation to understand you know,
18		Tevel.		des de la
18 19		Relationships in the industry are	20	inevitably when you do something like this, there
18			20 21	are consequences inevitably there are
18 19 20		Relationships in the industry are		
21		Relationships in the industry are fractious, and it is unlikely that a	21	are consequences inevitably there are
18 19 20 21 22		Relationships in the industry are fractious, and it is unlikely that a consensus on direction will be	21 22	are consequences inevitably there are unanticipated consequences.

DW	IGHT DUNCAN on March 16, 2018		
1	Page 417	1	Page 419
1	were in the industry would be familiar with,	1	A. Yes. Virtually everyone was
2	whether it's the one that plaintiffs' counsel	2	curbed, even the large ministries that were the
3	raised about, you know, the birth cycle and so on	3	government's priorities. Like, for instance, the
4	of horses, which is you know, even by that time,	4	Ministry of Health.
5	even I understood that, but we still also wanted to	5	So at the time I think in Drummond's
6	make sure Mr. McMeekin often referred to the	6	report, he indicated that on a straight-numbers
7	young people who worked in the stables, what impact	7	basis year-over-year growth, that health would be
8	this would have on them.	8	around 6 percent. Those are expenses that you
9	So we wanted to make sure that, number	9	can't control.
10	one, that there was an adequate transition and	10	What we did in the case of health was
11	that, two, there was an industry left, a	11	we restricted the rate of growth. So historically
12	sustainable industry that the experts told us	12	in the previous 10 or 12 years, average annual
13	that the market could support somewhere between	13	growth in the Ministry of Health budget would have
14	I forget the I think it was in the area of five	14	been around 6 percent, and we had to get it down to
15	tracks, including one thoroughbred track, but that	15	about 4 percent, which actually was a real cut
16	needed further work as well. And so we and I'm	16	because aging population, improving technologies.
17	not sure we ever actually concluded what that	17	So the approach we took was rather
18	number was, certainly not on my watch.	18	than and it was based on the recommendations of
19	That was all part of the reason. By	19	Drummond, was to set our priorities, set those
20	the way, there were a multiplicity of	20	programs which we felt worked well. The SARP
21	announcements. The one I raise with you on the	21	program did not work well in our view.
22	pension one was in there. We were afraid we would	22	And as opposed to cutting a broad-based
23	have to legislate that. It turns out, after a	23	cut across programs that work well or don't work
24	number of months of negotiation, we were able to	24	well, we would eliminate programs that don't work
25	get it done without legislation.	25	well and try to restrain growth and do actual cuts
	Page 418		Page 420
1	1514 Q. You mentioned the deficit in the	1	as well.
2	Hansard, and I suppose I should ask. I mean,	2	And what the Ministry of Agriculture
3	you've certainly alluded to this in your earlier	3	was concerned about is typically in those
4	testimony. This was a painful austerity budget;	4	scenarios, the smaller ministries get hit harder.
5	is that fair?	5	Now, this wasn't part of the Ministry
6	A. It was a very painful budget.	6	of Agriculture. It was part of a Crown
7	This it was when I say "painful," I mean it	7	corporation, so it got our attention in our in
"	was it definitely impacted on many people. It	8	our discussions around revenue and how much
9	impacted on the government's relationship with any	9	revenue.
10	number of groups, unions, public sector unions,	10	And that started back in 2009-2010.
11	teachers federations, a whole range of others, but	11	Didn't have this program in its we weren't
12	it was the result of the downturn in 2008-2009.	12	thinking of this program at the time other than it
13	We laid out a plan to get back to	13	was part of the larger OLG piece.
14	balance in 2016-17, and this budget began built	14	And what eventually percolated up from OLG was the view that this was not an effective
16	on there had been the previous two budgets had some of those initiatives, but this was really		
17		16 17	program. The industry was in very deep difficulty,
	the this was we now had Drummond's report for		had been prior to this program, and that it was, in
18 19	some time. This was the one that kind of built out sort of the more difficult decisions.	18 19	fact, a subsidy. 1517
20 21	1515 Q. The difficult decisions like I	20	growth of health spending. In this 2012 budget,
21 22	see that you're obliged to curb the projected increases in the Ontario Child Benefit?	21	you actually cut items that I would call health
23		23	spending. I see you've cut funding to long-term
	A. Yes.		care homes
2/	1516 O And that a money that good to many	124	A Vac
24 25	Q. And that's money that goes to poor kids?	24 25	A. Yes. 1518 Q for people who require 24-hour

		DUNCAN on March 16, 2018			
1		Page 421	1		Page 423
1		personal care, support, and supervision?	1		would be the items they would be responsible for.
2	1510	A. Yes.	2		And within government, those 20 to 30
3	1519	Q. And I know that education was	3		ministries and I say 20 to 30. They it
4		A. I was referring to the overall	4		varies. Sometimes ministries are put together;
5		I think if you look at the overall total health	5		sometimes they're split up. They would have
6		spending budget, it probably would come in	6		responsibility for one aspect.
7		somewhere between 2 and 4 percent growth, which is,	7		The first minister, the Finance
8		in fact, very significant constraint.	8		minister, the Treasury Board chair and I was
9	1520	Q. There were cuts to other	9		combination Finance, Treasury Board. They're
10		government priorities as well. You mentioned	10		within government. Attorney General is a
11		education as a priority for your government?	11		central they're called central agencies.
12		A. Yeah.	12		For instance, the lawyers that worked
13	1521	Q. I see that your government cut	13		in Finance were actually employees of the Attorney
14		funding for school buses?	14		General, not of the Minister of Finance.
15		A. Yes, we did.	15		And they they're the ones who are
16	1522	Q. That's a hard cut; right?	16		charged, along with Cabinet office, of putting
17		A. It's certainly not a popular cut,	17		everything together, setting the priorities, giving
18		but, again, they're all hard. I mean, but as a	18		direction and to solve disputes where there are
19		government, you have to you have to make	19		disputes among and between ministries or ministers.
20		decisions, and you wrestle with these decisions.	20	1525	Q. So is it fair to say that OMAFRA
21		Some of them take up a larger amount of the	21		just has a narrower set of interests than, say,
22		government's time prior to introduction.	22		the
23		And back to your previous question,	23		A. It's a smaller ministry. It's
24		that helps when you look at individual documents	24		a I think at the time, the annual budget was
25		like we've seen over the last time that I've been	25		around 600 million. You know, health was, at the
		Page 422			Page 424
1		sitting in this chair, that tells a fraction of one	1		time, somewhere between 44 and 47 billion, I think.
2		part of the picture, a small fraction, one part of	2	1526	Q. And the horse racing
3		the picture.	3		A. But if I could just add one.
4		The same time that was going on, we	4	1527	Q. Of course.
5		were wrestling with school bus cuts. We were	5		A. Just because it's smaller, doesn't
6		wrestling with cuts to long-term care. I had	6		mean that it's not important. It doesn't mean
7		minister I had colleagues who expressed concern	7		oftentimes smaller ministries have very important
8		1			
9		and so on.	8		1 1
		and so on. But the Premier and the Minister of	8		stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of
10		But the Premier and the Minister of			stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders.
1		But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and	9		stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that
10		But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's	9 10	1528	stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account.
10 11	1523	But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and	9 10 11	1528	stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir.
10 11 12	1523	But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's priorities are. Q. And as Minister of Finance, is it	9 10 11 12	1528	stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir. I guess I'm just focused on the scope of the
10 11 12 13	1523	But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's priorities are. Q. And as Minister of Finance, is it fair to say that you're responsible for the	9 10 11 12 13	1528	stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir. I guess I'm just focused on the scope of the stakeholder group, which I take it was narrower for
10 11 12 13 14	1523	But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's priorities are. Q. And as Minister of Finance, is it	9 10 11 12 13 14	1528	stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir. I guess I'm just focused on the scope of the
10 11 12 13 14 15	1523	But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's priorities are. Q. And as Minister of Finance, is it fair to say that you're responsible for the integrity of that budget and ultimately the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1528 1529	stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir. I guess I'm just focused on the scope of the stakeholder group, which I take it was narrower for OMAFRA than it was for the Ministry of Finance? A. Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1523 1524	But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's priorities are. Q. And as Minister of Finance, is it fair to say that you're responsible for the integrity of that budget and ultimately the consequences to all Ontarians? A. Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir. I guess I'm just focused on the scope of the stakeholder group, which I take it was narrower for OMAFRA than it was for the Ministry of Finance? A. Yes. Q. Can we draw a similar parallel to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's priorities are. Q. And as Minister of Finance, is it fair to say that you're responsible for the integrity of that budget and ultimately the consequences to all Ontarians? A. Yes. Q. Can you contrast that to the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir. I guess I'm just focused on the scope of the stakeholder group, which I take it was narrower for OMAFRA than it was for the Ministry of Finance? A. Yes. Q. Can we draw a similar parallel to the horse racing transition panel? As I understand
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's priorities are. Q. And as Minister of Finance, is it fair to say that you're responsible for the integrity of that budget and ultimately the consequences to all Ontarians? A. Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir. I guess I'm just focused on the scope of the stakeholder group, which I take it was narrower for OMAFRA than it was for the Ministry of Finance? A. Yes. Q. Can we draw a similar parallel to the horse racing transition panel? As I understand it, it was focused on finding the right level of
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's priorities are. Q. And as Minister of Finance, is it fair to say that you're responsible for the integrity of that budget and ultimately the consequences to all Ontarians? A. Yes. Q. Can you contrast that to the responsibilities of, say, a line ministry like OMAFRA?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir. I guess I'm just focused on the scope of the stakeholder group, which I take it was narrower for OMAFRA than it was for the Ministry of Finance? A. Yes. Q. Can we draw a similar parallel to the horse racing transition panel? As I understand it, it was focused on finding the right level of funding for horse racing in Ontario?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's priorities are. Q. And as Minister of Finance, is it fair to say that you're responsible for the integrity of that budget and ultimately the consequences to all Ontarians? A. Yes. Q. Can you contrast that to the responsibilities of, say, a line ministry like OMAFRA? A. Well, OMAFRA has responsibility	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1529	stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir. I guess I'm just focused on the scope of the stakeholder group, which I take it was narrower for OMAFRA than it was for the Ministry of Finance? A. Yes. Q. Can we draw a similar parallel to the horse racing transition panel? As I understand it, it was focused on finding the right level of funding for horse racing in Ontario? A. That's my understanding, yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's priorities are. Q. And as Minister of Finance, is it fair to say that you're responsible for the integrity of that budget and ultimately the consequences to all Ontarians? A. Yes. Q. Can you contrast that to the responsibilities of, say, a line ministry like OMAFRA? A. Well, OMAFRA has responsibility for certain so there would be there would be	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir. I guess I'm just focused on the scope of the stakeholder group, which I take it was narrower for OMAFRA than it was for the Ministry of Finance? A. Yes. Q. Can we draw a similar parallel to the horse racing transition panel? As I understand it, it was focused on finding the right level of funding for horse racing in Ontario? A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. It was not concerned with thinking
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's priorities are. Q. And as Minister of Finance, is it fair to say that you're responsible for the integrity of that budget and ultimately the consequences to all Ontarians? A. Yes. Q. Can you contrast that to the responsibilities of, say, a line ministry like OMAFRA? A. Well, OMAFRA has responsibility for certain so there would be there would be the legislation regulations that, by law, fall	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1529	stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir. I guess I'm just focused on the scope of the stakeholder group, which I take it was narrower for OMAFRA than it was for the Ministry of Finance? A. Yes. Q. Can we draw a similar parallel to the horse racing transition panel? As I understand it, it was focused on finding the right level of funding for horse racing in Ontario? A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. It was not concerned with thinking about the budget as a whole
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		But the Premier and the Minister of Finance have to take the lead in these things and ultimately determine what the government's priorities are. Q. And as Minister of Finance, is it fair to say that you're responsible for the integrity of that budget and ultimately the consequences to all Ontarians? A. Yes. Q. Can you contrast that to the responsibilities of, say, a line ministry like OMAFRA? A. Well, OMAFRA has responsibility for certain so there would be there would be	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1529	stakeholder groups. They have a great deal of impact and influence on any range of stakeholders. So you can't you can't you can't take that for granted. You have to take that into account. Q. I certainly don't disagree, sir. I guess I'm just focused on the scope of the stakeholder group, which I take it was narrower for OMAFRA than it was for the Ministry of Finance? A. Yes. Q. Can we draw a similar parallel to the horse racing transition panel? As I understand it, it was focused on finding the right level of funding for horse racing in Ontario? A. That's my understanding, yes. Q. It was not concerned with thinking

		DONCAN OIL March 10, 2010		
1		Page 425 Ontario could or couldn't afford?	1	Page 427 was absolutely certainly in the province's interest
2		A. That is correct.	2	that in the long-run, it would be in the interest
3	1532	O. You told Mr. Lisus that there was	3	of the horse racing industry in Ontario, and that
4		a personal dimension to the decision to terminate	4	in order to get from what was in the advice I
5		SARP. And you knew people who were hurt?	5	had from everybody who was familiar with the
6		A. Yes.	6	program, including the horse people, it was my view
7	1533	Q. Can you tell us a bit more about	7	that it was time for had been almost I guess
8		that?	8	almost less almost 17 years since the program
9		A. Yes. One of my aunts was retired	9	started with no, what I would call, close review in
10		from a horse racing facility. Her pension was	10	all that time.
11		dependent on its ongoing functioning. I had	11	I mean, it's interesting. The same
12		neighbours who had interests in horses. The owners	12	language is repeated in budget after budget after
13		of Windsor Raceway had been long-time friends.	13	budget buried usually deep within those documents.
14		I'm trying to think who else. Those	14	And you'll see that in a number of those programs
15		are the key ones. The mayor at the time of	15	that go on.
16		Lakeshore, Tom Bain, a long-time friend of mine,	16	But because of the great recession and
17		he's a leader in the horse industry in Essex	17	what had happened, we had to take a very deep dive
18		County. Put a pretty severe strain on our	18	across a range of programs. It was very difficult,
19		friendship, although I must say it did survive.	19	but I never never I always felt, continued to
20	1534	Q. And surely it must have been tough	20	feel it was the right decision.
21	1331	for your hometown	21	It was in the best interests of the
22		A. Very tough.	22	province. It was in the best interest, of the
23	1535	Q where Windsor Raceway	23	industry in my view in the long-run recognizing
24	1333	A. Absolutely. And I'd been involved	24	that there would be difficulty for any number of
25		in assisting that raceway under three of its	25	people who were directly impacted.
1		Page 426 previous owners because it had been in some degree	1	Page 428 1538 Q. The plaintiffs rely on a statement
2		of financial distress for many, many years.	2	in Mr. Snobelen's evidence that the decision to
3		And there's a very interesting civil	3	terminate SARP was not Cabinet-ready, properly
4		action that was launched by the Toldos against a	4	prepared, or understood. Do you agree with that
5		group that had been part of a group that the Toldos	5	view?
6		lost. Had to do with where the funding from the	6	A. No. And I've served in Cabinet
7		SARP program was actually going and who was getting	7	longer
8		the money.	8	MR. LISUS: You shouldn't be doing
9	1536	*	9	this. You're inferentially and indirectly trying
10	1330	Q. Am I right in thinking that you made an announcement in Windsor about the decision	10	to prop up or justify a Cabinet decision that you
11		to terminate SARP?	11	
			12	have not permitted any inquiry into. THE WITNESS: I'd like to be able to
12		A. Absolutely. I felt it was		
13		important to do it in Windsor. Mr. Godfrey joined me for that announcement. We did it not I can't	13	respond to a newspaper article that you put on the
14			14	table.
15		recall the precise time frames. In and around the	15	MS. LA HOREY: Mr. Duncan, we're not
16		budget. It wasn't long after the budget, or it	16	debating Mr. Lisus's qualifications. I think what
17		might have even been before. I don't recall. But,	17	Mr. Rosenberg said was that the decision was not
18	1527	yes, it was done in Windsor.	18	Cabinet-ready. And we've certainly produced for
19	1537	Q. Did you ever come to the view that	19	you, and you've been entitled to ask upon, the
20		terminating SARP was the wrong policy decision?	20	work-up before the decision was put to Cabinet. So
21		A. Never. I felt that it was	21	I think it's a fair question.
22		while it was while it was extraordinarily	22	MR. LISUS: That's not the question.
23		difficult and while I was fully cognizant, as I was	23	The question is was the decision Cabinet-ready, and
24		in so many of those things if there were people that were going to be directly impacted, I felt it	24	was it properly made. MS. LA HOREY: Mr. Rosenberg, if you're
25		THE WERE COING TO BE CIRECTLY IMPOCION I TALL IT	25	WS LA HURRY: Wr Rosenberg it voll're
25		that were going to be directly impacted, I left it		ib. III loldi. II. lobelberg, II jourie

1		Page 429	1		Page 43
1		going to ask the question, then I think that your	1		A. Yeah, let me just confirm it's the
2		question would be not whether the decision was	2		same document. Okay. Yes. Mm-hm.
3		properly made, but whether we're not going to	3	1542	Q. Is that the package that would
4		I'd ask you not to go to what was said in Cabinet.	4		have gone to Cabinet in connection
5		MR. ROSENBERG: I'm not asking	5		A. It appears to be. This was a
6		MR. LISUS: Or the propriety of what	6		large package. I don't know if there's anything
7		Cabinet did.	7		else that went as part of this appears to be
8		MR. ROSENBERG: I think this is	8		that to me.
9		something of a false controversy here. I'm asking	9	1543	Q. And as I understand it, this
10		about the decision that came before Cabinet and	10		package would have gone to Cabinet with your
11		MS. LA HOREY: Are you talking about	11		recommendation as Minister of Finance?
12		the recommendations to	12		MS. LA HOREY: Can I just see it for a
13		MR. ROSENBERG: As Ms. La Horey says,	13		sec?
14		the Crown has produced the Cabinet package. It was	14		THE WITNESS: Most of the time, yes.
15		Exhibit 11	15		Sometimes the ministry would not put a
16		MR. LISUS: I'm not allowing any	16		recommendation. I don't recall what was the case
17		5 -	17		in this one.
		examination on what happened at Cabinet. So you			
18		can ask about recommendations that were made by OLG	18		Typically that's what would happen, but
19		or by Finance officials, but Ms. La Horey has drawn	19		there were exceptions. Particularly if an issue
20		a very bright line at the Cabinet door.	20		was you knew an issue was going to be
21		BY MR. ROSENBERG:	21		contentious, it would have gone with some kind of
22	1539	Q. Let me try to work with my	22		recommendation from the committee it went to first,
23		friend's objection here. Mr. Lisus showed you	23		but I don't recall specifically where that
24		Exhibit 11 to Mr. Shortill's examination, which was	24		recommendation first went.
25		the Cabinet package. Do we have a paper copy of	25		MS. LA HOREY: I mean, if you were
		Page 430			Page 432
1		that that was put to the witness?	1		looking for a signature, Mr. Rosenberg, it's on the
2		MS. LA HOREY: Yes.	2		modernizing Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation
3		MR. ROSENBERG: Was it marked as an	3		Ministry of Finance jobs and economic committee
4		exhibit on this examination?	4		dated February 7, 2012. There's Minister Duncan's
5		MR. MATTHEWS: I believe so.	5		signature, I believe.
6		MR. ROSENBERG: Why don't we just go	6		THE WITNESS: Yeah, and that would have
7		off the record for a second.	7		gone to the full Cabinet subsequent to that.
8		OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION	8		Unless there were unless there were major
9		BY MR. ROSENBERG:	9		changes to a document, it would have gone as is.
10	1540	O. So I have what was marked as	10		There wouldn't have been a second one signed off
11	1340	Exhibit 11 to Mr. Shortill's examination identified			on. But that signature is also my signature and
			11		
12		to you by Mr. Lisus as "the Cabinet package." I	12		the deputy minister's signature.
1 2		don't think that it was marked as an exhibit on	13		BY MR. ROSENBERG:
13		your examination, but I stand to be corrected.	14	1544	Q. Could I direct your attention to
14			l		
14 15		MS. LA HOREY: Why don't we read the	15		page I believe it's 845 in the top, and it's
14			16		page I believe it's 845 in the top, and it's page 17 of the Cabinet submission decision
14 15		MS. LA HOREY: Why don't we read the			
14 15 16		$$\operatorname{MS}.$ LA HOREY: Why don't we read the document number into the record. It's CRE0032045.	16		page 17 of the Cabinet submission decision
14 15 16 17		MS. LA HOREY: Why don't we read the document number into the record. It's CRE0032045. MR. ROSENBERG: It's actually a	16 17		page 17 of the Cabinet submission decision document.
14 15 16 17 18		MS. LA HOREY: Why don't we read the document number into the record. It's CRE0032045. MR. ROSENBERG: It's actually a compilation of documents in which that is the first	16 17 18	1545	page 17 of the Cabinet submission decision document. A. There we go. 845, that's the
14 15 16 17 18 19		MS. LA HOREY: Why don't we read the document number into the record. It's CRE0032045. MR. ROSENBERG: It's actually a compilation of documents in which that is the first one.	16 17 18 19	1545	page 17 of the Cabinet submission decision document. A. There we go. 845, that's the Cabinet submission decision document.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		MS. LA HOREY: Why don't we read the document number into the record. It's CRE0032045. MR. ROSENBERG: It's actually a compilation of documents in which that is the first one. MR. LISUS: Just go by Exhibit 11.	16 17 18 19 20	1545	page 17 of the Cabinet submission decision document. A. There we go. 845, that's the Cabinet submission decision document. Q. Sorry, 846. My mistake. A. I'm sorry. Okay.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		MS. LA HOREY: Why don't we read the document number into the record. It's CRE0032045. MR. ROSENBERG: It's actually a compilation of documents in which that is the first one. MR. LISUS: Just go by Exhibit 11. MR. ROSENBERG: Exactly. I think that's what we should do.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1545	page 17 of the Cabinet submission decision document. A. There we go. 845, that's the Cabinet submission decision document. Q. Sorry, 846. My mistake. A. I'm sorry. Okay. MR. MATTHEWS: Can you tell me which
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1541	MS. LA HOREY: Why don't we read the document number into the record. It's CRE0032045. MR. ROSENBERG: It's actually a compilation of documents in which that is the first one. MR. LISUS: Just go by Exhibit 11. MR. ROSENBERG: Exactly. I think that's what we should do. BY MR. ROSENBERG:	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1545	page 17 of the Cabinet submission decision document. A. There we go. 845, that's the Cabinet submission decision document. Q. Sorry, 846. My mistake. A. I'm sorry. Okay. MR. MATTHEWS: Can you tell me which page of the Cabinet submission document?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1541	MS. LA HOREY: Why don't we read the document number into the record. It's CRE0032045. MR. ROSENBERG: It's actually a compilation of documents in which that is the first one. MR. LISUS: Just go by Exhibit 11. MR. ROSENBERG: Exactly. I think that's what we should do.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1545	page 17 of the Cabinet submission decision document. A. There we go. 845, that's the Cabinet submission decision document. Q. Sorry, 846. My mistake. A. I'm sorry. Okay. MR. MATTHEWS: Can you tell me which

BY MR. ROSENBERG: 1 accurately reflects the government' 2 1546 Q. Could I ask you to read that page, 3 please? A. Read the page? Is that A. Yes, it does. 5 acceptable? Read the entire page? 6 1547 Q. Just to yourself. Thank you. 7 A. I've read it now. 8 1548 Q. If you look under the heading 9 "Rationale," is that a fair summary of your 10 government's policy on the termination of SARP? 11 MR. LISUS: Are you talking about at 12 transcript will show that you examinate the Cabinet meeting? 13 at length on this document. 14 MR. ROSENBERG: No. 15 MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced 16 from this Cabinet meeting? 17 MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his 18 government's policy. 19 MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to 20 a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed 21 to examine on? 22 MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good 23 statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 24 that it is, in fact	wifically in that unfair given the now examining s not allowed to the us, the ned Mr. Bardeesy
please? A. Read the page? Is that A. Yes, it does. A. Yes, it does. 5 acceptable? Read the entire page? 6 1547 Q. Just to yourself. Thank you. 7 MR. LISUS: This is so 8 1548 Q. If you look under the heading 9 "Rationale," is that a fair summary of your 9 "Rationale," is that a fair summary of your 9 "Rationale," is that a fair summary of your 9 the witness on a document that I we 10 government's policy on the termination of SARP? 11 MR. ROSENBERG: Mr. Lisus 12 MR. LISUS: Are you talking about at 13 the Cabinet meeting? 14 MR. ROSENBERG: No. 15 MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced 16 from this Cabinet meeting? 17 MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his 18 government's policy. 19 MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to 10 a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed 20 MR. ROSENBERG: I am si 21 to examine on? 22 MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good 23 statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 24 Str, you understar	unfair given the now examining s not allowed to us, the ned Mr. Bardeesy
A. Read the page? Is that A. Yes, it does.	unfair given the now examining s not allowed to us, the ned Mr. Bardeesy
acceptable? Read the entire page? 6 1547 Q. Just to yourself. Thank you. A. I've read it now. 7 MR. LISUS: This is so 8 1548 Q. If you look under the heading 9 "Rationale," is that a fair summary of your 9 Tationale," is that a fair summary of your 10 government's policy on the termination of SARP? 11 A. Just reread it again. 12 MR. ROSENBERG: Mr. Lisus 13 the Cabinet meeting? 14 MR. ROSENBERG: No. 15 MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced 16 from this Cabinet meeting? 17 MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his 18 government's policy. 19 MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to 10 a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed 20 to examine on? 21 MR. ROSENBERG: 23 statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 24 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 25 Sir, you understar	unfair given the now examining s not allowed to us, the ned Mr. Bardeesy
6 1547 Q. Just to yourself. Thank you. 7 A. I've read it now. 8 1548 Q. If you look under the heading 9 "Rationale," is that a fair summary of your 10 government's policy on the termination of SARP? 11 A. Just reread it again. 12 MR. LISUS: Are you talking about at 13 the Cabinet meeting? 14 MR. ROSENBERG: No. 15 MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced 16 from this Cabinet meeting? 17 MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his 18 government's policy. 19 MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to 20 a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed 21 to examine on? 22 MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking him to confirm 23 1555 Q. Sir, you understar	unfair given the now examining s not allowed to us, the ned Mr. Bardeesy
A. I've read it now. Q. If you look under the heading "Rationale," is that a fair summary of your "Rationale," is that I was a good the witness on a document that I was a good transcript will show that I have not any other witness "Rationale," is that a fair summary of your "Rationale," is the witness on a document that I was a good the witness on a document that I was a good the witness on a document that I was a good the witness on a document that I was a good the witness on a document that I was a good the witness on a document that I was a good the witness on a document that I was a good the witness on a document that I was a good the witness on a document that I was a good the witness. "Rationale," is the witness on a document win on or any other witness "Rationale," is the witness. "Rationale," is the witn	e now examining as not allowed to bus, the ned Mr. Bardeesy by is not a
9 "Rationale," is that a fair summary of your 9 the witness on a document that I was government's policy on the termination of SARP? 10 examine him on or any other witness on a document that I was a good 10 government's policy on the termination of SARP? 10 examine him on or any other witness on a document that I was a good 10 government's been taken. You're of the witness on a document that I was a good 10 government's been taken. You're of the witness on a document that I was a good 11 government's been taken. You're of the witness on a document that I was a good 12 government that I was a good 15 the witness on a document that I was a good 10 government that I was a good 10 government that I was a good 10 government that I was a good 11 government that I was a good 12 government that	e now examining as not allowed to bus, the ned Mr. Bardeesy by is not a
9 "Rationale," is that a fair summary of your 9 the witness on a document that I was government's policy on the termination of SARP? 10 examine him on or any other witness A. Just reread it again. 11 MR. ROSENBERG: Mr. List transcript will show that you examine the Cabinet meeting? 13 at length on this document. 14 MR. ROSENBERG: No. 14 MR. LISUS: Mr. Bardees MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced 15 decision maker, Mr. Rosenberg. This from this Cabinet meeting? 16 MR. ROSENBERG: The transcript will show that I have not asked what I from this Cabinet meeting? 16 MR. ROSENBERG: The transcript will show that I have not asked what I from this Cabinet meeting? 17 also show that I have not asked what I government's policy. 18 the Cabinet meeting. I'm simply ask MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to 19 MR. LISUS: You're doin 20 a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed 20 MR. ROSENBERG: I am simply ask to examine on? 21 about the policy of the government. 22 MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good 22 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 23 statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 23 1555 Q. Sir, you understand	s not allowed to us, the ned Mr. Bardeesy y is not a
government's policy on the termination of SARP? A. Just reread it again. MR. ROSENBERG: Mr. List MR. ROSENBERG: Mr. List transcript will show that you examinate the Cabinet meeting? MR. ROSENBERG: No. MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced from this Cabinet meeting? MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his government's policy. MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 10 examine him on or any other witness MR. ROSENBERG: Mr. List transcript will show that you examinate transcript will show that you e	us, the ned Mr. Bardeesy y is not a
government's policy on the termination of SARP? A. Just reread it again. MR. LISUS: Are you talking about at the Cabinet meeting? MR. ROSENBERG: No. MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced from this Cabinet meeting? MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his government's policy. MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 10 examine him on or any other witness MR. ROSENBERG: Mr. Lisus transcript will show that you examing transcript will show that you examing transcript will show that you examing MR. LISUS: Mr. Bardees decision maker, Mr. Rosenberg. The decision maker, Mr. Rosenberg. The transcript will show that I have not asked what also show that I have not asked what	nus, the ned Mr. Bardeesy
12 MR. LISUS: Are you talking about at 13 the Cabinet meeting? 14 MR. ROSENBERG: No. 15 MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced 16 from this Cabinet meeting? 17 MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his 18 government's policy. 19 MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to 20 a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed 21 to examine on? 22 MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good 23 statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 20 transcript will show that you examinate transcript will show that I have not asked what also show tha	ned Mr. Bardeesy
12 MR. LISUS: Are you talking about at 13 the Cabinet meeting? 14 MR. ROSENBERG: No. 15 MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced 16 from this Cabinet meeting? 17 MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his 18 government's policy. 19 MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to 20 a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed 21 to examine on? 22 MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good 23 statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 20 transcript will show that you examinate at length on this document. 21 transcript will show that you examinate at length on this document. 22 MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced 23 transcript will show that you examinate at length on this document. 24 MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his 25 decision maker, Mr. Rosenberg. The transcript will show that you examinate at length on this document. 26 MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced 27 MR. ROSENBERG: The transcript will show that you examinate at length on this document. 28 MR. LISUS: Your about his also show that I have not asked what also show that I have not	ned Mr. Bardeesy
the Cabinet meeting? 13 at length on this document. 14 MR. ROSENBERG: No. 15 MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced 16 from this Cabinet meeting? 17 MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his 18 government's policy. 19 MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to 20 a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed 21 to examine on? 22 MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good 23 statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 24 at length on this document. MR. LISUS: Mr. Bardees decision maker, Mr. Rosenberg. The translation of the cabinet meeting. I'm simply as the Cabinet meeting. I'm simply as mr. LISUS: You're doing about the policy of the government. 26 BY MR. ROSENBERG: I am simply as about the policy of the government. 27 BY MR. ROSENBERG: I am simply as mr. LISUS: You're doing about the policy of the government. 28 BY MR. ROSENBERG: I am simply as about the policy of the government. 29 BY MR. ROSENBERG: I am simply as about the policy of the government. 20 BY MR. ROSENBERG: I am simply as about the policy of the government.	y is not a
MR. ROSENBERG: No. MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced from this Cabinet meeting? MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his government's policy. MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed to examine on? MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm MR. LISUS: Mr. Bardees decision maker, Mr. Rosenberg. The translation of the government is divorced 15 decision maker, Mr. Rosenberg. The translation of the government in the decision maker, Mr. Rosenberg. The translation of the government is divorced 16 MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his 17 also show that I have not asked what is the Cabinet meeting. I'm simply as in the Cabinet meeting. I'm simply as	=
MR. LISUS: Your question is divorced from this Cabinet meeting? MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his government's policy. MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed to examine on? MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm decision maker, Mr. Rosenberg. The MR. ROSENBERG: The tra also show that I have not asked what the Cabinet meeting. I'm simply as MR. LISUS: You're doir 20 MR. ROSENBERG: I am si about the policy of the government. BY MR. ROSENBERG: Q. Sir, you understan	=
from this Cabinet meeting? MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his government's policy. MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed to examine on? MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 16 MR. ROSENBERG: The translater allowed also show that I have not asked what is the Cabinet meeting. I'm simply as the Cabinet meeting. I'm simply as many asking him to a good a cabinet document which we have not been allowed 20 MR. ROSENBERG: I am simply asking him to confirm also the policy of the government. 21 MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good also the policy of the government. 22 MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good also the policy of the government. 23 Statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm also show that I have not asked what is the Cabinet meeting. I'm simply asking him to cabinet m	
MR. ROSENBERG: I'm asking about his government's policy. 18 government's policy. 19 MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed 20 a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed 21 to examine on? 22 MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good 23 statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 26 also show that I have not asked what the Cabinet meeting. I'm simply as the Cabinet me	
government's policy. 18 the Cabinet meeting. I'm simply as 19 MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to 20 a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed 21 to examine on? 22 MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good 23 statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 24 the Cabinet meeting. I'm simply as 26 MR. LISUS: You're doin 27 about the policy of the government. 28 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 29 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 20 Sir, you understan	=
19 MR. LISUS: Why are you anchoring it to 20 a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed 21 to examine on? 22 MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good 23 statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 24 MR. LISUS: You're doin 25 MR. ROSENBERG: I am si 26 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 27 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 28 Statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 29 MR. LISUS: You're doin 20 MR. ROSENBERG: I am si 21 about the policy of the government. 22 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 23 1555 Q. Sir, you understan	==
a Cabinet document which we have not been allowed to examine on? MR. ROSENBERG: I am si about the policy of the government. MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 20 MR. ROSENBERG: I am si about the policy of the government. 22 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 23 1555 Q. Sir, you understan	=
to examine on? MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 21 about the policy of the government. BY MR. ROSENBERG: BY MR. ROSENBERG: 23 1555 Q. Sir, you understan	
22 MR. ROSENBERG: I thought it was a good 22 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 23 statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 23 1555 Q. Sir, you understan	
23 statement of the policy. I'm asking him to confirm 23 1555 Q. Sir, you understar	
	1.1
3 1111 11 11 11	inet meeting?
MR. LISUS: That's the problem. I 25 A. Absolutely.	
Page 434	Page 43
don't know whether this was discussed or agreed 1 MR. LISUS: You're sayi	
with or disputed or debated at Cabinet. 2 decision made at Cabinet. That is	
3 So you're creating the inference that 3 position. That is your position or	the Notice of
4 this was the position of government at Cabinet, and 4 Motion for summary judgment.	
5 Cabinet accepted it. That's the inevitable 5 I obviously don't have	
6 inference that you're trying to raise, and it's 6 you not to ask questions or direct	
7 completely designed to get around the Crown's 7 to answer them, but this is a very	
8 prohibition from any inquiry and discussions at 8 MS. LA HOREY: Perhaps	you can move on,
9 Cabinet. 9 Mr. Rosenberg.	
10 MR. ROSENBERG: That's not fair, 10 MR. ROSENBERG: I have	the parties'
11 Mr. Lisus. Let me make this easy for the witness. 11 position on this. I will move on.	
	st going to ster
12 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju	
12 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju	
BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 1549 Q. Sir, your government had a policy 13 out of the room for a second.	
BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 1549 Q. Sir, your government had a policy 14 on terminating SARP? 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 out of the room for a second. 14 Recess at 2:17 p.m	Horey, I have
BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 1549 Q. Sir, your government had a policy 14 on terminating SARP? 15 A. Yes. 16 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 17 Out of the room for a second. 18 Recess at 2:17 p.m 19 Topon resuming at 2:24 p.m	- ·
BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 1549 Q. Sir, your government had a policy 14 on terminating SARP? 15 A. Yes. 16 1550 Q. That policy was publicly 16 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La HOREY: We're ju 17 Out of the room for a second. 18 Out of the room for a second. 19 Out of the room for a second. 10 Out of the room for a second. 11 Out of the room for a second. 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 Out of the room for a second. 14 Out of the room for a second. 15 Out of the room for a second. 16 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La	- ·
BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 1549 Q. Sir, your government had a policy 14 on terminating SARP? 15 A. Yes. 16 1550 Q. That policy was publicly 17 communicated after the decision was taken? 18 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 19 Out of the room for a second. 19	s objection.
BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 1549 Q. Sir, your government had a policy 14 on terminating SARP? 15 A. Yes. 16 1550 Q. That policy was publicly 17 communicated after the decision was taken? 18 A. Yes. 19 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 10 out of the room for a second. 11	s objection.
BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 1549 Q. Sir, your government had a policy 14 on terminating SARP? 15 A. Yes. 16 1550 Q. That policy was publicly 16 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La 17 communicated after the decision was taken? 18 A. Yes. 19 1551 Q. What I'm trying to understand is 19 1556 Q. Mr. Duncan, as Mir	s objection.
BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 1549 Q. Sir, your government had a policy 14 on terminating SARP? 15 A. Yes. 16 1550 Q. That policy was publicly 16 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La 17 communicated after the decision was taken? 18 A. Yes. 19 1551 Q. What I'm trying to understand is 20 Finance, did you have authority to	s objection. ister of cancel SARP?
BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 1549 Q. Sir, your government had a policy 13 out of the room for a second. 14 on terminating SARP? 15 A. Yes. 16 1550 Q. That policy was publicly 16 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La 17 communicated after the decision was taken? 18 A. Yes. 19 1551 Q. What I'm trying to understand is 20 the rationale for that policy decision. 21 MR. LISUS: And you're tying it to a 21 A. No.	s objection. ister of cancel SARP?
BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 1549 Q. Sir, your government had a policy 14 on terminating SARP? 15 A. Yes. 16 1550 Q. That policy was publicly 16 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La 17 communicated after the decision was taken? 18 A. Yes. 19 1551 Q. What I'm trying to understand is 20 the rationale for that policy decision. 21 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 22 Ms. LA HOREY: We're ju 33 out of the room for a second. 4 Recess at 2:17 p.m 4 Upon resuming at 2:24 p.m 4 your refusal, and I have Mr. Lisus' 4 your refusal, and I have Mr. Lisus' 4 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 4 Pinance, did you have authority to 5 MR. LISUS: And you're tying it to a 2 A. No. 2 Cabinet document. 2 1557 Q. Did the Premier have 3 cancel SARP?	s objection. ister of cancel SARP?
BY MR. ROSENBERG: 12 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 1549 Q. Sir, your government had a policy 14 on terminating SARP? 15 A. Yes. 16 1550 Q. That policy was publicly 16 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La 17 communicated after the decision was taken? 18 A. Yes. 19 1551 Q. What I'm trying to understand is 20 the rationale for that policy decision. 21 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 22 Cabinet document. 23 BY MR. ROSENBERG: 20 Sir, your government had a policy 13 out of the room for a second. 14 Recess at 2:17 p.m 15 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La 17 Upon resuming at 2:24 p.m 18 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 10 Out of the room for a second. 14 Recess at 2:17 p.m 15 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La 17 Upon resuming at 2:24 p.m 18 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 10 Out of the room for a second. 14 Recess at 2:17 p.m 15 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La 15 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La 16 1550 Q. Mr. Rosenberg: Ms. La 17 Upon resuming at 2:24 p.m 18 MS. LA HOREY: We're ju 13 Out of the room for a second. 14 Recess at 2:17 p.m 15 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La 15 MR. ROSENBERG: Ms. La 16 1550 Q. Mr. Dividence Mr. Lisus' 18 A. Yes. 19 1556 Q. Mr. Duncan, as Mir 20 Finance, did you have authority to 21 A. No. 22 1557 Q. Did the Premier have a cancel SARP?	s objection. ister of cancel SARP? ve authority to

1		Page 437	1	Page 43:
1 2		A. The government did, Cabinet and the legislature.	1 2	A. The modernization program. You can't look at you can't look at the SARP. I
3	1559	5	3	
3 4	1009	Q. And Mr. Lisus showed you the Cabinet minute that	4	mean, the SARP decision was one component of a much
5		MR. LISUS: I don't think I did.	5	broader and I'm delighted that plaintiff counsel
6			6	had gave me the opportunity to review my answers in
		MR. ROSENBERG: I believe you did.		Hansard because it refreshed my memory about the
7	1500	BY MR. ROSENBERG:	7	jobs that would be created, the net creation of
8	1560	Q. But I take it there's no dispute,	8	jobs, and how the
9		then, that the Cabinet minute directs the OLG to	9	When this program was established, it
10		terminate the siteholder agreements?	10	was just done randomly. Put the slot machines
11	1561	A. That's correct.	11	where there's racetracks. On that rationale, you
12	1561	Q. And those siteholder agreements	12	might put slot machines in every closed factory in
13		A. Were between the OLG and the	13	Ontario.
14	1560	siteholders, and we were the shareholder.	14	So it was done randomly with no they
15	1562	Q. Right. And the siteholder	15	never looked at the market. They never looked at
16		agreements form the basis for SARP?	16	what was in the best interest of proper allocation
17		A. Yes.	17	of a resource.
18	1563	Q. So that's the Cabinet piece. And	18	One of the concerns that had been
19		then the legislature, is that passing a budget that	19	expressed to me by the board and I think I said
20		contains the decision to terminate SARP?	20	this in response to questions that plaintiffs'
21		A. Yes. But as you indicate, it's a	21	counsel raised yesterday. You had a situation in
22		bit circuitous. You're taking me on a trip down	22	Windsor. We had you had two slot machine
23		memory lane.	23	operations within 6 or 7 kilometres of one another
24	1564	Q. Yes, I know you've been out of the	24	in the most competitive market in Ontario given
25		game, so to speak, for a number of years, but we're	25	that there were now three casinos in Detroit, and
		Page 438		Page 44
1		getting you right back into it, parliamentary	1	both of them were not doing well financially. So
2		procedure.	2	you have to look at it in the in the overall
3		Do you have any evidence to suggest	3	scope.
4		that cancelling SARP was an irrational decision	4	And Conservative-held ride, that was
5		when it was made?	5	never discussed. In fact, I felt I remember
6		A. Do I have any evidence? No.	6	when Mr. Godfrey first revealed to me that they
7	1565	Q. What about any evidence that the	7	felt Windsor Raceway had to be closed. It was kind
8		decision to cancel SARP was taken in bad faith?	8	of like, oh, God, you know, because, again, it's
9		A. There's no evidence to that.	9	very personal.
10	1566	Q. The plaintiffs have suggested that	10	Never stopped it. It was the
11		the decision to cancel SARP was taken to inflict	11	recommendation of the board. It was done in good
12		pain on Tory ridings. What do you say to that?	12	faith, based on hard evidence. Like I say, I'd
13		A. Windsor.	13	gone to that track when I was a kid.
14	1567	Q. What do you mean by that, sir?	14	So, yeah, I actually went right into my
15		A. Windsor Raceway is in a held	15	own city. And at that time, we held both the city
16		Liberal riding in my community. Not my riding, by	16	ridings. By then, we had Mr. Crosher (ph) had
		the way, but it's a small city.	17	passed away the year before, so Essex was no
17		And, in fact, if you look at the entire	18	longer were arguably at the time was held by
		magness the energy that record benefit the most rows	19	the NDP.
18		program, the areas that would benefit the most were	1	The NDP, I'll remind you, did not vote
18 19		many areas that we didn't hold, for instance	20	ine indi, i ii remina you, ara noe voce
18 19 20			20 21	against this budget or this cancellation. So, no,
18 19 20 21		many areas that we didn't hold, for instance		
18 19 20 21 22		many areas that we didn't hold, for instance Chatham at the time; North Bay, home of	21	against this budget or this cancellation. So, no,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1568	many areas that we didn't hold, for instance Chatham at the time; North Bay, home of Vic Fedeli at the time; Kawartha, Ajax. So, yeah,	21 22	against this budget or this cancellation. So, no, that's that was completely not accurate.

6 7 8 9 110 111 122 133 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 11 2 2 3 4 4 5 5 6	1570 1571	for instance, plaintiffs' counsel pointed out Mr. McMeekin whose riding did have a lot I think Mohawk I'm not sure if Mohawk was right in his riding. Q. Is that Flamboro Downs? A. Flamboro Downs. Yeah, I think it was called Mohawk at the time. Flamboro Downs is in his riding. I know that again, I do a lot of work with other agriculture groups, particularly in the Dundas region. I know there's a large rural component to his riding. I know that he had expressed in some of the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good Minister of Agriculture.	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1574	A. Absolutely, both within government and outside of government. Again, people tend to think of governments as monolithic and kind of one, but behind the scenes and within the strictures of Cabinet confidentiality, within the conventions around how our parliaments function, those debates aren't seen. Cabinet always speaks as one voice, but that doesn't mean that there isn't considerable discussion within by the way, not just Cabinet but within a government caucus because any number of our members were deeply concerned about their own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARI before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 23 224 25	1571	Mohawk I'm not sure if Mohawk was right in his riding. Q. Is that Flamboro Downs? A. Flamboro Downs. Yeah, I think it was called Mohawk at the time. Flamboro Downs is in his riding. I know that again, I do a lot of work with other agriculture groups, particularly in the Dundas region. I know there's a large rural component to his riding. I know that he had expressed in some of the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	think of governments as monolithic and kind of one, but behind the scenes and within the strictures of Cabinet confidentiality, within the conventions around how our parliaments function, those debates aren't seen. Cabinet always speaks as one voice, but that doesn't mean that there isn't considerable discussion within by the way, not just Cabinet but within a government caucus because any number of our members were deeply concerned about their own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARI before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 22 3 4 5 6	1571	riding. Q. Is that Flamboro Downs? A. Flamboro Downs. Yeah, I think it was called Mohawk at the time. Flamboro Downs is in his riding. I know that again, I do a lot of work with other agriculture groups, particularly in the Dundas region. I know there's a large rural component to his riding. I know that he had expressed in some of the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	but behind the scenes and within the strictures of Cabinet confidentiality, within the conventions around how our parliaments function, those debates aren't seen. Cabinet always speaks as one voice, but that doesn't mean that there isn't considerable discussion within — by the way, not just Cabinet but within a government caucus because any number of our members were deeply concerned about their own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARI before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
5 6 7 8 9 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 11 2 5 6	1571	Q. Is that Flamboro Downs? A. Flamboro Downs. Yeah, I think it was called Mohawk at the time. Flamboro Downs is in his riding. I know that again, I do a lot of work with other agriculture groups, particularly in the Dundas region. I know there's a large rural component to his riding. I know that he had expressed in some of the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	Cabinet confidentiality, within the conventions around how our parliaments function, those debates aren't seen. Cabinet always speaks as one voice, but that doesn't mean that there isn't considerable discussion within by the way, not just Cabinet but within a government caucus because any number of our members were deeply concerned about their own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARP before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
6 7 8 9 110 111 122 133 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 11 2 2 3 4 4 5 5 6	1571	A. Flamboro Downs. Yeah, I think it was called Mohawk at the time. Flamboro Downs is in his riding. I know that again, I do a lot of work with other agriculture groups, particularly in the Dundas region. I know there's a large rural component to his riding. I know that he had expressed in some of the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	around how our parliaments function, those debates aren't seen. Cabinet always speaks as one voice, but that doesn't mean that there isn't considerable discussion within by the way, not just Cabinet but within a government caucus because any number of our members were deeply concerned about their own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARR before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
7 8 9 110 111 112 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6		was called Mohawk at the time. Flamboro Downs is in his riding. I know that again, I do a lot of work with other agriculture groups, particularly in the Dundas region. I know there's a large rural component to his riding. I know that he had expressed in some of the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	aren't seen. Cabinet always speaks as one voice, but that doesn't mean that there isn't considerable discussion within — by the way, not just Cabinet but within a government caucus because any number of our members were deeply concerned about their own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARI before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 220 22 23 24 225 1 2 3 4 4 5 6		in his riding. I know that again, I do a lot of work with other agriculture groups, particularly in the Dundas region. I know there's a large rural component to his riding. I know that he had expressed in some of the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	Cabinet always speaks as one voice, but that doesn't mean that there isn't considerable discussion within by the way, not just Cabinet but within a government caucus because any number of our members were deeply concerned about their own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARI before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6		work with other agriculture groups, particularly in the Dundas region. I know there's a large rural component to his riding. I know that he had expressed in some of the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	that doesn't mean that there isn't considerable discussion within by the way, not just Cabinet but within a government caucus because any number of our members were deeply concerned about their own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARP before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6		the Dundas region. I know there's a large rural component to his riding. I know that he had expressed in some of the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	discussion within by the way, not just Cabinet but within a government caucus because any number of our members were deeply concerned about their own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARR before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6		I know that he had expressed in some of the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	but within a government caucus because any number of our members were deeply concerned about their own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARI before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6		I know that he had expressed in some of the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	of our members were deeply concerned about their own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARI before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6		the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARR before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6		the documents that plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	own ridings. Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARR before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
14 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 1 2 3 4 5 6		enough to remind me about, Mr. McMeekin and these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1574	Q. Now, plaintiffs suggest that Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARR before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 1 2 3 4 5 6		these aren't Cabinet documents. These are public statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Ontario should have asked industry stakeholders what they thought of the decision to terminate SARI before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
116 117 118 119 1220 221 222 223 224 225 1 2 3 4 5 6		statements that there were people in his community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	16 17 18 19 20 21		what they thought of the decision to terminate SARE before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 1 2 3 4 5 6		community that were hurt by this. So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	17 18 19 20 21		before it was taken. What do you say to that? A. I don't think we should have. I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
18 119 220 221 222 23 24 225 1 2 3 4 5 6		So to suggest it was political is to ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	18 19 20 21		$\hbox{A.} \hbox{I don't think we should have.}$ $\hbox{I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in}$
19 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6		ignore your own comments. Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	19 20 21		I think we needed to form our opinion, and then in
20 21 22 23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6		Q. He was, as you've said, the Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	20 21		
21 22 23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6		Minister of Agriculture at the time? A. Yes, he was, and a very good	21		good faith ag wo did go out and do further
22 23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6	1572	A. Yes, he was, and a very good			good faith, as we did, go out and do further consultation.
23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6	1572				
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6	1572	MINISTER OF AGRICUITURE.			And if you look at the public record,
1 2 3 4 5 6	T2/7	-	23		since the announcement, you'll see that not only
1 2 3 4 5 6		Q. And his riding was expected to get	24		has the government's position evolved, it's
2 3 4 5 6		hurt by the decision to terminate SARP?	25		changed governments have changed, even though
2 3 4 5 6		Page 442 A. I'll say this about Ted because	1		Page 44
3 4 5 6		he he was genuinely, I would say, emotional in	1 2		it's the same party.
4 5 6					Premier Wynne was very concerned about
5 6		his concern for what would happen, and it forced	3		the impact on the horse folks prior to her becoming
6		some very difficult conversations between he and I.	4		Premier. As you saw in one of the documents that
		It strained our personal friendship.	5		plaintiff counsel presented, she was she was, in
		I spent the day he was elected in	6		fact, given a complete briefing by my officials and
7		the by-election, I was his candidate's aide that	7		my staff.
8		day and spent the whole and we became quite good	8		MR. ROSENBERG: Can we go off the
9		friends.	9		record.
10		He felt very strongly and very	10		OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION
11		passionately about this issue and played, you know,	11		BY MR. ROSENBERG:
12		an instrumental role in making sure that the	12	1575	Q. Sir, I'm looking at Exhibit 26,
13		government did not lose sight that there would be	13		which is the Hansard from April 26, 2012. You're
14		families and individuals hurt by this decision.	14		quoted here as saying:
15		Anyone who knows Ted knows that he's a	15		"I said the day of the
16		remarkably compassionate human being. I would	16		announcement that we would discuss
17		describe him as a person with a very deep heart.	17		opportunities for mitigation with
18		And so he made sure both in his	18		the industry at an appropriate
19		capacity as Minister of Agriculture, in his	19		time."
20		capacity as a member of the legislature, and in his	20		A. Yes.
21		capacity as a human being that there was always	21	1576	Q. What does that refer to?
22		sensitivity among the decision makers to these	22		A. So there would have been a scrum
23		kinds of issues.	23		after the speech at the Economic Club. There's
		KINGS OF ISSUES.	24		always a huge scrum at a budget in it's always
25	1573	Q. As you said earlier, that kind of	25		1 1

שט					
1		Page 445 So nothing has been presented that I've	1		Page 447 communicate to stakeholders that, as I think you
2		seen. Maybe in the actual court proceedings, but	2		said yesterday, the tap was not simply being turned
3		they're you know, the record that's been	3		off?
4		presented so far has been kind of selective and	4		A. Absolutely. And it had been
5		typically from people who weren't even close to the	5		because this says I had my recollection is
6		decision making.	6		and, again, I can only respond to the questions
7		But I did say that on any number of	7		that are put to me.
8		occasions. We decided not to not to announce a	8		I'd want to see and I don't know if
9		position because we generally wanted to have more	9		they exist anymore the transcripts from my
10		input from the stakeholders and to ensure ourselves	10		scrums. I'd like to see the media coverage from
11		that the appropriate transition package would be	11		that point in time.
12		put in place, one that would allow the industry to	12		But we were very clear. This Hansard
13		continue on in a viable way.	13		proves it. It's the only thing in writing up until
14	1577	Q. If you continue on down the	14		now. But that's April of 2012, late April.
15		Hansard, you state:	15		That in fact, that was the plan.
16		"There will continue to be a	16		MR. ROSENBERG: Why don't we go off the
17		horse racing industry in Ontario.	17		record for a minute.
18		I'm advised that five, possibly six	18		OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION
19		tracks will survive and that indeed	19		BY MR. ROSENBERG:
20		the industry will be there.	20	1579	Q. I think I'm nearly finished, sir.
21		We have a year. We're continuing	21		A. Okay.
22		the program for another year.	22	1580	Q. Just from my client's perspective,
23		I believe probably the Ministry of	23		the OLG, until it had direction from Cabinet on its
24		Agriculture will work with them in	24		modernization initiative, is it fair to say that it
25		some fashion to deal with this."	25		couldn't know what, if any, of its recommendations
			1		
		D 440			D 440
1		Page 446 What was that a reference to, sir?	1		Page 448 would be implemented?
1 2		What was that a reference to, sir?	1 2		Page 448 would be implemented? A. Yes.
		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to		1581	would be implemented? A. Yes.
2 3		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by	2 3	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell
2 3 4		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier,	2 3 4	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't
2 3 4 5		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work	2 3 4 5	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right?
2 3 4 5 6		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like.	2 3 4 5 6	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there
2 3 4 5 6 7		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd	2 3 4 5 6	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred. The view was that there was nothing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OIG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OIG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the government's thinking how the government's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred. The view was that there was nothing that could save Fort Erie. The view was that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the government's thinking how the government's thinking was evolving.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred. The view was that there was nothing that could save Fort Erie. The view was that quarter racing would be difficult. But we were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1581	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the government's thinking how the government's thinking was evolving. Q. In fairness, it could get a sense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred. The view was that there was nothing that could save Fort Erie. The view was that quarter racing would be difficult. But we were open to hear all the discussions and all the input	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the government's thinking how the government's thinking was evolving. Q. In fairness, it could get a sense of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred. The view was that there was nothing that could save Fort Erie. The view was that quarter racing would be difficult. But we were open to hear all the discussions and all the input we could on those issues.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OIG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OIG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the government's thinking how the government's thinking was evolving. Q. In fairness, it could get a sense of A. But it couldn't take any action
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred. The view was that there was nothing that could save Fort Erie. The view was that quarter racing would be difficult. But we were open to hear all the discussions and all the input we could on those issues. But, yeah, so what has been presented	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the government's thinking how the government's thinking was evolving. Q. In fairness, it could get a sense of A. But it couldn't take any action until such time as they had a very clear direction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred. The view was that there was nothing that could save Fort Erie. The view was that quarter racing would be difficult. But we were open to hear all the discussions and all the input we could on those issues. But, yeah, so what has been presented to me up until now has been kind of limited and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the government's thinking how the government's thinking was evolving. Q. In fairness, it could get a sense of A. But it couldn't take any action until such time as they had a very clear direction from the government that would typically be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred. The view was that there was nothing that could save Fort Erie. The view was that quarter racing would be difficult. But we were open to hear all the discussions and all the input we could on those issues. But, yeah, so what has been presented to me up until now has been kind of limited and typically from lower-level officials who weren't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the government's thinking how the government's thinking was evolving. Q. In fairness, it could get a sense of A. But it couldn't take any action until such time as they had a very clear direction from the government that would typically be expressed in a Cabinet minute or some kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred. The view was that there was nothing that could save Fort Erie. The view was that quarter racing would be difficult. But we were open to hear all the discussions and all the input we could on those issues. But, yeah, so what has been presented to me up until now has been kind of limited and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the government's thinking how the government's thinking was evolving. Q. In fairness, it could get a sense of A. But it couldn't take any action until such time as they had a very clear direction from the government that would typically be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred. The view was that there was nothing that could save Fort Erie. The view was that quarter racing would be difficult. But we were open to hear all the discussions and all the input we could on those issues. But, yeah, so what has been presented to me up until now has been kind of limited and typically from lower-level officials who weren't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the government's thinking how the government's thinking was evolving. Q. In fairness, it could get a sense of A. But it couldn't take any action until such time as they had a very clear direction from the government that would typically be expressed in a Cabinet minute or some kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred. The view was that there was nothing that could save Fort Erie. The view was that quarter racing would be difficult. But we were open to hear all the discussions and all the input we could on those issues. But, yeah, so what has been presented to me up until now has been kind of limited and typically from lower-level officials who weren't privy to all of the information that I was privy to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the government's thinking how the government's thinking was evolving. Q. In fairness, it could get a sense of A. But it couldn't take any action until such time as they had a very clear direction from the government that would typically be expressed in a Cabinet minute or some kind of directive from me as the minister responsible for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1578	What was that a reference to, sir? A. Well, I think it was a response to a specific question. But I think what's evident by there is just confirms what I had said earlier, that we were probably still deciding how to work out what that would look like. Other than the fact that we'd committed I'm glad that it is in Hansard because my recollection was that the all the advice I had was the market could support five to nobody gave me a precise number. It was always in that range, including Woodbine, because it was it was thoroughbred. The view was that there was nothing that could save Fort Erie. The view was that quarter racing would be difficult. But we were open to hear all the discussions and all the input we could on those issues. But, yeah, so what has been presented to me up until now has been kind of limited and typically from lower-level officials who weren't privy to all of the information that I was privy to or the discussions that went on in briefings and so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1582	would be implemented? A. Yes. Q. And OLG couldn't very well tell anyone what it was going to do because it wouldn't yet know; right? A. Wouldn't know formally, but there were ongoing discussions between, you know, my staff, senior officials. I mean, the OLG had been very involved in modernization since it started, and as we got closer to decision-making time, there would have been there would have been discussions that would keep them in the loop as to what the government's thinking how the government's thinking was evolving. Q. In fairness, it could get a sense of A. But it couldn't take any action until such time as they had a very clear direction from the government that would typically be expressed in a Cabinet minute or some kind of directive from me as the minister responsible for the OLG.

		DONCAN ON Watch 10, 2010		
1		Page 449 the February 8th, 2012, Cabinet meeting; is that	1	Page 451 We knew that there would inevitably be
2		correct?	2	real hurt as a result, but the goal was to actually
3		A. Yes. And I can also say that	3	improve the overall performance of OLG to ensure
4		there were many times when that you know, the	4	
		-		that there is a sustainable, viable horse racing
5		OLG was frustrated because they wanted to move	5	industry, that the purses stayed in Ontario, that
6		forward. And I would say I still didn't you	6	rural communities that were not serviced by other
7		know, we were still within the government	7	gaming opportunities and other small urban areas as
8		discussing those sorts of things.	8	well as large urban areas, for instance, Toronto,
9	1584	Q. Was the decision to cancel SARP	9	would have access and that there'd be a net
10		taken to hurt horse people?	10	increase of some according to the last numbers,
11		A. No, definitely not. In fact, our	11	I think, again, plaintiff counsel was kind enough
12		view the advice we had from the experts was that	12	to provide me with information respecting the total
13		the subsidy was unsustainable. The industry was	13	number of jobs that were projected to be created by
14		unsustainable. The you know, we had 17 tracks	14	the overall modernization.
15		operating in a jurisdiction where the predominant	15	1587 Q. What about the decision not to
16		advice I had was 5, maybe 6 as indicated in this	16	introduce transition funding when the termination
17		Hansard, including Woodbine.	17	of SARP was announced in March 2012? Was that
18		Plaintiffs' counsel was kind enough to	18	decision taken to hurt horse people?
19		remind me that the horse industry the tracks,	19	A. No, not at all. As I indicated in
20		the regulator were not getting along with each	20	Hansard and I indicated, I know, in other venues
21		other. Hadn't been for many years. They couldn't	21	it wouldn't be as dutifully recorded as Hansard has
22		agree on simple things like number of dates, race	22	-
				here that we were very clear that there would be
23		dates.	23	transition funding.
24		Track owners would say to me the only	24	We wanted much more extensive
25		reason people came to the races was for the	25	discussions between OLG and the horse industry and
		Page 450		Page 452
1		off-track betting, that attendance was down. The	1	the ministry. We did not we had bandied about
2		wagering was down.	2	any number of numbers over a period of time.
3		And so there was constant, constant	3	Decided not to land those.
4		fighting within the sector. I'll say sector	4	The minute the Cabinet minute, which
5		broadly because that includes the regulator.	5	is publicly available, I believe, does not
6		And we felt that once OLG had done its	6	direct it does not direct any kind of
7		work with respect to modernization of gaming, that	7	compensation. It's silent on the question, and it
8		it was time to, after 17 years, do a thorough	8	was silent for a reason because we were addressing
9		evaluation of the program, its effectiveness and to	9	it in a fashion that you've outlined here. My
10		see what we could do in the long-term to ensure	10	recollection
			11	
		that an industry continues to exist in this		MR. LISUS: You can't no, this is
11		that an industry continues to exist in this		MR. LISUS: You can't no, this is
11 12	1505	province.	12	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him
11 12 13	1585	province. Q. You spoke about fighting within	12 13	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and
11 12 13 14	1585	province. Q. You spoke about fighting within the horse racing industry.	12 13 14	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and what it meant and what was the intention. You
11 12 13 14 15		province. Q. You spoke about fighting within the horse racing industry. A. Yes.	12 13 14 15	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and what it meant and what was the intention. You shouldn't be doing it.
11 12 13 14 15 16	1585 1586	province. Q. You spoke about fighting within the horse racing industry. A. Yes. Q. Is it fair to say that the	12 13 14 15 16	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and what it meant and what was the intention. You shouldn't be doing it. MR. ROSENBERG: I'm not eliciting any
11 12 13 14 15 16 17		province. Q. You spoke about fighting within the horse racing industry. A. Yes. Q. Is it fair to say that the decision to terminate SARP broke through that usual	12 13 14 15 16 17	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and what it meant and what was the intention. You shouldn't be doing it. MR. ROSENBERG: I'm not eliciting any such evidence.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		province. Q. You spoke about fighting within the horse racing industry. A. Yes. Q. Is it fair to say that the decision to terminate SARP broke through that usual bickering and allowed the industry to focus on a	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and what it meant and what was the intention. You shouldn't be doing it. MR. ROSENBERG: I'm not eliciting any such evidence. THE WITNESS: Well, this is in Hansard.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		province. Q. You spoke about fighting within the horse racing industry. A. Yes. Q. Is it fair to say that the decision to terminate SARP broke through that usual bickering and allowed the industry to focus on a more sustainable future?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and what it meant and what was the intention. You shouldn't be doing it. MR. ROSENBERG: I'm not eliciting any such evidence. THE WITNESS: Well, this is in Hansard. MR. LISUS: The Cabinet minute is
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		province. Q. You spoke about fighting within the horse racing industry. A. Yes. Q. Is it fair to say that the decision to terminate SARP broke through that usual bickering and allowed the industry to focus on a	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and what it meant and what was the intention. You shouldn't be doing it. MR. ROSENBERG: I'm not eliciting any such evidence. THE WITNESS: Well, this is in Hansard.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		province. Q. You spoke about fighting within the horse racing industry. A. Yes. Q. Is it fair to say that the decision to terminate SARP broke through that usual bickering and allowed the industry to focus on a more sustainable future?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and what it meant and what was the intention. You shouldn't be doing it. MR. ROSENBERG: I'm not eliciting any such evidence. THE WITNESS: Well, this is in Hansard. MR. LISUS: The Cabinet minute is
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		province. Q. You spoke about fighting within the horse racing industry. A. Yes. Q. Is it fair to say that the decision to terminate SARP broke through that usual bickering and allowed the industry to focus on a more sustainable future? A. I don't know. I wasn't close to	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and what it meant and what was the intention. You shouldn't be doing it. MR. ROSENBERG: I'm not eliciting any such evidence. THE WITNESS: Well, this is in Hansard. MR. LISUS: The Cabinet minute is referenced in Hansard?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		province. Q. You spoke about fighting within the horse racing industry. A. Yes. Q. Is it fair to say that the decision to terminate SARP broke through that usual bickering and allowed the industry to focus on a more sustainable future? A. I don't know. I wasn't close to those people, but as I said here in these other	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and what it meant and what was the intention. You shouldn't be doing it. MR. ROSENBERG: I'm not eliciting any such evidence. THE WITNESS: Well, this is in Hansard. MR. LISUS: The Cabinet minute is referenced in Hansard? THE WITNESS: No, no, no, what I just
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		province. Q. You spoke about fighting within the horse racing industry. A. Yes. Q. Is it fair to say that the decision to terminate SARP broke through that usual bickering and allowed the industry to focus on a more sustainable future? A. I don't know. I wasn't close to those people, but as I said here in these other documents that I've looked at, the very good work	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and what it meant and what was the intention. You shouldn't be doing it. MR. ROSENBERG: I'm not eliciting any such evidence. THE WITNESS: Well, this is in Hansard. MR. LISUS: The Cabinet minute is referenced in Hansard? THE WITNESS: No, no, no, what I just said is in Hansard. You're the one who reminded me
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		province. Q. You spoke about fighting within the horse racing industry. A. Yes. Q. Is it fair to say that the decision to terminate SARP broke through that usual bickering and allowed the industry to focus on a more sustainable future? A. I don't know. I wasn't close to those people, but as I said here in these other documents that I've looked at, the very good work that had been done over two years by OLG with	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the problem. You are eliciting evidence from him about the intention behind Cabinet materials and what it meant and what was the intention. You shouldn't be doing it. MR. ROSENBERG: I'm not eliciting any such evidence. THE WITNESS: Well, this is in Hansard. MR. LISUS: The Cabinet minute is referenced in Hansard? THE WITNESS: No, no, no, what I just said is in Hansard. You're the one who reminded me of this stuff.

		Page 453			Page 455
1		MR. ROSENBERG: Well, I have your	1		you?
2		objection, but my question was about the decision	2		A. No.
3		not to announce transition funding in tandem with	3	1594	Q. Certainly this wasn't a scripted
4		the announcement	4		exchange?
5		THE WITNESS: It's not even dealt with	5		A. No.
6		in the Cabinet minute.	6	1595	Q. And you've come here today in your
7		MR. LISUS: That's my point, sir.	7		capacity as a summonsed witness to tell the truth
8		You're trying to fill in what isn't in the Cabinet	8		to the best of your ability?
9		material.	9		A. Absolutely.
10		MR. ROSENBERG: I don't think that's	10		MS. LA HOREY: Okay.
11		right.	11		MR. ROSENBERG: Thank you. Those are
12		THE WITNESS: I think you're wrong.	12		my questions. You may have some questions from
13		MR. ROSENBERG: All I'm asking let	13		Ontario and them some further questions from the
14		me be very clear	14		plaintiffs.
15		MS. LA HOREY: We're having a	15		MS. LA HOREY: I just have one
16		free-for-all argument. So you've asked the	16		question, and it's mostly just to make sure that
17		question. I think he's answered the question,	17		the transcript is readable when and if we get to
18		Mr. Rosenberg.	18		court.
19		THE WITNESS: Thank you.	19		CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. LA HOREY:
		MS. LA HOREY: Can we move on?		1596	
20		BY MR. ROSENBERG:	20	1590	Q. A number of times, Mr. Duncan,
21	1500		21		you've used the acronym or common name ag as in
22	1588	Q. As you saw it, sir, it was one	22		A-G. Can you tell me what that stands for?
23		decision to terminate SARP. And that's the one	23		A. I'm referring to the ministry of
24		that was taken on February 8, 2012; right?	24		what was at the time called the Ministry of
25		A. Yes.	25		Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs.
		Page 454			Page 456
1	1589	Q. And a subsequent decision as to	1	1597	Q. Thank you.
2		what the appropriate level of funding for the horse	2		A. I'm not sure what its formal title
3		racing industry should be?	3		is today.
4		A. Not only appropriate level of	4		MS. LA HOREY: Thank you.
5		funding, how it should be administered. There was	5		RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LISUS:
6		considerable debate, should it be with OLG, should	6	1598	Q. Just to be clear, sir, you left
7		it be with the Minister of Agriculture and Food.	7		government in February 2013?
8		Ultimately, Premier Wynne's government, I believe,	8		A. That's correct.
9		tied it back into the gaming strategy.	9	1599	Q. And did you read the April 2014
10		So there were a lot of unanswered	10		Auditor General's report?
11		questions, other than the decision had been taken	11		MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, one moment,
		that the SARP program would be terminated as per	12		please. I don't think that this was a matter that
12		the contracts with the individual tracks and that	13		was raised by Mr. Rosenberg in his examination.
12 13					
13			14		and the rule is duite clear that you
13 14	1590	there was a year to work through these issues.	14 15		And the rule is quite clear that you may reexamine on matters raised by other parties.
13 14 15	1590	there was a year to work through these issues. Q. You told Mr. Lisus that you had	15		may reexamine on matters raised by other parties.
13 14 15 16	1590	there was a year to work through these issues. Q. You told Mr. Lisus that you had not met with counsel for OLG in preparation for	15 16		may reexamine on matters raised by other parties. So the Auditor's report wasn't raised by
13 14 15 16 17	1590	there was a year to work through these issues. Q. You told Mr. Lisus that you had not met with counsel for OLG in preparation for today's examination. Do you remember that?	15 16 17		may reexamine on matters raised by other parties. So the Auditor's report wasn't raised by Mr. Rosenberg.
13 14 15 16 17 18		there was a year to work through these issues. Q. You told Mr. Lisus that you had not met with counsel for OLG in preparation for today's examination. Do you remember that? A. Yes.	15 16 17 18		may reexamine on matters raised by other parties. So the Auditor's report wasn't raised by Mr. Rosenberg. MR. LISUS: No, but the question of
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1590 1591	there was a year to work through these issues. Q. You told Mr. Lisus that you had not met with counsel for OLG in preparation for today's examination. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. The questions that I've been	15 16 17 18 19		may reexamine on matters raised by other parties. So the Auditor's report wasn't raised by Mr. Rosenberg. MR. LISUS: No, but the question of employment and jobs created by the termination of
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		there was a year to work through these issues. Q. You told Mr. Lisus that you had not met with counsel for OLG in preparation for today's examination. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. The questions that I've been asking you today, you're hearing these for the	15 16 17 18 19 20		may reexamine on matters raised by other parties. So the Auditor's report wasn't raised by Mr. Rosenberg. MR. LISUS: No, but the question of employment and jobs created by the termination of Slots at Racetrack Program was, and that is
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		there was a year to work through these issues. Q. You told Mr. Lisus that you had not met with counsel for OLG in preparation for today's examination. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. The questions that I've been asking you today, you're hearing these for the first time now?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21		may reexamine on matters raised by other parties. So the Auditor's report wasn't raised by Mr. Rosenberg. MR. LISUS: No, but the question of employment and jobs created by the termination of Slots at Racetrack Program was, and that is addressed in the Auditor General's report, isn't
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1591	there was a year to work through these issues. Q. You told Mr. Lisus that you had not met with counsel for OLG in preparation for today's examination. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. The questions that I've been asking you today, you're hearing these for the first time now? A. Yes, that's correct.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		may reexamine on matters raised by other parties. So the Auditor's report wasn't raised by Mr. Rosenberg. MR. LISUS: No, but the question of employment and jobs created by the termination of Slots at Racetrack Program was, and that is addressed in the Auditor General's report, isn't it?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		there was a year to work through these issues. Q. You told Mr. Lisus that you had not met with counsel for OLG in preparation for today's examination. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. The questions that I've been asking you today, you're hearing these for the first time now? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. These weren't rehearsed with you?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1600	may reexamine on matters raised by other parties. So the Auditor's report wasn't raised by Mr. Rosenberg. MR. LISUS: No, but the question of employment and jobs created by the termination of Slots at Racetrack Program was, and that is addressed in the Auditor General's report, isn't it? BY MR. LISUS:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1591	there was a year to work through these issues. Q. You told Mr. Lisus that you had not met with counsel for OLG in preparation for today's examination. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. The questions that I've been asking you today, you're hearing these for the first time now? A. Yes, that's correct.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1600	may reexamine on matters raised by other parties. So the Auditor's report wasn't raised by Mr. Rosenberg. MR. LISUS: No, but the question of employment and jobs created by the termination of Slots at Racetrack Program was, and that is addressed in the Auditor General's report, isn't it?

_		Page 457			Page 459
1		Mr. Duncan?	1		A. Yeah. I recall only one occasion
2		A. I'm looking to counsel. Has this	2		in my tenure in Cabinet where and it wasn't even
3		issue been resolved?	3		a vote. It was done in something called "ministers
4		MS. LA HOREY: You can answer the	4		only" where the Premier asked a question, and he
5		question.	5		literally asked each member, polled each member on
6		THE WITNESS: I don't recall reading	6		their views.
7		it, no.	7		So the minute is a very precise
8		BY MR. LISUS:	8		reflection of what a Cabinet decided.
9	1601	Q. Did you ever read the final report	9	1606	Q. Thank you. With respect to the
10		of the panel, the horse racing transition panel?	10		Cabinet minute that came out of February 8, you
11		A. Yes.	11		would have seen that minute when you signed the
12	1602	Q. The final report to the	12		deck or the submission?
13		A. I think that's the one I read.	13		A. I would have seen the proposed
14	1603	Q. Okay. And you spoke to	14		minute. There are not often, but from time to
15		Mr. Rosenberg about the Cabinet minute from the	15		time, there are changes made to the minute at
16		February 8 Cabinet meeting. Do you remember that?	16		literally at the Cabinet table.
17		A. No.	17	1607	Q. And there are obviously, then,
18		MR. LISUS: We're off the record for a	18	1007	changes made to the minute as the Cabinet material
19		moment.	19		evolves leading up to the Cabinet meeting?
20		OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION	20		A. Typically. Again, in my
21		MR. LISUS: We're going to get these	21		experience, a minute is not drafted until Cabinet
22		exhibits organized. They've been passed around a	22		office's submission is prepared. My recollection
23		bit.			
			23		is that and you're going to have to go to
24		Recess at 2:59 p.m	24		someone else.
25		Upon resuming at 3:13 p.m	25		Cabinet office drafts the minute. It
		Page 458			Page 460
1		BY MR. LISUS:	1		then gets put onto the both the Cabinet
2	1604	Q. You were asked a number of	2		office you'll notice that there's a Cabinet
3		minations on row tollrod about a Cabinat minuta			
4		questions or you talked about a Cabinet minute.	3		office submission as well as a ministry submission.
		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public	4		You'll notice in that package you put on the table.
5			-		You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item
		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public	4		You'll notice in that package you put on the table.
5		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right?	4 5		You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item
5 6		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that?	4 5 6		You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in
5 6 7		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a	4 5 6 7		You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments.
5 6 7 8		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and	4 5 6 7 8		You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will
5 6 7 8 9		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes.	4 5 6 7 8		You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of
5 6 7 8 9		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not	4 5 6 7 8 9		You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring
5 6 7 8 9 10 11		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1608	You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I first learned it. When I think of minutes of a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1608	You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central agencies would have had from a range of ministries.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I first learned it. When I think of minutes of a meeting, I tend to think of sometimes like here,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1608	You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central agencies would have had from a range of ministries. Q. So in this case, the Cabinet
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I first learned it. When I think of minutes of a meeting, I tend to think of sometimes like here, we're dutifully recording every word that is said.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1608	You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central agencies would have had from a range of ministries. Q. So in this case, the Cabinet office would have likely taken into account the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I first learned it. When I think of minutes of a meeting, I tend to think of sometimes like here, we're dutifully recording every word that is said. The only thing that's recorded and maintained in the record is an actual, precise minute.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1608	You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central agencies would have had from a range of ministries. Q. So in this case, the Cabinet office would have likely taken into account the views of the Ministry of Finance on the Cabinet minute?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I first learned it. When I think of minutes of a meeting, I tend to think of sometimes like here, we're dutifully recording every word that is said. The only thing that's recorded and maintained in the record is an actual, precise minute. There are no there are no for	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1608	You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central agencies would have had from a range of ministries. Q. So in this case, the Cabinet office would have likely taken into account the views of the Ministry of Finance on the Cabinet minute? A. Oh, certainly. Certainly on
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I first learned it. When I think of minutes of a meeting, I tend to think of sometimes like here, we're dutifully recording every word that is said. The only thing that's recorded and maintained in the record is an actual, precise minute. There are no there are no for instance, you don't, in a Cabinet meeting, take	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1608	You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central agencies would have had from a range of ministries. Q. So in this case, the Cabinet office would have likely taken into account the views of the Ministry of Finance on the Cabinet minute? A. Oh, certainly. Certainly on the but they would have also taken into account
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I first learned it. When I think of minutes of a meeting, I tend to think of sometimes like here, we're dutifully recording every word that is said. The only thing that's recorded and maintained in the record is an actual, precise minute. There are no there are no for instance, you don't, in a Cabinet meeting, take complete transcripts of everything that's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1608	You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other — it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central agencies would have had from a range of ministries. Q. So in this case, the Cabinet office would have likely taken into account the views of the Ministry of Finance on the Cabinet minute? A. Oh, certainly. Certainly on the — but they would have also taken into account the views of other ministries, making sure that the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I first learned it. When I think of minutes of a meeting, I tend to think of sometimes like here, we're dutifully recording every word that is said. The only thing that's recorded and maintained in the record is an actual, precise minute. There are no there are no for instance, you don't, in a Cabinet meeting, take complete transcripts of everything that's discussed. My understanding of parliamentary	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1608	You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central agencies would have had from a range of ministries. Q. So in this case, the Cabinet office would have likely taken into account the views of the Ministry of Finance on the Cabinet minute? A. Oh, certainly. Certainly on the but they would have also taken into account the views of other ministries, making sure that the Cabinet understood all of the views, because
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I first learned it. When I think of minutes of a meeting, I tend to think of sometimes like here, we're dutifully recording every word that is said. The only thing that's recorded and maintained in the record is an actual, precise minute. There are no there are no for instance, you don't, in a Cabinet meeting, take complete transcripts of everything that's discussed. My understanding of parliamentary history is rarely are there actual votes taken in a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1608	You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central agencies would have had from a range of ministries. Q. So in this case, the Cabinet office would have likely taken into account the views of the Ministry of Finance on the Cabinet minute? A. Oh, certainly. Certainly on the but they would have also taken into account the views of other ministries, making sure that the Cabinet understood all of the views, because oftentimes there were there might be
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I first learned it. When I think of minutes of a meeting, I tend to think of sometimes like here, we're dutifully recording every word that is said. The only thing that's recorded and maintained in the record is an actual, precise minute. There are no there are no for instance, you don't, in a Cabinet meeting, take complete transcripts of everything that's discussed. My understanding of parliamentary history is rarely are there actual votes taken in a Cabinet meeting.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central agencies would have had from a range of ministries. Q. So in this case, the Cabinet office would have likely taken into account the views of the Ministry of Finance on the Cabinet minute? A. Oh, certainly. Certainly on the but they would have also taken into account the views of other ministries, making sure that the Cabinet understood all of the views, because oftentimes there were there might be disagreements.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1605	What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I first learned it. When I think of minutes of a meeting, I tend to think of sometimes like here, we're dutifully recording every word that is said. The only thing that's recorded and maintained in the record is an actual, precise minute. There are no there are no for instance, you don't, in a Cabinet meeting, take complete transcripts of everything that's discussed. My understanding of parliamentary history is rarely are there actual votes taken in a Cabinet meeting. BY MR. LISUS:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1608	You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central agencies would have had from a range of ministries. Q. So in this case, the Cabinet office would have likely taken into account the views of the Ministry of Finance on the Cabinet minute? A. Oh, certainly. Certainly on the but they would have also taken into account the views of other ministries, making sure that the Cabinet understood all of the views, because oftentimes there were there might be disagreements. Q. Are you aware as to whether or not
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1605	What is a Cabinet minute? It's not a public document; right? A. Am I allowed to answer that? MS. LA HOREY: You can answer as a matter of your understanding of government and government processes, yes. THE WITNESS: So there are not I guess the best way to explain it to you is when I first learned it. When I think of minutes of a meeting, I tend to think of sometimes like here, we're dutifully recording every word that is said. The only thing that's recorded and maintained in the record is an actual, precise minute. There are no there are no for instance, you don't, in a Cabinet meeting, take complete transcripts of everything that's discussed. My understanding of parliamentary history is rarely are there actual votes taken in a Cabinet meeting.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		You'll notice in that package you put on the table. That is, to my recollection, virtually every item that comes before Cabinet, save and except Order in Council appointments. And typically the Cabinet office will take into account in greater detail the views of other ministries, other it will try to bring together threads of advice that the central agencies would have had from a range of ministries. Q. So in this case, the Cabinet office would have likely taken into account the views of the Ministry of Finance on the Cabinet minute? A. Oh, certainly. Certainly on the but they would have also taken into account the views of other ministries, making sure that the Cabinet understood all of the views, because oftentimes there were there might be disagreements.

		Page 461			Page 463
1		before that meeting?	1		A. Okay.
2		A. I'm not. I don't recall.	2	1616	Q. Do you see that?
3	1610	Q. Okay.	3		A. Mm-hm.
4		A. Just don't recall.	4	1617	Q. All right. And obviously the
5	1611	Q. Would you, in the ordinary course,	5		Cabinet minute on February 8 is quite different
6		review prior versions of a Cabinet minute?	6		than the language in the Cabinet minute in January
7		A. No. No.	7		or the one that is summarized in the January 31
8	1612	Q. Are you aware that on January 31,	8		email; right?
9		the proposed Cabinet minute was quite different	9		A. And what is the date of this one
10		from the Cabinet minute that was ultimately	10		again? I'm sorry.
11		approved at Cabinet?	11	1618	Q. The date of this one, as far as we
12		MS. LA HOREY: You're referring to	12		can determine, is January 11, 2012.
13		something.	13		A. Okay.
14		MR. LISUS: I'm referring to the	14	1619	Q. And then that email is January 31,
15		language of the proposed minute on January 31	15		2012, with the same language. So all I'm saying is
16		marked in the examination of Tim Shortill.	16		that
17		MS. LA HOREY: Well, then why don't you	17		A. In those instances.
18		put it to him? I mean, because you're saying	18	1620	Q. Yes.
19		something is quite different, and we don't know	19		MS. LA HOREY: What's your question?
20		MR. LISUS: Exhibit 5 from the	20		BY MR. LISUS:
21		examination of Shortill. I put it up on the screen	21	1621	Q. I asked the question. The minute
22		there for you.	22		clearly changed between what was under discussion
23		MS. LA HOREY: Thank you.	23		on the January 11 and January 31. That's all.
24		THE WITNESS: I can't really determine	24		A. That would be quite common in a
25		what	25		three-week period, especially on an item as big as
		Page 462			Page 464
1		BY MR. LISUS:	1		modernization of OLG.
2	1613	Q. You can't say one way or the	2	1622	Q. My point, sir, is that it changed
3		other?	3		again between January 31 and February
4		A. Yeah, I just this doesn't refer	4		A. Yeah. The closer you get to the
5		to this is dated, as you say, January 31.	5		goal, the slipperier the ice is. So I can tell you
6		Subject is racing. And it says here in the in	6		that that doesn't surprise me in the least. You
7		the first email from Barry Goodwin to Blair	7		want to make as I said, Cabinet minutes tend to
8		Stransky, and I don't see a response here from	8		be precise.
^					be precise.
9		Blair.	9		MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the
10	1614	Blair. Q. Let me show you the Cabinet	9		
	1614				MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the
10	1614	Q. Let me show you the Cabinet	10		$$\operatorname{MR}.$$ LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document.
10 11	1614	$\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$ Let me show you the Cabinet material that is in process.	10 11		MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, what are you
10 11 12 13 14	1614	Q. Let me show you the Cabinet material that is in process. A. Let me just finish answering	10 11 12		MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, what are you marking? Let me see exactly what you're marking,
10 11 12 13 14	1614	Q. Let me show you the Cabinet material that is in process. A. Let me just finish answering your because you asked if I was aware, I think,	10 11 12 13		MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, what are you marking? Let me see exactly what you're marking, and I'll tell you if it's a lettered exhibit or a
10 11 12 13 14	1614	Q. Let me show you the Cabinet material that is in process. A. Let me just finish answering your because you asked if I was aware, I think, that the Cabinet minute changed substantively	10 11 12 13 14		MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, what are you marking? Let me see exactly what you're marking, and I'll tell you if it's a lettered exhibit or a numbered exhibit. Mark it as a lettered exhibit
10 11 12 13 14 15	1614	Q. Let me show you the Cabinet material that is in process. A. Let me just finish answering your because you asked if I was aware, I think, that the Cabinet minute changed substantively between January 31st and February the 8th.	10 11 12 13 14 15		MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, what are you marking? Let me see exactly what you're marking, and I'll tell you if it's a lettered exhibit or a numbered exhibit. Mark it as a lettered exhibit because he said he didn't see the he's only seen
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1614	Q. Let me show you the Cabinet material that is in process. A. Let me just finish answering your because you asked if I was aware, I think, that the Cabinet minute changed substantively between January 31st and February the 8th. I'm not sure that this doesn't	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1623	MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, what are you marking? Let me see exactly what you're marking, and I'll tell you if it's a lettered exhibit or a numbered exhibit. Mark it as a lettered exhibit because he said he didn't see the he's only seen final docs, as I think his evidence was.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1614	Q. Let me show you the Cabinet material that is in process. A. Let me just finish answering your because you asked if I was aware, I think, that the Cabinet minute changed substantively between January 31st and February the 8th. I'm not sure that this doesn't this says the language on racing. It doesn't say	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1623	MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, what are you marking? Let me see exactly what you're marking, and I'll tell you if it's a lettered exhibit or a numbered exhibit. Mark it as a lettered exhibit because he said he didn't see the he's only seen final docs, as I think his evidence was. BY MR. LISUS:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Q. Let me show you the Cabinet material that is in process. A. Let me just finish answering your because you asked if I was aware, I think, that the Cabinet minute changed substantively between January 31st and February the 8th. I'm not sure that this doesn't this says the language on racing. It doesn't say anything about the Cabinet document.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1623	MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, what are you marking? Let me see exactly what you're marking, and I'll tell you if it's a lettered exhibit or a numbered exhibit. Mark it as a lettered exhibit because he said he didn't see the he's only seen final docs, as I think his evidence was. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Do you agree with that? You only
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Q. Let me show you the Cabinet material that is in process. A. Let me just finish answering your because you asked if I was aware, I think, that the Cabinet minute changed substantively between January 31st and February the 8th. I'm not sure that this doesn't this says the language on racing. It doesn't say anything about the Cabinet document. Q. Okay. Well, I'm showing you a	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1623	MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, what are you marking? Let me see exactly what you're marking, and I'll tell you if it's a lettered exhibit or a numbered exhibit. Mark it as a lettered exhibit because he said he didn't see the he's only seen final docs, as I think his evidence was. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Do you agree with that? You only saw final docs?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Q. Let me show you the Cabinet material that is in process. A. Let me just finish answering your because you asked if I was aware, I think, that the Cabinet minute changed substantively between January 31st and February the 8th. I'm not sure that this doesn't this says the language on racing. It doesn't say anything about the Cabinet document. Q. Okay. Well, I'm showing you a Cabinet submission from January, and we'll track	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1623	MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, what are you marking? Let me see exactly what you're marking, and I'll tell you if it's a lettered exhibit or a numbered exhibit. Mark it as a lettered exhibit because he said he didn't see the he's only seen final docs, as I think his evidence was. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Do you agree with that? You only saw final docs? A. I don't remember, to be honest. I
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Q. Let me show you the Cabinet material that is in process. A. Let me just finish answering your because you asked if I was aware, I think, that the Cabinet minute changed substantively between January 31st and February the 8th. I'm not sure that this doesn't this says the language on racing. It doesn't say anything about the Cabinet document. Q. Okay. Well, I'm showing you a Cabinet submission from January, and we'll track the various drafts if necessary, sir, but I'm	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1623	MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, what are you marking? Let me see exactly what you're marking, and I'll tell you if it's a lettered exhibit or a numbered exhibit. Mark it as a lettered exhibit because he said he didn't see the he's only seen final docs, as I think his evidence was. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Do you agree with that? You only saw final docs? A. I don't remember, to be honest. I can't answer that honestly. I don't remember.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Q. Let me show you the Cabinet material that is in process. A. Let me just finish answering your because you asked if I was aware, I think, that the Cabinet minute changed substantively between January 31st and February the 8th. I'm not sure that this doesn't this says the language on racing. It doesn't say anything about the Cabinet document. Q. Okay. Well, I'm showing you a Cabinet submission from January, and we'll track the various drafts if necessary, sir, but I'm showing you a Cabinet submission from January,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1623	MR. LISUS: We're going to mark as the next exhibit that email and the Cabinet document. MS. LA HOREY: Sorry, what are you marking? Let me see exactly what you're marking, and I'll tell you if it's a lettered exhibit or a numbered exhibit. Mark it as a lettered exhibit because he said he didn't see the he's only seen final docs, as I think his evidence was. BY MR. LISUS: Q. Do you agree with that? You only saw final docs? A. I don't remember, to be honest. I can't answer that honestly. I don't remember. MS. LA HOREY: Well, if he doesn't

1		Page 465 Exhibit 4 to Mr. Cogan's examination will be the	1		Page 467 transcript."
2		next lettered exhibit.	2		And it says "yeah."
3		And what is Exhibit 5 to Mr. Shortill's	3		
		examination will be the next lettered exhibit	4	1621	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4 5			5	1631	Q. If you turn over, that is what I
		subsequent to that. MR. ROSENBERG: What is that?	1		understand to be a scrum transcript from May 15,
6			6		2012, outside the legislature. Is that
7		MR. MATTHEWS: Exhibit 5 to	7		A. It appears to be. Not having read
8		Mr. Shortill's examination? It's an email	8	1.620	it, but, yes, it appears to be that.
9		MR. ROSENBERG: No, what letter exhibit	9	1632	Q. Who prepares this transcript?
10		did we just mark it?	10		A. I believe there's an outside
11		MR. MATTHEWS: It's going to be D and E	11		service that does. I stand to be corrected on
12		respectively.	12	1.622	that.
13		EXHIBIT NO. D for Identification:	13	1633	Q. If I can just understand how it
14		CRE0080521 and CRE0080522.	14		works I presume you've seen this kind of
15		EXHIBIT NO. E for Identification:	15		transcript before?
16		CRE0080704.	16		A. Once in a while. I didn't always
17		BY MR. LISUS:	17		look at them, no, but I have seen them.
18	1624	Q. What is a minister's pre-caucus	18	1634	Q. It identifies who was present in
19		scrum?	19		the scrum. I presume you, Minister Matthews,
20		A. So caucus meets once a week.	20		Minister Broten?
21		Cabinet meets once a week. When you are walking	21		A. No, I think it would have we
22		into a caucus meeting, there's typically a pile of	22		wouldn't have all been in the same this would
23		reporters out there waiting to ask you with bated	23		probably identify the people that were scrummed.
24		breath pointed questions that will bring down the	24		Wouldn't we weren't all necessarily there at the
25		government. And unlike this, the rules are	25		same time.
		Page 466			Page 468
1		nonexistent.	1		Like, ministers would come in, you
2	1625	Q. Right.	2		know, and there would always be an opposition
3		MS. LA HOREY: How does this arise out	3		critic from each of the parties lurking around the
4		of Mr. Rosenberg's examination?	4		scrum in order to respond to whatever I used to
5		BY MR. LISUS:	5		lurk myself in opposition.
6	1626	Q. You mentioned to Mr. Rosenberg how	6		Typically what would happen, the media
7		concerned you were about the consequences of this	7		would be out there. I might come in first. They'd
8		decision?	8		scrum me first. They didn't and it would it
9		A. Yes.	9		just was random who came in what order.
10	1627	Q. Pardon?	10	1635	Q. Got it. And then a transcript is
11		A. Yes.	11		captured?
	1628	Q. I presume your concern extended as	12		A. Yes.
12		well to the consequences of the decision on	13	1636	Q. So here, for instance, it appears
12 13					there's a question that says:
13 14		standardbred breeders?	14		
13 14 15		A. Yes. Broadly speaking.	15		"They say thousands of horses
13 14 15 16	1629	A. Yes. Broadly speaking. Q. I want to show you CRE64558. I'm	15 16		"They say thousands of horses will be slaughtered because of the
13 14 15 16 17	1629	A. Yes. Broadly speaking. Q. I want to show you CRE64558. I'm just looking at an email sequence called	15 16 17		"They say thousands of horses will be slaughtered because of the cuts to funding for horse racing.
13 14 15 16 17 18	1629	A. Yes. Broadly speaking. Q. I want to show you CRE64558. I'm just looking at an email sequence called "pre-caucus scrums." And up near the top of the	15 16 17 18		"They say thousands of horses will be slaughtered because of the cuts to funding for horse racing. What's your reaction to that?"
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1629	A. Yes. Broadly speaking. Q. I want to show you CRE64558. I'm just looking at an email sequence called "pre-caucus scrums." And up near the top of the first page, it says:	15 16 17 18 19		"They say thousands of horses will be slaughtered because of the cuts to funding for horse racing. What's your reaction to that?" And you appear to say:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1629	A. Yes. Broadly speaking. Q. I want to show you CRE64558. I'm just looking at an email sequence called "pre-caucus scrums." And up near the top of the first page, it says: "Asked for the scrum	15 16 17 18 19 20		"They say thousands of horses will be slaughtered because of the cuts to funding for horse racing. What's your reaction to that?" And you appear to say: "I hope the horse industry
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1629	A. Yes. Broadly speaking. Q. I want to show you CRE64558. I'm just looking at an email sequence called "pre-caucus scrums." And up near the top of the first page, it says: "Asked for the scrum transcript."	15 16 17 18 19 20 21		"They say thousands of horses will be slaughtered because of the cuts to funding for horse racing. What's your reaction to that?" And you appear to say: "I hope the horse industry wouldn't do that, and as I say,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1629	A. Yes. Broadly speaking. Q. I want to show you CRE64558. I'm just looking at an email sequence called "pre-caucus scrums." And up near the top of the first page, it says: "Asked for the scrum transcript." A. I'm sorry. I apologize.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		"They say thousands of horses will be slaughtered because of the cuts to funding for horse racing. What's your reaction to that?" And you appear to say: "I hope the horse industry wouldn't do that, and as I say, we're going to have an announcement
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1629	A. Yes. Broadly speaking. Q. I want to show you CRE64558. I'm just looking at an email sequence called "pre-caucus scrums." And up near the top of the first page, it says: "Asked for the scrum transcript." A. I'm sorry. I apologize. Q. The email. Yes, that's right, in	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		"They say thousands of horses will be slaughtered because of the cuts to funding for horse racing. What's your reaction to that?" And you appear to say: "I hope the horse industry wouldn't do that, and as I say, we're going to have an announcement (inaudible). Don't forget, we're
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		A. Yes. Broadly speaking. Q. I want to show you CRE64558. I'm just looking at an email sequence called "pre-caucus scrums." And up near the top of the first page, it says: "Asked for the scrum transcript." A. I'm sorry. I apologize.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		"They say thousands of horses will be slaughtered because of the cuts to funding for horse racing. What's your reaction to that?" And you appear to say: "I hope the horse industry wouldn't do that, and as I say, we're going to have an announcement

Ι.		Page 469			Page 471
1		the end of next March. I certainly	1	1644	Q. Okay.
2		would hope that would be the case."	2		A. I was gone by then.
3		A. Yes.	3	1645	Q. When do you understand mitigation
4	1637	Q. All right. And then next	4		came?
5		question:	5		A. I don't recall.
6		"Would there be a better way to	6	1646	Q. When did the \$50 million that you
7		dispose of those animals? Could	7		were talking about when did that actually flow?
8		they sell them to the United	8		Do you know?
9		States?"	9		MS. LA HOREY: This is not matters that
10		Your answer is:	10		were first arising out of Mr
11		"First of all, I think they	11		MR. LISUS: Mr. Duncan was
12		should probably stop breeding them	12		cross-examined extensively by Mr. Rosenberg about
13		if that's the problem."	13		the thoughtful mitigation package that Mr. Duncan
14		Did you say that?	14		had in his mind, so I would like to know when money
15		A. If it's in here, I must have, yes.	15		actually flowed under that mitigation package.
16	1638	Q. If I understand this, that's then	16		THE WITNESS: By the time I had left
17		picked up on the news and broadcast?	17		office, the final decisions hadn't been made.
18		A. I don't know who it doesn't	18		BY MR. LISUS:
19		it just says one news outlet, 610 CKTB. I have no	19	1647	Q. And no funds had been flowed?
20		idea who that is.	20		A. But funding had continued through
21	1639	Q. Does it matter to you?	21		that whole period. The total funding and as ${\tt I}$
22		A. Yeah, because you use the term	22		said earlier, the documents you produced earlier,
23		"broadcast" like it's and reading this, it's	23		could be used for capital. Could be used for all
24		typical. It looks like radio. I think that's	24		the purposes that were normally undertaken.
25		Thunder Bay. And it doesn't even quote me.	25		So the money could 345 million was
		Page 470			Page 472
1	1640	Q. It says:	1		flowing that day and continued to flow until after
2		"Ontario Finance Minister, stop	2		I left office.
3		breeding horses."	3	1648	Q. And the breeding sector did not
4		A. Yeah, but it doesn't quote me. I	4		know until at some point in two-thousand and
5		didn't say "stop breeding." I said I hope let	5		A. That wasn't your question.
6					
-		me let me just go back to what I said. "I think	6	1649	Q. Excuse me.
7		they should probably." But I didn't know.	7	1649	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another
7	1641	they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what?	1	1649	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer.
7 8 9	1641	they should probably." But I didn't know.	7	1649	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another
7	1641	they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what?	7 8	1649	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer.
7 8 9 10 11	1641	they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I	7 8 9	1649	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my
7 8 9 10	1641	they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them	7 8 9 10	1649	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow
7 8 9 10 11	1641	they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them to. So there's no quotes here, so this is not me.	7 8 9 10 11	1649	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow throughout until the day I left office, which was
7 8 9 10 11 12	1641	they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them to. So there's no quotes here, so this is not me. This is a reporter's misinterpretation, not unlike	7 8 9 10 11 12	1649	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow throughout until the day I left office, which was February 13th.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them to. So there's no quotes here, so this is not me. This is a reporter's misinterpretation, not unlike some of the ones you've done. Q. What is it that you didn't know, sir?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13		MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow throughout until the day I left office, which was February 13th. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And it stopped when? A. I'm not sure. I left office by
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them to. So there's no quotes here, so this is not me. This is a reporter's misinterpretation, not unlike some of the ones you've done. Q. What is it that you didn't know, sir? A. I wasn't aware of we had been	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow throughout until the day I left office, which was February 13th. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And it stopped when? A. I'm not sure. I left office by then. And by the way, there was nothing preventing
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them to. So there's no quotes here, so this is not me. This is a reporter's misinterpretation, not unlike some of the ones you've done. Q. What is it that you didn't know, sir?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow throughout until the day I left office, which was February 13th. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And it stopped when? A. I'm not sure. I left office by
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them to. So there's no quotes here, so this is not me. This is a reporter's misinterpretation, not unlike some of the ones you've done. Q. What is it that you didn't know, sir? A. I wasn't aware of we had been	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow throughout until the day I left office, which was February 13th. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And it stopped when? A. I'm not sure. I left office by then. And by the way, there was nothing preventing
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them to. So there's no quotes here, so this is not me. This is a reporter's misinterpretation, not unlike some of the ones you've done. Q. What is it that you didn't know, sir? A. I wasn't aware of we had been threatened that horses would be slaughtered. We	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow throughout until the day I left office, which was February 13th. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And it stopped when? A. I'm not sure. I left office by then. And by the way, there was nothing preventing the government of the day to continue that if they
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them to. So there's no quotes here, so this is not me. This is a reporter's misinterpretation, not unlike some of the ones you've done. Q. What is it that you didn't know, sir? A. I wasn't aware of we had been threatened that horses would be slaughtered. We were concerned about that. And we certainly didn't	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1650	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow throughout until the day I left office, which was February 13th. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And it stopped when? A. I'm not sure. I left office by then. And by the way, there was nothing preventing the government of the day to continue that if they decided that things hadn't been completed.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them to. So there's no quotes here, so this is not me. This is a reporter's misinterpretation, not unlike some of the ones you've done. Q. What is it that you didn't know, sir? A. I wasn't aware of we had been threatened that horses would be slaughtered. We were concerned about that. And we certainly didn't want horses to be slaughtered.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1650	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow throughout until the day I left office, which was February 13th. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And it stopped when? A. I'm not sure. I left office by then. And by the way, there was nothing preventing the government of the day to continue that if they decided that things hadn't been completed. Q. Right. You were asked by
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them to. So there's no quotes here, so this is not me. This is a reporter's misinterpretation, not unlike some of the ones you've done. Q. What is it that you didn't know, sir? A. I wasn't aware of we had been threatened that horses would be slaughtered. We were concerned about that. And we certainly didn't want horses to be slaughtered. And, again, this is May. Reemphasizing	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1650	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow throughout until the day I left office, which was February 13th. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And it stopped when? A. I'm not sure. I left office by then. And by the way, there was nothing preventing the government of the day to continue that if they decided that things hadn't been completed. Q. Right. You were asked by Mr. Rosenberg about the discussions that you had
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them to. So there's no quotes here, so this is not me. This is a reporter's misinterpretation, not unlike some of the ones you've done. Q. What is it that you didn't know, sir? A. I wasn't aware of we had been threatened that horses would be slaughtered. We were concerned about that. And we certainly didn't want horses to be slaughtered. And, again, this is May. Reemphasizing that the funding was in place for 345 million for	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1650	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow throughout until the day I left office, which was February 13th. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And it stopped when? A. I'm not sure. I left office by then. And by the way, there was nothing preventing the government of the day to continue that if they decided that things hadn't been completed. Q. Right. You were asked by Mr. Rosenberg about the discussions that you had with Minister McMeekin. Do you remember that?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1642	they should probably." But I didn't know. Q. Probably what? A. Probably stop breeding. I said "I think." That doesn't say this, that I told them to. So there's no quotes here, so this is not me. This is a reporter's misinterpretation, not unlike some of the ones you've done. Q. What is it that you didn't know, sir? A. I wasn't aware of we had been threatened that horses would be slaughtered. We were concerned about that. And we certainly didn't want horses to be slaughtered. And, again, this is May. Reemphasizing that the funding was in place for 345 million for that year and that further mitigation was coming.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1650 1651	MS. LA HOREY: He's gone on to another question. He got your answer. THE WITNESS: No, he didn't get my whole answer because the money continued to flow throughout until the day I left office, which was February 13th. BY MR. LISUS: Q. And it stopped when? A. I'm not sure. I left office by then. And by the way, there was nothing preventing the government of the day to continue that if they decided that things hadn't been completed. Q. Right. You were asked by Mr. Rosenberg about the discussions that you had with Minister McMeekin. Do you remember that? A. Yes.

1		Page 473 A. Mr. Meekin [sic], along with many	1	Page 4 references in transcripts. It was actively under
2		of my colleagues on a variety of issues, raised	2	consideration.
3		concerns. On this in this case, Ted was very	3	1661 Q. That's it?
4		concerned about this program.	4	A. I don't know if there's other.
5	1653	Q. Are you aware, sir, that	5	MR. LISUS: Thank you, sir. Those ar
6		Mr. McMeekin sent an email composed an email to	6	my questions.
7		his chief of staff and others in October 2012 in	7	MS. LA HOREY: Thank you.
8		which he says:	8	Whereupon the cross-examination concluded at
9		"To be clear, the decision to	9	3:37 a.m
0		end the SAR program was made by the	10	
1		Ministry of Finance, not the	11	
2		Ministry of Agriculture, Food and	12	
3		Rural Affairs."	13	
4		MS. LA HOREY: If you're going to quote	14	
5		part of an email, put the email in front of the	15	
6		witness. It's only fair.	16	
7		BY MR. LISUS:	17	
8	1654	Q. Are you aware that he wrote that	18	
9		email to his chief of staff?	19	
0		MS. LA HOREY: Let him read it first,	20	
1		Mr. Lisus.	21	
2		THE WITNESS: All I'm aware of is that	22	
3		he voted for the budget.	23	
4		MS. LA HOREY: All right.	24	
5		BY MR. LISUS:	25	
1	1655	Page 474 Q. Thank you. And if he didn't want	1	Page · REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	1033	it, he could have resigned, as you pointed out?	2	REPORTER D CERTIFICATE
3		A. Yes.	3	I, CARISSA STABBLER, Court
4	1656	Q. You told Mr. Rosenberg about this	4	Reporter, certify;
5	1030	mitigation package that you had in mind when you	5	Reporter, certify,
6		A. I don't think I used that I had in	6	That the foregoing proceedings were
7		mind.	7	taken before me at the time and place therein set
8	1657	Q. Okay. That you were thinking	8	forth, at which time the witness was put under oath
9	1037	about, that there should be a mitigation package?	9	by me;
0		A. I think what I've said is that	10	That the testimony of the witness
1		there was no doubt that there should be a	11	and all objections made at the time of the
2			12	
3		mitigation package. What that looked like, I didn't know. I don't I don't no, I don't	13	examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed;
		think I used the term "I had in mind."	14	and were thereafter transcribed,
4	1650			That the foregoing is a true and
5	1658	Q. Well, that's helpful to me. Thank you, sir, that you didn't know what it looked like.	15	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
6			16	correct transcript or my shorthand notes so taken.
7	1650	A. I'm trying to be helpful.	17	Dated this 20th day of Marsh 2010
8	1659	Q. Appreciate it.	18	Dated this 28th day of March 2018.
		A. We wanted more input from other	19	n(/ / . /
9		people.	20	/XInhld/X
9	1660	Q. Is there any document, Mr. Duncan,	21	VSIONULL.
9 0 1	1000			The state of the s
9 0 1 2	1000	that I can look at in March of 2012 and see what	22	
9 0 1 2 3	1000	this mitigation package looked like?	23	NEESON COURT REPORTING INC.
	1000			NEESON COURT REPORTING INC. PER: CARISSA STABBLER, RPR, CSR COURT REPORTER

	1040 269:12	1076 278:18	1109 289:13	1145 299:6
\$	1041 269:20	1077 279:1	1110 289:24	1146 299:8
5100 316:8 321:4	1042 270:1	1078 279:7	1111 290:13	1147 299:12
322:1	1043 270:11	1079 279:13	1112 290:18	1148 299:15
345 335:23	1044 270:23	1080 279:16	1113 291:1 382:16	1149 299:19
50 285:2 387:20	1045 271:4	1081 279:18	1114 291:7	115 335:21
410:20 471:6	1046 271:10	1082 279:24	1115 291:11	1150 300:8
600 396:21	1047 271:15	1083 281:7	1116 291:15	1151 300:19
8 408:24	1048 271:20	1084 281:13	1117 291:20	1152 301:13
0	1049 271:23	1085 281:25	1118 292:6	1153 301:17
	1050 272:2	1086 282:4	1119 292:22	1154 301:23
)5 358:24	1051 272:7	1087 282:6	1120 293:1	1155 302:2
)6 358:24	1052 272:9	1088 282:16	1121 293:3	1156 302:6
)8 358:24	1053 272:13	1089 282:18	1122 293:8	1157 302:8
)8-09 416:9	1054 272:16	1090 282:20	1123 293:11	1158 302:14
4	1055 272:23	1091 282:22	1124 293:17	1159 302:16 384:3
1	1056 273:6	1092 283:21	1125 294:2	1160 302:22 384:2
I 308:19 320:14	1057 273:13	1093 284:1	1126 294:7	1161 303:5
409:18	1058 273:25	1094 284:11	1127 294:10	1162 303:9
10 419:12	1059 274:6	1095 284:18	1128 294:16	1163 303:12
100 287:4 307:12 308:16 313:6 321:22	1060 274:18	1096 284:25	1129 295:5	1164 304:14
1025 265:6	1061 274:22	1097 285:9	1130 295:8	1165 304:19
1026 265:11	1062 274:25	1098 285:14	1131 295:24	1166 304:25
1027 265:15	1063 275:6	1099 285:19	1132 296:2	1167 305:10
1 027 265:15	1064 275:10	10:08 304:12	1133 296:5	1168 305:15
1029 266:4	1065 275:16	11 277:7 326:9	1134 297:7	1169 306:5
1030 266:8	1066 275:18	336:5 429:15,24 430:11,20 463:12,23	1135 297:16	1170 306:17
1031 266:14	1067 276:1	1100 285:25	1136 297:20	1171 306:23
1032 266:17	1068 276:5	1101 286:8	1137 297:24	1172 306:25
1033 266:24	1069 276:13	1102 286:14	1138 298:1	1173 307:11
1 034 267:3	1070 276:15	1103 286:19	1139 298:5	1174 307:22
1035 267:12	1071 276:22	1104 287:3	1140 298:8	1175 307:24
1 036 268:1	1072 277:2	1105 288:6	1141 298:12	1176 308:9
1 037 268:8	1073 277:18	1106 288:13	1142 298:17	1177 308:13
1038 268:13	1074 278:5	1107 289:5	1143 298:22	1178 309:13
200.10	1075 278:11	1108 289:9	1144 299:2	1179 310:5

Index: \$100..1179

	- 4000		1.4400	1110ex. 133410
1354 355:6	1390 363:23	1424 374:5	1460 384:20	1496 406:21
1355 355:15	1391 364:4	1425 374:20	1461 384:24	1497 407:2
1356 355:25	1392 364:12	1426 375:1	1462 385:4	1498 407:7
1357 356:6	1393 364:14	1427 375:5	1463 385:7	1499 407:13
1358 356:10	1394 364:19	1428 375:8	1464 385:10	15 376:2 467:5
1359 356:17	1395 365:1	1429 375:12	1465 385:14	1500 409:3
1360 356:21	1396 365:14	1430 375:20	1466 385:24	1501 409:7
1361 357:2	1397 365:24	1431 375:24	1467 386:4	1502 410:19
1362 357:8	1398 366:6	1432 376:2	1468 386:11	1503 411:4
1363 357:12	1399 366:12	1433 376:7	1469 386:17	1504 412:1
1364 357:15	13th 331:17 472:12	1434 376:14	1470 386:22	1505 412:17
1365 357:19	14 318:24	1435 376:20	1471 387:8	1506 412:20
1366 358:7	1400 366:16	1436 376:22	1472 387:18	1507 413:2
1367 358:14	1401 366:22	1437 377:1	1473 387:23	1508 413:16
1368 358:20	1402 367:4	1438 377:9	1474 388:5	1509 414:10
1369 359:1	1403 367:12	1439 377:20	1475 388:8	1510 414:13
1370 359:5	1404 367:22	1440 377:25	1476 388:13	1511 415:2
1371 359:9	1405 368:7	1441 378:10	1477 388:16	1512 415:7
1372 359:12	1406 368:15	1442 378:16	1478 389:1	1513 415:23
1373 359:17	1407 368:21	1443 379:3	1479 389:4	1514 418:1
1374 359:22	1408 369:8	1444 379:12	1480 389:8	1515 418:20
1375 360:4	1409 369:13	1445 379:19	1481 390:21	1516 418:24
1376 360:22	1410 369:15	1446 380:17	1482 391:19	1517 420:19
1377 360:24	1411 370:1	1447 380:20	1483 391:22	1518 420:25
1378 361:3	1412 370:9	1448 380:23	1484 392:4	1519 421:3
1379 361:10	1413 370:14	1449 381:4	1485 392:13	1520 421:9
1380 361:17	1414 370:19	1450 381:7	1486 392:17	1521 421:13
1381 361:23	1415 370:21	1451 382:19	1487 393:2	1522 421:16
1382 362:3	1416 371:15	1452 382:22	1488 393:13	1523 422:13
1383 362:8	1417 372:4	1453 383:7	1489 396:17	1524 422:18
1384 362:12	1418 372:11	1454 383:22	1490 399:7	1525 423:20
1385 362:16	1419 372:19	1455 383:24	1491 400:2	1526 424:2
1386 362:18	1420 372:24	1456 384:3	1492 402:10	1527 424:4
1387 363:12	1421 373:4	1457 384:6	1493 404:4	1528 424:12
1388 363:14	1422 373:12	1458 384:9	1494 404:8	1529 424:17
1389 363:17	1423 373:14	1459 384:17	1495 406:17	1530 424:22

Index: 1354..1530

1531 424:25	1567 438:14	1602 457:12	1638 469:16	2008 395:16
1532 425:3	1568 438:24	1603 457:14	1639 469:21	2008-2009 418:12
1533 425:7	1569 440:23	1604 458:2	1640 470:1	2009 335:7 377:12
1534 425:20	1570 441:5	1605 458:24	1641 470:8	2009-2010 420:10
1535 425:23	1571 441:20	1606 459:9	1642 470:14	2011 265:8,25
1536 426:9	1572 441:24	1607 459:17	1643 470:23	270:13,23 274:7 281:8
1537 426:19	1573 442:24	1608 460:13	1644 471:1	2012 284:24 285:1,
1538 428:1	1574 443:14	1609 460:23	1645 471:3	3,10,13 286:4
1539 429:22	1575 444:12	1610 461:3	1646 471:6	292:23 304:14 305:5 309:24 316:19
1540 430:10	1576 444:21	1611 461:5	1647 471:19	317:19 334:18
1541 430:24	1577 445:14	1612 461:8	1648 472:3	335:18 338:8 341:23 342:24 343:2 355:2
1542 431:3	1578 446:25	1613 462:2	1649 472:6	358:22 365:22 369:9
1543 431:9	1579 447:20	1614 462:10	1650 472:14	374:10,15 375:2,24 376:3,6,10,15
1544 432:14	1580 447:22	1615 462:19	1651 472:19	380:18 381:4 383:5
1545 432:20	1581 448:3	1616 463:2	1652 472:23	384:15 385:6,8 387:3,6,16 388:6
1546 433:2	1582 448:16	1617 463:4	1660 386:4	391:10 392:15,25
1547 433:6	1583 448:24	1618 463:11	1661 385:24	398:13 404:6 406:17 407:11 414:25
1548 433:8	1584 449:9	1619 463:14	17 268:10 375:24	420:20 432:4 444:13
1549 434:13	1585 450:13	1620 463:18	427:8 432:16,24 449:14 450:8	447:14 449:1 451:17 453:24 463:12,15
1550 434:16	1586 450:16	1621 463:21	17th 344:15	467:6
1551 434:19	1587 451:15	1622 464:2	18 271:21,23 385:8,	2012-2013 286:15
1552 434:24	1588 453:22	1623 464:18	24 387:3	2013 324:13,20 373:7 456:7
1553 435:3	1589 454:1	1624 465:18	1886 387:10	2014 286:19 289:3
1554 435:5	1590 454:15	1625 466:2	19 265:7	456:9,25 470:24
1555 435:23	1591 454:19	1626 466:6	1981 400:11	2015-16 287:4
1556 436:19	1592 454:23	1627 466:10	1990s 335:13	2016-17 418:14
1557 436:22	1593 454:25	1628 466:12	19th 294:17	20th 291:6 382:13
1558 436:25	1594 455:3	1629 466:16	1:10 389:23	383:10,16 394:4
1559 437:3	1595 455:6	1630 466:23	1A 267:7 269:8	21 265:17,21 268:17 276:5 382:22
1560 437:8	1596 455:20	1631 467:4		383:12,22 384:15
1561 437:12	1597 456:1	1632 467:9	2	21st 383:9,14
1562 437:15	1598 456:6	1633 467:13	2 267:13 317:2	22 365:18,19
1563 437:18	1599 456:9	1634 467:18	339:14 376:14 421:7	23 297:11 304:3
1564 437:24	16 343:2	1635 468:10	20 273:12 294:12	382:25 383:1,3,13, 16
1565 438:7	1600 456:24	1636 468:13	356:12 381:4 383:5, 11 407:8 423:2,3	24 294:3 295:7
1566 438:10	1601 457:9	1637 469:4	2000 363:18	298:23 299:16,21,24 301:22 302:14 303:7 374:10,15 384:12,13

24-hour 420:25 242 371:16 372:19	301:10 304:1,3 317:25 318:11	8	accountability 267:9	administering 340:1
25 287:17 386:24	320:12 331:11,12 343:7 392:17 393:23		accuracy 360:5	administers 340:5
387:1	419:15 421:7 462:24	8 278:6 322:19 453:24 457:16	362:21,24	ADMO 281:19,25
26 294:13 385:5	465:1	459:10 460:25 463:5	accurate 329:20 360:19 361:4	adopt 404:12
387:6,12,14,16 444:12,13	4- 372:21	845 432:15,18	362:17,19,22 363:2,	adopted 386:7
27 295:24 296:5	42 336:10	846 432:20	9 440:22,23	ads 359:14,18
305:5 391:10	44 424:1	8th 449:1 462:15	accurately 435:1	362:5,9,11 366:22 372:25 373:2,3
27th 309:23	46 336:10		achieved 414:23	advance 317:25
28 270:12 274:2 276:2,18 277:20	47 424:1	9	acronym 266:12 282:1 455:21	325:18 328:16 335:4,8
281:7 285:25 292:23	5	9:05 265:1	action 269:4 426:4	advantage 379:9,
294:11		9:57 304:11	448:18	13
28th 270:23 283:22 309:25	5 268:21 317:25 331:11 391:20	A	actions 268:9,13, 21	advertisement
2:17 436:14	449:16 461:20 465:3,7		actively 378:21	advice 285:24
2:24 436:15	5-year-olds	A-G 455:22	activity 327:22	298:3 301:9 354:5
2:59 457:24	372:21	a.m. 265:1 304:11, 12 348:22,23	actual 300:6 328:6	411:17 416:4 427:4 446:9 449:12,16
2nd 316:18,21	500 307:18 412:15	ability 329:22	330:5 419:25 445:2 458:16,21	460:11
317:18	519 309:10	349:7,21 369:23 392:5 455:8	acute 389:10	advised 355:18 445:18
	6	absence 393:5	ad 361:2 368:7,19, 20	advisors 356:13 357:6
3 265:16 313:11 317:2	6 419:8,14 439:23 449:16	absolutely 271:12 318:20 340:25 344:7	add 350:8 369:20 424:3	advocates 374:12,
30 273:12 307:20	60 361:20	370:20 373:20 375:7 400:7 415:1 425:24	added 372:19	Affairs 309:16
423:2,3	600 423:25	426:12 427:1 435:25	addition 374:21	369:13 391:10
31 360:18 367:9 461:8,15 462:5,25	610 469:19	443:1 447:4 455:9	additional 321:15	455:25
463:7,14,23 464:3	674 413:23	abstain 371:8 372:5 403:18,19	327:11 397:24	affected 328:4 354:23 355:8 401:1
31st 462:15	675 413:23	406:16	address 328:8	409:12 415:22
32 346:14	676 413:22	abstained 332:24	addressed 456:21	Affidavit 270:20
345 307:3 313:3		375:13 380:15 404:1	addressing 452:8	382:3
336:11 337:2 363:23 364:10 366:3 468:25	7	abstention 374:3	adequate 417:10	AFFIRMED 265:3
470:21 471:25		accept 292:14 362:12	adjustment 314:25	afford 425:1
365 389:6	7 311:13,15 318:1 322:25 330:11 432:4	acceptable 433:5	adjustments	afraid 417:22
3:13 457:25	439:23	acceptance 278:3	329:12	afternoon 390:21, 25
3rd 317:19 324:7	783 413:20	accepted 434:5	ADM 295:19	ag 342:7,8,9 397:10
	785 391:16	access 451:9	administer 339:16	409:16 422:24
4		account 424:11 460:9,14,18	administered 339:6,12 454:5	455:21 agencies 297:4

Index: 24-hour..agencies

402:7 423:11 460:12 aging 419:16 agree 290:25 294:2 308:2 313:23 330:4 345:2,3 352:15,17, 18 353:24 354:2 402:24 403:4 428:4 449:22 464:18 **agreed** 333:25 341:3 347:18 359:7 366:19 406:4,5 434:1 agreement 303:2, 5 353:2 394:21 397:12 399:16 agreements 268:11 288:5 437:10,12,16 agricultural 315:1 389:15 agriculture 309:15 336:14 366:14 369:11 391:10 396:20 397:13,14,21 420:2,6 441:9,21,23 442:19 445:24 454:7 455:25 ahead 353:15 aide 442:7 aimed 450:24 Ajax 438:22 **all-day** 372:22 all-party 378:23 allocation 439:16 allowed 380:16 404:15 433:20 435:9 450:18 458:6 allowing 429:16

alluded 418:3 amend 320:23 **amended** 405:23

amendments

328:5,6,7 406:10

America 287:18

American 287:21 amount 289:4 380:24 396:13,18 397:15 421:21 amounts 363:20, 21 364:3,16 ample 400:9 analysis 266:20 345:25 anchoring 433:19 **Andrea** 370:16 379:10 animals 469:7 announce 277:10 284:16,18,19 286:9 445:8 453:3

346:23 351:11

408:23 410:21

411:11 451:17

345:19 347:12

354:19 388:19

409:23 415:3

415:24

423:24

409:24 announced 285:2 announcement 351:7,13 352:8 426:10,14 443:23 444:16 453:4 468:22 announcements

335:8 409:23 417:21 announces 286:3 approach 278:8, announcing annual 287:5 316:8 322:2 366:7 419:12 9 419:17 answering 311:18 approaches 388:2 462:12 304:16

answers 293:11 373:22 439:5 454:25 anti-poverty 374:12,17

anticipate 329:9 333:12 337:19,25 344:5

anticipated 314:24 340:14

354:17 384:24 416:25 anticipating 332:11 367:22

antigovernment 361:22,23 362:10 anxious 388:24 anymore 332:7

447:9 apologize 301:19

386:2 391:3 392:2 466:22 appearing 317:25

appears 270:2 276:18 277:23 282:9 298:9 312:22 318:17

319:20 320:1 324:5 372:12 431:5,7 467:7.8 468:13

apply 391:4 **appoint** 414:15 appointed 415:9 appointment 268:25 415:5

appointments 460:7

apprised 283:4

19 279:2 282:7,8,9 283:10 286:2 289:9, 14 294:4 298:23 302:3,4,5,7,8 303:10 304:22 306:11 307:15 316:7 412:8.

appropriately 357:3

approval 332:12 404:19,20,21,25 405:7,23

approved 281:19 339:21 356:16,17

approve 396:8

357:7.25 359:7 410:25 411:2 460:24 461:11

approximately 307:3 313:3 335:20 346:7 358:25 381:5

April 286:4 324:13, 20 374:10,15 385:5, 8,24 387:3,6,16 444:13 447:14 456:9,24

area 309:10 321:20 417:14

areas 312:3 438:19. 20 451:7,8

arguably 440:18 argue 361:13 364:5 arguing 275:11 argument 453:16

arguments 308:4 **arise** 390:25 466:3

arising 390:12 471:10

arm's 337:14 **arrived** 287:12 301:1

article 370:11 374:7,11,15 428:13

asks 363:5 **aspect** 396:25 423:6

articles 380:12

aspects 306:25 312:20,24 369:18

asset 338:17

Asset-backed 395:17

assign 396:19

assist 279:20,24 282:10 285:21 387:21 391:17 414:16

assistance 414:23

Assistant 282:2 assisting 425:25 **assists** 391:12 **assume** 283:4 293:9 353:1 361:3,6 363:9 368:2 372:8 388:10

Index: aging..aware

assuming 402:15 attached 281:17 291:13,18,24 292:18 326:14

attachment 382:1.

attendance 450:1 attended 311:18 attention 378:17

391:19 398:19 420:7 432:14

attorney 410:5 423:10,13

attributing 293:18 **Auditor** 456:10,21,

Auditor's 456:16 **August** 375:2,21,

24 376:14

aunts 425:9 austerity 418:4 authority 436:20, 22,25

autobiography 377:11

average 419:12 avoid 379:6 avoiding 370:19

aware 265:19 266:1 304:20,24 342:25 343:8 344:10 345:23 346:2,4,8,11 347:22 348:15 351:22 354:9 369:8,18 373:4 374:1,20 376:14,20, 21,22 377:1,7,9,20, 24,25 388:16 401:2

460:23 461:8 462:13 470:16

В

back 272:4 310:22 325:19 377:12 392:17 394:13 400:9,12,14 405:19 412:1 413:16 418:13 420:10 421:23 438:1 454:9 470:6

back-and-forth 284:10

backed 406:8

background 327:13

backwards 319:6,

bad 334:8 438:8

Bain 425:16

balance 333:19 349:16 418:14

bandied 411:16 452:1

Bardeesy 318:24 320:12 321:5.12 322:5 324:5 370:10 435:12,14 458:24

Barry 278:7 282:5 283:2 462:7

base 340:4

based 296:7 327:22 340:11 346:23 350:9 352:6,8 362:15 411:16 419:18 440:12

basic 399:9

basically 302:11 399:1,8

basis 269:19 362:18 419:7 437:16

bated 465:23

Bay 438:21 469:25

bear 382:11 395:17

bearing 332:18

began 284:24,25 285:5,12 418:14

begin 284:21 297:5

beginning 286:19 394:3

Begins 384:3

begun 289:1

behalf 356:24 358:11

benefit 267:16 270:5 316:1 418:22 438:19

benefits 350:5 399:14

betting 450:1

bickering 450:18

big 335:9 336:13,15, 17 338:13 398:23 463:25

bigger 335:1 380:2, 9

biggest 338:2 398:14

bill 358:4,8 404:24 405:4,19 406:11

billion 315:19 335:22 336:10 337:5 339:14 408:24 424:1

billions 335:19 364:11

bills 365:8

birth 417:3

bit 349:17 360:7 391:2 406:2 425:7 437:22 438:23 457:23

black-out 402:17

Blair 265:17 271:17,18 305:12 323:14 462:7,9

block 333:2,13 345:12

board 285:6 296:10 352:7.25 354:6 396:7,8 402:8,14 423:8,9 439:19 440:11

body 269:1 411:1

bosses 288:19

bottom 283:10 294:23 295:3 331:12 339:19

Brad 281:20,21,22

break 304:9 348:21 354:16 389:21

breath 465:24

bred 341:12

breeders 289:24, 25 355:9 411:13 466:14

breeding 287:22 469:12 470:3,5,9 472:3

briefed 279:25 293:23 306:17 308:13 309:4 325:17 329:3

briefing 272:13,17 308:21 309:5 328:23 358:1 391:9 444:6

briefings 446:23

briefly 330:11

bright 429:20

bring 402:8 460:10 465:24

broad-based 419:22

broadcast 359:12 361:8,9,15,16,17,18 469:17,23

broadened 412:8

broader 416:19 439:4

broadly 450:5 466:15

broke 450:17

Broten 362:4 367:13 467:20

brought 380:6 397:7

Buchanan 342:6

345:5 378:24 411:8 **budget** 273:11,13, 15,20 277:11,12 280:24 281:1 284:16 286:7,13 287:1 288:25 289:21 292:12 297:1,2 318:5,19 324:24 325:4 331:18,22 332:11,14,23,25 333:23 334:1,2,8,12, 15,24,25 335:1,2,4, 6,7,9,15,18 336:14, 25 337:3,4 338:8 339:13 342:2,3,17 351:6,11,16 352:24 354:7 363:18

366:14,15 367:24 368:3,5 369:20,25 370:15 371:10,14, 21,23 372:2 373:22 374:4,11,16 375:7 380:16,21 381:6 384:17 387:20

393:18,19,21 394:7, 10 395:1,3,4,6,16 396:9 397:4,21 398:14,20,21 400:6, 8,11,13 404:6,8,14, 21 405:11.18 406:1.

5,6,9,11,17 407:5 408:11,16,18 409:5, 9 410:2,18,21 411:11 412:25

413:4,13 416:13 418:4,6,14 419:13 420:20 421:6 422:15 423:24 424:23

426:16 427:12,13 437:19 440:21 444:24,25

budgetary 401:20 404:12

budgeting 400:2 budgets 318:5

393:25 394:2 400:10 405:10 418:15

buildings 280:21

Index: back..Cabinet

built 418:14.18

bullet 275:19 276:6 311:23 314:12 414:13

bullets 314:11

bunch 287:25

buried 427:13

bus 422:5

buses 421:14

business 340:1 389:11

by-election 442:7

by-elections

379:9,14,16,17

C

Cabinet 288:21 296:3,4 304:22 310:11 311:17,18,19 312:11,15 318:1 319:23,24 320:14 321:25 322:13,19,21 323:5,8 325:15 326:14,19 327:25 328:2,10,16,17,19 329:4,5 330:10 346:11 347:4,16,17 348:7 362:4 370:3,7, 24 373:6 401:3,6,10, 16,17,25 402:2,5,8, 11,16,18,23,25 403:4,6,11,12,13,14, 19 423:16 428:6,10, 20 429:4,7,10,14,17, 20,25 430:12 431:4, 10 432:7,16,19,23 433:13,16,20 434:2, 4,5,9,22,24 435:18, 24 436:2 437:1,4,9, 18 441:15 443:5,10 447:23 448:21,25 449:1 452:4,13,19, 25 453:6,8 457:15, 16 458:3,4,18,22 459:2,8,10,16,18,19, 21,25 460:1,2,6,8, 13,15,20,24,25 461:6,9,10,11

462:10,14,18,20,22, 23 463:5,6 464:7,10 465:21

Cabinet always

Cabinet-ready 428:3,18,23

calculation 440:25

call 325:21 326:7 373:23 399:9 410:9 420:21 427:9

called 292:9,11 297:23 298:3 319:18 350:19 352:21 353:12 405:16 423:11 441:7 455:24 459:3 466:17

calls 296:13 325:24 333:24

Cameletti 305:16

camp 344:2

Canada 330:12 365:22

cancel 436:20,23, 25 438:8,11 449:9

cancellation 380:25 440:21

cancelled 368:21 373:1

cancelling 438:4

candidate's 442:7

capacity 442:19, 20,21 455:7

capital 471:23

capped 308:15 313:5

captured 468:11

cards 287:20

care 336:8 371:16 372:21 374:11,16 420:23 421:1 422:6

careful 347:15 401:14

carefully 398:6

Carissa 272:21

Carter 364:1

case 323:14 338:11,17,18,22,24 343:21 397:10 399:17 406:15 410:6,8,23 413:12 415:12 419:10 431:16 460:13 469:2

cases 369:18

cash 285:17

casino 397:23

casinos 321:20 439:25

catch 382:14

caucus 328:11 330:1 352:24 377:13 443:11 465:20,22

caught 268:17

CCED 283:3

central 297:4 396:14,19 397:8 402:7 423:11 460:11

Century 394:4

CEO 354:6

cetera 366:9

chair 352:7 354:6 422:1 423:8

Chairman 298:12

challenge 398:22

chance 322:13 329:4 372:1 378:5 384:7 390:9,15 396:7 398:5 410:4

change 267:7 282:12,14 314:19 399:13

changed 353:1 443:25 460:25 462:14 463:22 464:2

changing 324:12 339:1.2

characterization

s 402:22

characterize 331:6

charged 423:16

chart 327:13

Chatham 438:21

check 292:16 347:2 356:21 357:12,22 406:12

checked 273:18 331:1 356:4,12,20 357:3,21

checking 331:23

cheer 374:12,17

cherry-picking 386:9

chief 271:12 327:5 329:19 373:5

child 371:16 372:21 374:11,16 418:22

choice 344:9

choices 332:16

choosing 332:9

Christmas 283:15

chronology

277:19

chuckle 338:7

Churchill 411:19

circle 330:2

circled 331:13

circuitous 437:22

circulated 288:17

circulating 278:6 292:23 304:15 327:7

city 438:17 440:15

civil 426:3

CKTB 469:19

clarity 273:17

clause 405:18

clauses 268:10 274:3 275:23 277:13 371:23 388:23 406:1,9

cleaning 320:2,6

clear 275:1 277:4 280:2,9 281:4 292:2 295:22 296:18 301:2 302:9 303:25 308:10 339:3 410:17 411:5 447:12 448:19 451:22 453:14 456:6,14

clearer 294:24

client's 447:22

clippings 370:23

close 327:4 349:11 353:20 393:24 427:9 445:5 450:20

closed 439:12

closely 412:13

closer 412:15 448:11 464:4

closure 351:13

Club 330:12 365:21 384:23 385:1 444:23

code 309:10

Cogan's 465:1

cognizant 410:12 426:23

colleague 373:6

colleagues 295:25 296:3,4 327:25 362:4 400:17 422:7

column 344:11,13, 14 349:2 359:13 385:25

combination

423:9

commencing 265:1 284:11

comment 270:7 289:5 298:16,17

303:20 312:11 334:17 351:23 362:20.24

commentary 343:15

commentators 337:20 343:20

commented 376:16

commenting 358:7

comments 377:16 380:18 381:3 382:19 384:9,25 441:19 452:25

commercial 395:18

commercially 269:19 290:11,15

commission 411:12

commitment 289:2,18

committed 284:23 285:19 446:8

committee

311:12,15,17 322:25 325:15 328:2,11 329:4 334:2 371:22 404:22,25 405:4,11, 14,15,17 431:22 432:3

committees 311:19

common 455:21 463:24

Commons 394:6

communicate 447:1

communicated 354:10 376:23

354:10 376:23 434:17

communication 324:22 325:22

communication'

s 324:23

377:23

Index: communications..CRE32045

communications

373:24

communities

451:6

community

438:16 441:17

compare 301:21

compassionate

442:16

compensation

288:2,22 290:7 365:5 409:19 411:25

452:7

competitive

439:24

compilation

430:18

complete 324:12,

19 340:24 402:17 444:6 458:19

completed 472:18

completely 434:7

440:22

complex 290:8

component

340:21 439:3 441:11

components

395:6

concern 293:20

400:22,25 422:7

442:3 466:12

concerned 345:25

377:18 420:3 424:22

443:12 444:2 466:7

470:18

concerns 387:24

439:18

concluded 417:17

conclusion 352:3

concordance

414:4

concur 303:1 330:4

concurrence

352:8 356:13

conduct 396:2

confidence 413:1,

7,11,14

confidential 298:3

301:9 363:22

confidentiality

400:4 443:5

confirm 276:7 292:7 431:1 433:23

confirmed 373:18

confirms 446:4

connection 431:4

connectivity

378:25

cons 283:9 391:23

392:18

consensus

414:22

consent 350:11

consequence

314:19 315:5

consequences

379:7 416:21,22 422:16 466:7.13

Conservative

343:16 344:1 367:23

_

Conservative-

held 440:4

Conservatives

333:2,13 354:18 368:2 371:23 375:16

379:21 380:4 410:17

415:13

considerable 284:10 296:19

342:18 400:22 443:9

454:6

consideration

274:1 279:6 312:7

415:10

considerations

270:2 271:24 273:25

276:17 277:16 282:9

321:14

considered

272:11 315:6 321:16 322:12 394:12

consistent 299:24

350:6 377:17 392:13,22 395:2

414:24

consolidated 275:4,7 286:20

constant 450:3

constantly 374:1

constraint 421:8

consultation

288:4 328:14 416:19

443:21

consultations

288:25

contained 286:12

331:4 393:21

contemplated

268:13,21 269:21 270:3 400:6 415:8

contemplates

287:3

content 354:3

360:5,15 362:5

contentious

332:11 334:3 335:3 405:9 431:21

context 332:17

continue 267:15

269:19 274:10,24

286:15 316:1 364:2 396:12 445:13,14,16

472:17

continued 265:4

314:17 352:25 354:7

427:19 471:20

472:1,10

continues 393:2

450:11

continuing 284:7

352:6 398:6 445:21

contract 274:16

contracts 274:3

454:13

contrast 422:18

contributing

399:21

contributions

399:13

control 405:13

419:9

controversy

429:9

convention

394:12 413:8

conventions

443:5

conversation 280:20 344:22 377:5

conversations

377:8 379:22.24

411:7 442:4

convinced 363:10

Convinced 363.

cooked 409:14 cooperation

370:16

copy 265:12 331:8

364:21 381:10,17, 18.21 382:5 398:16

429:25

corner 391:14

corporation

390:23 395:25 420:7 432:2

correct 272:7

273:9 307:16 312:8

321:5 325:6 326:20

327:16 333:11

354:20 357:4 359:15

366:5 370:20 380:21 382:2 383:15 384:19

399:12 412:25 425:2

437:11 449:2 454:22

456:8

corrected 412:16

430:14 467:11

correctly 319:21

cost 332:15

costs 287:6

Council 460:7

counsel 370:9

381:16,21 390:22

391:1 397:20 400:23 408:15 417:2 439:4,

21 441:1,13 444:5

449:18 451:11

454:16 457:2

County 425:18

couple 274:13

court 390:13 445:2 455:18

courts 365:9

cover 291:7 294:12

367:19

coverage 447:10

CRE 305:7

CRE0028760

297:14 304:8

CRE0028763 304:8

CRE0029291

378:13

CRE0029902 305:8 316:16 413:19

CRE0029903

316:16

CRE0032045

430:16

CRE0080521

465:14 **CRE0080522**

465:14

CRE0080704 465:16

CRE0081193

367:10

CRE0087520 295:11

346:16

CRE0106086

CRE12973 319:2

CRE32045 326:9

384:14,20 387:2,15

debating 428:16

402:3 443:6

421:9 422:5,6

cutting 332:15

468:17

419:22

Seelster Farms Inc., et al. vs Her Majesty the Queen In Right of Ontario, et al. DWIGHT DUNCAN on March 16, 2018 Index: CRE361002...direction CRE361002 **cvcle** 297:1 417:3 December 278:6 **deep** 420:16 designed 373:9 427:13.17 442:17 396:24 408:16.17 323:25 281:7 283:22 285:25 294:11 434:7 CRE64558 466:16 D deeply 415:22 **decided** 283:18,23 desire 409:21 443:12 CRE81193 360:17 284:5 286:10 288:1 data 310:3 defeat 399:25 destroyed 345:22 created 268:2 338:12 397:9 412:11 date 266:5 286:6 445:8 452:3 459:8 defeated 333:23 detail 305:21,23 439:7 451:13 456:19 306:1 331:17 332:2, 472:18 372:3 413:14 460:9 creating 434:3 9 381:2 382:1 463:9, deciding 446:5 deficit 408:24 details 286:1 creation 342:12 416:9 418:1 439:7 decision 276:8 determine 327:14 dated 265:8 270:12 284:13 286:25 **degree** 426:1 409:19 422:11 **critic** 468:3 277:19 291:6 294:9, 288:21 290:3 302:11 461:24 463:12 12 305:5 306:2 **delay** 382:7 criticism 393:7 315:5 317:11 324:18 309:23,25 383:5 determined 286:4 325:8 346:21 348:9 delegate 279:17 384:15 387:3,16 332:2 416:2 crops 389:16 350:8 354:4 369:9, 432:4 462:5 delegates 357:10 16 372:7,10 373:7, **Detroit** 439:25 **Crosher** 440:16 dates 302:20 14 374:21 375:9 deliberately **develop** 275:20 cross-arrange 449:22.23 376:5,10 402:25 371:10 276:2 398:8 414:17 270:9 404:5 406:18,23 **Dave** 318:12 delighted 439:4 407:9 409:3 412:21 developed 277:21 cross-**David** 305:17 413:3 415:4,25 deliver 392:6 278:9 examination 425:4 426:10,20 396:23 265:4 360:9 390:20 **day** 288:12 289:10 development 427:20 428:2,10,17, 455:19 306:2 335:12 337:14 delivery 338:20 276:23 395:4 20.23 429:2.10 341:22 342:22,23 cross-examined 432:16,19 434:17,20 **demand** 312:2 dialogue 354:14 344:15.23 358:6 471:12 435:15 436:2 437:20 371:25 377:21 diatribes 344:25 department 359:8 438:4,8,11 439:3 Crown 395:25 383:25 404:14 396:5,25 398:2 441:25 442:14,22 difference 292:13 402:19 420:6 429:14 405:25 442:6,8 443:16 445:6 449:9 departments 444:15 472:1,11,17 differently 274:13 Crown's 434:7 450:17 451:15,18 296:20 275:15 days 306:2 342:25 453:2,23 454:1,11 curb 418:21 dependent 314:5 466:8,13 389:7 difficult 313:20 425:11 **curbed** 419:2 332:16 344:9 354:25 decision-making **DD** 270:20 depending 397:6 355:2,7 361:2 **curbing** 420:19 448:11 deal 286:25 288:1 389:20 398:20 depends 334:7,25 curious 293:2 decisions 318:4 371:4,21 372:4,12 418:19,20 426:23 359:4 353:4,10 374:12,18 387:18 320:7 340:12 347:17 427:18 442:4 446:16 410:21 424:8 445:25 373:23,24 389:11,16 **depth** 345:25 current 282:8 difficulty 296:16 416:12 418:19,20 dealing 288:3 **deputy** 279:15,16 397:25 420:16 **custom** 296:7 421:20 471:17 320:24 282:2 357:9 432:12 427:24 404:18 deck 265:8,10 **dealt** 453:5 dimension 425:4 derive 355:11 customer 350:10 267:8 271:21,23 353:13 281:18 290:19,24 **debate** 394:23 direct 332:18 describe 442:17 291:2,8,9,12 292:24 400:22 407:1 442:25 339:4,12 340:9 customers 349:6 describing 366:21 293:13 294:3,11 454:6 341:17 391:19 400:3 320:14 339:20 **cut** 329:11 399:9 432:14 436:6 452:6 **debated** 284:14 459:12 419:15,23 420:21,22 description 406:23 434:2 directed 390:8 421:13,16,17 350:19 352:21 decks 282:25 debates 383:4 direction 267:14 353:12 304:15 307:16,20 cuts 380:7 419:25

decline 314:14

decrease 396:12

448:19

design 268:22

313:18

334:18 397:9 414:22

423:18 447:23

directional 398:9 directive 340:4 448:22,25 directly 328:20 340:8 344:23 346:3 354:23 378:20 426:25 427:25 **directs** 395:24 437:9 disability 345:15 disagree 424:12 disagreement 437:8 401:19 disputed 434:2 disagreements 460:22 19 disagrees 369:21, disclosed 363:17 364:18 292:10 **discuss** 284:21 304:17 370:1,5 444:16 discussed 269:6, 13 276:1 287:8 304:16,21 317:3 326:18 330:11 dive 427:17 333:20 359:14,16 413:4 434:1 440:5 458:20 discussing 269:4 276:22 283:19 316:21 344:24 449:8 discussion 268:6 270:9 271:4 277:1 284:22 285:7 286:24 291:5 312:6 322:3 324:18 328:2,15

339:20 380:24 383:20 390:19 394:24 402:11,16, 21,22 430:8 443:10 444:10 447:18 457:20 463:22 discussions 269:15 279:9 282:15 283:4 285:5,6,12 287:15 289:17.22 296:19,22 302:13,17 317:14 322:16

327:23 328:5 330:1 333:20 342:4.18 370:2,7 374:23 375:6 379:20 380:14,15 397:8 403:11 407:14,15,17 409:11 420:8 434:8 446:17,23 448:7,12 451:25 472:20,25 dispose 469:7

disputatious 402:21

dispute 358:3,4

disputes 423:18,

distinct 292:13 distinguishing

distress 426:2 distributes 362:5 distributing 362:9

distribution 286:21 293:10

divorced 433:15

doc 270:16 323:24

docs 464:16,19

document 265:11, 15,22 266:8 269:7,8 270:12 271:5,7,9,14 273:5,11,14,24 277:6,19,22,25 278:3 279:4 281:10 282:6 283:14 287:1 288:6,8,11,14 289:6, 11 291:6,14,18,22, 24,25 294:5 295:13 296:13,14,17 297:19,25 298:19 300:2,25 301:2,3 302:1 306:3 309:1,9 310:9 311:10 312:12,15 313:9,12 315:3 318:25 320:22 321:1,7,25 324:2 328:1,22 332:7,19

348:17 351:20 360:16 365:19 368:24 370:11 378:3,6 381:11 383:3 384:13 387:1, 14 391:7,20 392:18 394:1 398:16 404:22 414:6,8 430:16,24 431:2 432:9,17,19, 23 433:20 434:22 435:9,13 458:5 462:18 464:10,23

documentation 297:6

documents 265:23 269:15 273:22 277:25 278:2 284:6,8 287:10 288:10 290:5 294:14,20 305:22,24 308:3,4 322:3 323:6 347:9,19 348:10 352:20 357:23 358:1 380:10.24 395:14

397:19 416:18 421:24 427:13 430:18 441:13.15 444:4 450:22 471:22

dollar 396:4

dollars 315:19 398:21

Don 386:8

door 429:20

double-check 408:1

doubt 291:18

DOUGLAS 265:2

Downs 411:19 441:5,6,7

downside 334:5

downsized 314:3

downturn 416:8 418:12

downward 314:24

dozens 300:4 352:22

draft 265:9,11 269:7 271:4,7,8 273:24 277:6.24.25 278:1.8.10 281:17 283:7 291:5,14,19 292:4,11,14,20 293:16 294:16.17 295:13 298:3,9 301:9 306:3 320:4 347:16,19 462:23

drafted 330:25 459:21

drafting 295:21

drafts 272:3 273:7 459:25 462:21

draw 424:17

drawn 349:10 353:20 429:19

Drummond

350:21 385:15 386:12,17 419:19

Drummond's 386:8 418:17 419:5

Duncan 265:2,6 271:5 272:3,19 275:11 291:15,23 292:22 297:7 299:13,19 300:2,13 303:22 310:5 312:4, 14 319:4 322:8 326:23 358:15 360:5 361:10 364:4 365:21 390:10,21 403:1,5 428:15 436:6,19 452:25 455:20 456:25 457:1 471:11,13

Duncan's 303:14 432:4

Dundas 441:10 durability 278:15 dutifully 451:21 458:14

Dwight 265:2 365:20

dynamic 325:14, 23 326:5,6

Ε

Index: directional..email

earlier 284:15 305:22.23 315:17.21 339:20 371:20 373:22 395:8 397:18 418:3 430:25 442:24 446:4 471:22

earliest 407:8

early 297:3 395:10

easy 434:11

economic 311:12, 14 322:24 330:12 346:1 365:21 384:23,25 432:3 444:23

economy 395:15 education 336:6

421:3.11

effect 346:24

effective 324:20 420:15

effectively 371:24 372:2 406:1

effectiveness 450:9

elected 442:6

election 333:7,10, 14 334:6,10 370:19 413:15

element 299:4 399:10

elements 321:15 **eliciting** 452:12,16 eliminate 419:24

eliminated 320:17

eliminating 307:1 308:14 313:1

elimination 306:7 386:18

email 281:10.11.14 291:7,13 292:17 293:9 294:12 296:13 305:2 316:19 317:2

318:23 319:5,18 321:2,8,9 322:5,7 323:22 325:12,13 326:8,13 327:19 346:13 362:6 377:24 378:16,19 462:7,25 463:8,14 464:10 465:8 466:17,23

emailed 377:21

emails 292:20 318:11 319:14 325:25 326:25 328:22

emerge 395:18

emotional 442:2

employees 423:13

employers 399:17

employment 327:15 456:19

enable 332:22

end 274:15 284:2, 16,19 286:3 369:10 371:19 375:17 469:1

ending 336:22

engaged 377:2

engagement 266:21

ensure 415:15 445:10 450:10 451:3

entire 268:24 336:13 366:14 368:3 397:21 398:4 400:11 405:6 433:5 438:18

entirety 293:24 348:14

entitled 365:19 374:16 383:3 384:13 387:1,14 428:19

envelope 396:4,21

envious 397:15

equine 392:8

equivalent 327:14

Erie 446:15

Ernie 341:22

342:22

essence 270:9

essentially 274:9 355:12

Essex 425:17 440:17

establish 349:23 369:16

established 414:4 439:9

establishing 293:17.19.21

estimates 315:17 365:3,4 394:2,8

Euthanasia 405:8

evaluating 272:10 evaluation 450:9

event 307:24,25

308:7

eventual 347:17

eventually 295:19 406:8 416:3 420:14

Eves 341:22 342:22

Eves' 394:9

evidence 300:17 317:1 428:2 438:3,6, 7,9 440:12 452:12, 17 464:16

evident 446:3

evolve 394:23

evolved 290:7 335:12 443:24

evolves 459:19

evolving 266:6 448:15

examination

265:17,18 270:13 280:3,4,11 281:5,9 289:7 291:3 294:7, 11 295:8 297:11,12 303:14 304:2,20 318:24 323:21 326:9 343:7 346:14 360:18 365:15 367:10 370:10 376:3 382:8 391:8,12 413:17,18 414:3 429:17,24 430:4,11,14 454:17 456:13 461:16,21 465:1,4,8 466:4

examinations 414:1

examine 390:9 433:21 435:10

examined 435:12

examining 435:8

examples 338:4,

exceptions 431:19

excerpt 387:6

exchange 455:4

exchanged 273:22

exchanges 328:22 415:16

Excuse 298:12 472:6

exercise 299:20 394:15 415:24 416:2

exercised 274:4

exhibit 265:7,16, 17,21 268:17 270:13,20 280:13 281:4,8 288:15 289:7 291:3 294:7, 10 295:8 297:11,12 303:13,16,24 304:1, 3,7,19 316:11,12,15 318:23 323:21 326:9 343:7 360:18 365:15,18,19 367:9 370:10,12 374:7,8, 13,14 376:2 382:1, 24 383:3,13,16 384:11,13 386:23 387:1,11,13,14 391:8,11 413:16,17 429:15,24 430:4,11, 13,20 444:12 461:20 464:10.13.14.24 465:1,2,3,4,7,9,13, 15

exhibits 413:25 457:22

exist 447:9 450:11

existed 322:1

existence 321:3

existing 271:24 396:23

exists 313:18

exit 268:10 324:12,

expanded 380:5

expect 327:21 333:12 340:20

expectation 389:3

expectations 392:5

expected 270:3 306:12 389:8 441:24

expenditure 340:10 395:7,23 396:9,10 398:10

expenses 287:6 419:8

experience 292:1 317:23 459:21

experienced 345:21

expertise 392:8,9, 14 409:16 416:6

experts 285:24 409:17 411:18 417:12 449:12

explain 328:14 336:2 458:11

explained 315:10 336:18 366:17 367:23 458:25

explaining 272:23

express 344:2

expressed 373:5 422:7 439:19 441:12 448:21

extended 466:12

extensive 327:23 451:24

Index: emailed..fairness

extensively 318:17 471:12

extent 298:8

extra 321:19 345:11

extract 385:5

extraordinarily 426:22

extremely 329:24

F

Facebook 345:13

facilities 314:2 349:5

facility 350:2 425:10

fact 273:18 280:5, 12 285:16 331:1,23 339:2 340:9 341:2 348:9 356:4,12,20, 21 357:3,12,21 374:1 397:14 400:9 410:6,12 420:18 421:8 433:24 438:18 440:5 444:6 446:7 447:15 449:11

fact-checked 366:16

factor 292:10

factors 290:2

factory 439:12

facts 357:21

factual 331:3

fair 280:10 286:11 291:1 300:24 320:3 332:10 349:23 380:24 412:24 418:5 422:14 423:20 428:21 433:9 434:10 447:24 450:16

fairly 283:14 407:15

fairness 393:15 448:16

faith 438:8 440:12 443:20

fall 372:1 389:16 422:23

false 429:9

familiar 341:8 417:1 427:5

families 366:11 442:14

family 340:25 363:25

fashion 445:25 452:9

fathomed 395:20

favour 306:8 307:11 308:15 313:4 368:5 406:15

February 311:13, 15 316:18,21 317:2, 18,19,25 318:1,11 320:12 322:19,25 324:7 330:11 331:17 343:2 344:15,20 355:2 365:22 372:24 432:4 449:1 453:24 456:7 457:16 459:10 460:25 462:15 463:5 464:3 472:12

fed 454:25

Fedeli 438:22

federations
418:11

feedback 278:14 312:20

feel 427:20

felt 289:20 323:15 345:1 358:9 371:13 397:24 399:3,24 409:10,18 411:21 416:14 419:20 426:12,21,25 427:19 440:5,7 442:10 450:6

Fetterly 335:13

field 281:14 389:17

fight 369:16

fighting 450:4,13

figurative 300:5

figure 379:1 396:4

file 399:19

fill 453:8

final 271:9 290:18, 23,24 291:2,8,19,22, 24 292:3,8,10,11,14, 15 295:21 296:13, 14,17 299:4 346:24 347:20,24 349:1 351:20 352:1 457:9, 12 464:16,19 471:17

finalized 318:4

finalizing 320:2 416:23

Finance 276:2,18 277:21 278:7 291:7 292:23 293:6 296:9, 20 301:16,25 310:7, 11 311:2,6 330:23 345:24 347:4,25 356:7,11 358:21 359:2 360:25 365:20 369:11 393:22 395:9 402:7 411:17 412:23 422:10,13 423:7,9, 13,14 424:15 429:19 431:11 432:3 436:20 460:15 470:2

financial 309:20 310:14 397:25 426:2

financially 306:13 440:1

find 281:16 365:6,7 405:9

finding 424:19

fine 293:13 362:12

finish 272:20 279:21 284:1 297:17 315:12 357:15 362:8 375:1 462:12

finished 305:11 447:20

fiscal 334:18 379:7

five-year 276:3,19, 23 277:3,21 278:8,

19,23 282:13

Flamboro 441:5,6,

flexibility 349:4 350:7 409:22

flipping 310:22

flow 471:7 472:1,10

flowed 471:15,19

flowing 472:1

flows 268:23

Flynn's 270:20

focus 353:13 392:21,23 450:18

focused 335:3 392:10 415:20 424:13,19

folks 272:5 278:6 360:25 444:3

follow 301:5 381:21 412:13

food 309:16 366:15 369:13 397:10 409:16 454:7 455:25

force 396:24

forced 442:3

forces 415:18

foregone 340:11

forget 364:10 401:25 417:14 468:23 472:24

form 315:12 328:5 437:16 443:19

formal 289:16 357:1 394:24 456:2

formally 284:5 325:17 448:6

formula 274:19 349:6 350:4,6

Fort 446:15

forward 281:7 291:2 370:10,11 449:6 forwards 305:4

found 399:19

fourth 313:25 365:25 408:5

fraction 400:20 422:1,2

fractious 414:21

frame 331:21

frames 285:7 426:15

frank 402:11,16,20

frankly 280:22 354:21 370:25 398:4

free 315:19

free-for-all 453:16

Friday 317:20,21

friend 406:21 425:16

friend's 414:2 429:23

friends 345:16 391:12 425:13 442:9

friendship 425:19 442:5

front 288:15 296:17 299:1 307:20 320:23 331:9 348:18 378:4 383:16

frustrated 449:5

fulfill 398:6

full 287:19 288:10 394:19 432:7

full-day 368:21 373:1

full-time 327:14,16

fully 329:3 401:2 426:23

function 443:6

functioning 425:11

fund 275:4,7 284:9 286:20

funded 274:11,17, 18

Index: faith..generally

funding 267:9,17 268:23 269:21 275:22 276:10 277:15 278:21,22 283:19,20,24 284:8, 11,24 285:9,20 286:25 289:19 290:21 301:11 306:19 313:14 314:5 315:13.20 316:23 339:3.4 346:23 349:23 379:2 393:10 396:12 409:4 410:20.24 412:4 414:18 420:22 421:14 424:20 426:6 451:16,23 453:3 454:2,5 468:17 470:21 471:20,21

funds 268:1 286:20 471:19

future 450:19

G

game 437:25

games 349:22 350:8,9

gaming 266:14,19, 22 267:5,16 268:23 349:5 352:4 390:23 397:23 432:2 450:7 451:7 454:9

gave 272:3 291:16, 17 330:11 337:7 369:3 376:15 439:5 446:11

Gene 318:12 376:24 377:21 378:1 379:4

general 297:20 403:2,3 423:10,14

General's 456:10, 21,25

generally 329:23 337:25 393:17 407:3 445:9 generate 276:19 generated 275:1

generic 297:23 298:1,4,9 301:10 302:25

genuinely 442:2

GIP 266:11,12 267:22

give 295:14 300:1, 22 301:6 308:2 317:1 325:18 330:8 331:8 332:19,20 351:10 364:21 378:4 379:8,13 381:11 396:5 405:16 411:24

giving 272:14,16, 24,25 273:3 312:19 320:12 322:13 355:25 423:17 468:24

glad 341:2 446:8

glanced 338:5

goal 451:2 464:5

goals 335:16

God 440:8

Godfrey 298:10, 12,13 347:12 351:12 352:25 415:12 426:13 440:6

good 265:6 334:9 335:5,8 345:2 378:25 390:21 403:2 433:22 440:11 441:22 442:8 443:20 450:22

goodness 345:15

Goodwin 278:7 282:4,5 324:9 462:7

govern 288:23

government

273:4 276:7 282:11 283:23 284:4 286:3, 21 287:5 288:20 289:3 290:19,25 291:2,8,16 293:22 297:1 301:1 312:7 315:11,25 333:6 334:18 338:9.17 339:1.5.7.16 340:1. 6,8 343:22 349:8,13 353:1 354:3 356:25 358:1,5 365:9 367:3, 9 368:5 369:22 370:15 372:1 387:19 394:9,14,21,22,25 395:22,24 397:2 398:3,10 399:3 400:1,21 401:19,22, 25 403:2,3,20 404:13,24 405:4,12 406:14 411:15 413:9 416:11 421:10,11, 13,19 423:2,10 434:4,13 435:21 437:1 442:13 443:1, 2,11 448:20 449:7 454:8 456:7 458:8.9 465:25 472:17

government's

267:14 275:4,7 335:16 351:14,17 352:9 395:13 410:7 418:9 419:3 421:22 422:11 433:10,18 435:1 443:24 448:14

governments

335:11 394:18,19 400:16 443:3,25

granted 424:11

great 424:8 427:16

greater 267:9 460:9

Greg 376:12 377:6, 10,14

gross 365:2 399:21

ground 367:20

group 278:13 424:14 426:5

groups 328:21 342:8 418:10 424:8 441:9

growth 419:7,11, 13,25 420:20 421:7

GTA 321:20

Guelph 305:19

guess 329:16 412:14 424:13 427:7 458:11

gutted 406:2

guy 357:19

Н

Hagerty 305:16 328:24

half 366:13

hand 415:20

handed 298:19 383:8

hands 283:2 466:24

Hang 308:1

Hansard 369:24 381:24 383:4,22 384:2,14 385:4,12 387:2,6,11,15 397:18 406:22 407:19 410:5 418:2 439:6 444:13 445:15 446:8 447:12 449:17 451:20,21 452:18, 20,22

Hansards 389:12

happen 279:8 285:5 377:4 395:20 431:18 442:3 468:6

happened 287:9 288:9 370:21 395:17 401:10,22 402:17 403:6,11,12,24 412:2 427:17 429:17 435:17,24

happening 305:25 319:20

happy 345:4 391:6 411:5

harassed 345:19

hard 283:13 294:21 295:17 320:24 421:16,18 440:12

harder 420:4

heading 267:22 331:12 391:22 392:18 414:10 433:8

headquarter 338:11

headquarters 338:7

health 269:2 336:8 419:4,7,10,13 420:20,21 421:5 423:25

healthy 402:10 442:25

hear 446:17

heard 266:16 281:22 329:7 359:23 362:11 373:3,12 374:25 375:3

hearing 267:2 361:1 377:16 454:20

hearings 405:15

heart 400:25 442:17

heartfelt 344:8 472:24

heated 407:15,17

held 333:18 438:15 440:15,18

helpful 297:8

helps 421:24

high 274:8,14 407:21

higher 295:15 336:9 399:13

highest 408:7

highest-priority 397:3

highlight 298:14

highly 314:5

historically 335:11 399:12

419:11

history 401:18 458:21

hit 420:4

hold 367:7 438:20

Index: generate..Horey

holiday 283:15

home 438:21

homes 420:23

hometown 425:21

honest 464:20

honestly 464:21

hope 389:3 468:20 469:2 470:5

Horey 265:12,20 267:20 268:15 270:15,18,21,25 272:19 279:21 280:2,9 291:23 292:7,9,16 294:23 295:10 297:14 300:1,5,12,22 301:6 303:15,22 304:10 305:6 307:5,8 308:1, 18,22 309:2,8 310:15,17,21 311:4, 16 312:13 316:12 318:25 319:10 322:20 323:1,24 326:17,20 331:8 339:8 346:15 348:16 357:17 358:10,16 359:25 360:6,8,10, 14 361:11,25 362:23 363:1,4,8 364:21 365:11,17 367:7,14, 18 368:11 369:4 370:4 372:14 374:8 378:2,11 381:9,15, 22,25 382:14,25 383:2,18 384:12 385:19 386:24 387:10 388:1 390:1, 6 391:25 401:7,11 402:24 413:19,23 414:5,9 428:15,25 429:11,13,19 430:2, 15 431:12,25 436:8, 12,16 453:15,20 455:10,15,19 456:4, 11 457:4 458:7 461:12,17,23 463:19 464:11,22 466:3 471:9 472:7

horizon 337:4 **horse** 267:8.15 268:3,24 270:5 275:21 276:8 278:19 285:23 287:17 289:23 290:21 301:10 306:9 307:3 309:21 310:14 313:4 314:13 315:20 317:3 318:18 320:16 324:11 327:14 331:7 334:19 336:23 338:10.25 340:21 341:8,9 345:7 348:1 349:14 364:16 366:2 368:17 375:20 385:16 386:13 388:18 392:24 393:10 394:16 397:16 409:5,15 411:12 412:9 414:11,16,24 415:5 424:2,18,20 425:10, 17 427:3,6 444:3 445:17 449:10,19 450:14 451:4,18,25 454:2 457:10 468:17.20 **horseman** 341:11,

12

horses 287:20,23 345:22 417:4 425:12 468:15 470:3,17,19

Horvath 370:17

hospitality 321:18

host 349:25

House 375:15 382:20 385:2,11 386:21 387:8 394:6 400:19 405:19 408:25

HST 335:10 377:12

Hudak 368:8.15 372:25 410:16

Hudak's 366:24

huge 375:11 397:20 444:24

human 442:16,21

hung 410:8,9

hurt 425:5 441:17. 25 442:14 449:10 451:2.18

hurting 450:24 **Hydro** 340:3

ı

ice 464:5

ID 270:16 318:25 323:24

idea 277:25 283:1 285:20 292:19 341:21 342:11 373:16 469:20

Identification

304:6,7 316:15 374:14 465:13,15

identified 274:1 276:17 430:11

identifies 271:23 467:18

identify 268:8 303:16 397:4 398:12 467:23

identifying 282:7

ignore 441:19

imagine 370:23

impact 313:21 327:15 346:1 355:16 398:15 414:11 417:7 424:9 444:3

impacted 328:19 418:8,9 426:25 427:25

impacts 327:15

impassioned 346:12

implement 285:12 341:4 379:2

implementation 285:7 379:12 393:6

implemented

285:10,15,16 412:4 448:1

implementing 393:9

imply 356:8

important 303:19 393:4 405:22 415:20 416:4 424:6,7 426:13 442:25

improper 361:12 **improve** 349:21 451:3

improvement 270:4

improvements 338:19

improving 419:16

in-depth 289:22

in-house 416:6

inaccurate 361:7

inaudible 468:23

included 293:12 319:13 355:8 406:18

includes 311:24 312:10 321:15 414:14 450:5

including 268:9 289:21 335:21 351:18 354:20 378:23 417:15 427:6 446:12 449:17

inclusion 281:18

incomplete 315:7, 10,14,22

incompleteness 298:15

inconsistency

292:3 298:25 299:2, 6,15,21,22 300:9,11, 17,20 301:23

inconsistent 302:12

increase 315:18 451:10

increases 418:22 independent

350:1

independently 347:25

indicating 302:14

indirectly 397:11 428:9 435:19

individual 269:1 364:16.17 421:24 454:13

individuals 368:1 402:2 442:14

industries 320:16

industry 269:3,10, 17,18 278:20 282:10 284:9 285:7,21,22 286:21 287:4,22 288:4 289:1,22 290:12,16,22 306:10,12 307:4,13 308:16 309:21 310:14 313:4,7 338:25 340:21 341:9,15 345:8 348:1 349:14 355:12,17 368:17 379:1 380:7 388:18 392:9,21,24 393:10 394:16 397:16,25 400:5 409:5,11,15 411:14 414:11,15,

454:3 468:20,24 inevitable 434:5 inevitably 416:20,

16,20,25 415:21

425:17 427:3,23

449:13,19 450:11,

443:15 444:18

14,18 451:5,25

445:12,17,20

417:1,11,12 420:16

inference 434:3,6 inferentially 428:9

inflict 438:11

21 451:1

influence 424:9

influenced 337:18

inform 320:25 395:15

informal 289:17

Index: horizon..interested

information

273:20 305:4 306:4, 5 308:22,24 312:14 321:10 328:24 329:20,24 331:4 390:4 393:20 446:22 451:12

ingenious 414:2

inherently 415:18

initially 325:14 377:11 380:5 410:21

initiative 447:24

initiatives 418:16

innovation

266:15,19,22 267:5 403:24

input 290:3 305:16 330:9 445:10 446:17

inquiry 428:11 434:8

instance 273:11 288:11 320:11 335:7 338:6 340:2 389:12 394:9 399:20 408:22 411:19 419:3 423:12 438:20 441:1 451:8 458:18 468:13

instances 463:17

instructive 325:13

instrumental 442:12

integrity 422:15

intended 298:7 393:8 398:7

intent 269:25 414:15

intention 315:11 335:4 446:25 452:13,14

interest 335:21 336:4 341:17 350:10 427:1,2,22 439:16

interested 290:10, 11,13

interesting 426:3 427:11

Interestingly 399:15

interests 423:21 425:12 427:21

interim 375:21

interject 279:22

internal 394:23

internally 284:22 342:2,18

interview 376:15, 23 377:7,22 403:9

intimately 354:15 357:7

introduce 413:9

introduced 397:23

introduction

421:22

involve 355:11 397:13

involved 271:13, 18 318:17 319:18 329:25 347:4 354:15 357:7 375:18 379:15 388:5 397:11 425:24 448:9

involvement

296:24 327:4 345:5

involving 268:25

irrational 438:4

irrespective

344:25

issue 288:22 318:18 328:19 346:6 375:11 380:2,9 394:21 398:20 399:7,8 431:19,20 442:11 457:3

issues 269:16 280:1 283:18 288:3 325:16 328:12 332:13 376:13 405:1,8,9 408:14 442:23 446:18 454:14

item 335:9,24 460:5 463:25

items 335:1,3 371:9 397:3,5 420:21 423:1

J

January 291:6 292:23 294:12,13 304:14 305:5 309:23,25 391:10 392:14,25 414:25 461:8,15 462:5,15, 20,22,25 463:6,7,12, 14,23 464:3

jobs 311:11,14 322:24 327:16 432:3 439:7,8 451:13 456:19

jog 280:17

John 341:7,25 343:7 345:5 354:22 378:20

joined 426:13 jointlysponsored 399:18 judgment 436:4

jump 391:2

junior 283:1,14

jurisdiction 449:15

justify 349:13 428:10

K

Kawartha 438:22

Keegan 305:2,3 376:24

Keegan's 374:21

key 270:1 328:3 334:1 349:8 350:5 371:23 378:22 398:12,13 406:1

425:15

kicking 288:18

kid 440:13

kids 418:25

kilometres 439:23

kind 269:21 273:4 288:7,8 293:10 298:15 335:3 336:3, 21 338:4 345:17 395:1,10 398:8 408:13 415:19,24 418:18 431:21 440:7,24 441:13 442:24 443:3 445:4 446:20 448:21 449:18 451:11 452:6 467:14

kindergarten

368:22 372:22 373:1

kinds 287:11 288:3 318:13 335:8 345:12 354:13 415:16 442:23

knew 287:1 314:19 341:8,14,16 344:8 354:23,24 355:1,2,4, 6,15,17 377:23 388:22,23 415:21 416:14,16 425:5 431:20 451:1

knowing 371:10

L

La 265:12,20 267:20 268:15 270:15,18, 21,25 272:19 279:21 280:2,9 291:23 292:7,9,16 294:23 295:10 297:14 300:1,5,12,22 301:6 303:15,22 304:10 305:6 307:5,8 308:1, 18,22 309:2,8 310:15,17,21 311:4, 16 312:13 316:12 318:25 319:10 322:20 323:1,24 326:17,20 331:8 339:8 346:15 348:16 357:17 358:10.16 359:25 360:6.8.10. 14 361:11,25 362:23 363:1,4,8 364:21 365:11,17 367:7,14, 18 368:11 369:4 370:4 372:14 374:8 378:2,11 381:9,15, 22,25 382:14,25 383:2,18 384:12 385:19 386:24 387:10 388:1 390:1, 6 391:25 401:7,11 402:24 413:19,23 414:5,9 428:15,25 429:11,13,19 430:2, 15 431:12,25 436:8, 12,16 453:15,20 455:10,15,19 456:4, 11 457:4 458:7 461:12,17,23 463:19 464:11,22 466:3 471:9 472:7

laid 418:13

Lakeshore 425:16

land 338:13 452:3

land-based 352:4

landed 277:7 286:6 355:23

lane 437:23

language 320:21, 25 354:11 356:14 357:25 359:7 427:12 461:15 462:17,24 463:6,15

large 281:1 396:15 419:2 431:6 441:10 451:8

largely 280:23 342:4 409:14

larger 420:13 421:21

largest 408:13

Larissa 281:16

late 274:7 297:3 407:20 447:14

latitude 396:13

launched 426:4

law 399:12 422:23

lawsuit 358:11

lawsuits 364:1

lawyer 364:5

lawyers 423:12

lay 379:5

LCBO 338:6,11 340:5,7

lead 399:25 422:10

leader 333:24 370:16 371:5 386:6 398:9 414:15 425:17

leaders' 407:24,25

leadership 415:7, 13 416:11

leading 273:19 459:19

learn 411:18

learned 458:12

leave 289:20 299:17 409:22

Leaving 323:4

leeway 334:13

left 285:17 334:13 355:14 375:23 389:19 406:9 411:3 412:2,12 417:11 456:6 471:16 472:2, 11,15

left-hand 385:24

legal 379:8

legislate 398:25 399:4 417:23

legislation 413:10 417:25 422:23

legislature 332:20 394:11 398:5 403:17,22,25 404:10 405:6 406:16,19,22, 24 410:10,25 412:3, 5,22 415:17 437:2, 19 442:20 467:6 **length** 284:14 337:14 435:13

letter 297:23 298:1, 4,9 299:1,16,21 300:14 301:9,10 302:2,4,10,25 303:1 378:8 422:25 465:9

lettered 303:16,23 316:12 374:8 464:13,14,24 465:2,

level 274:8,14 306:19 313:14 342:19 414:19 424:19 454:2,4

levels 284:9

liability 399:11

Liberal 352:24 370:15 438:16

Liberal-ndp 374:12.18

Liberals 372:19

life 340:25 364:11

light 288:12 289:10

limit 400:4

limited 267:18 390:7 446:20

limits 349:4

lines 379:6 440:25

Linley 305:1,2,13

list 293:10 356:23

listen 363:4

lists 281:24

Lisus 265:5,14,24 267:22,25 268:19 270:17,22 271:3 272:20,22 279:23 280:7,14 281:2,6 292:5,21 295:4,23 297:15 300:7,16,18 301:4,12 303:13,17, 21 304:3,13 305:9 307:5,7,10 308:8 309:12 310:16,19,25 311:5,20,21 312:18 316:11,17 319:2,3,

12 322:23 323:3.25 324:3 326:16.21 331:10 339:10,15 346:18 348:20,24 357:18 358:13,16,19 360:3,8,12,17,21 362:2,23 363:11 364:25 365:13,23 367:11,21 368:14 369:7 370:8 372:16, 18 374:6,19 378:3,9, 15 381:24 382:2,5, 13,16,18,24 383:6, 11,21 384:11,16 385:23 386:23 387:7,17 388:1,4 389:21,24 390:3,15 391:7,13 393:13 401:5 402:15 404:9 406:21 410:19 413:20,21 425:3 428:8,22 429:6,16, 23 430:12,20 433:12,15,19,25 434:11,21 435:7,11, 14,19 436:1 437:3,5 452:11,19,24 453:7 454:15 456:5,18,23 457:8,18,21 458:1, 23 461:14,20 462:1 463:20 464:9,17 465:17 466:5

Lisus's 428:16 436:17

471:11,18 472:13

literally 325:23 459:5.16

livelihood 355:12

locate 349:4

location 267:18 309:18 310:12

lock 332:6

locked 331:25

logistics 332:8

long 317:14 341:15 355:4 358:21 359:20 394:13 426:16

long-run 427:2,23

long-term 420:22 422:6 450:10 **long-time** 425:13, 16

longer 267:17 287:13 389:19 428:7 440:18

looked 275:9 277:19,20 287:10 294:4 354:11 357:21 407:14 430:24 439:15 450:22

loop 279:12 448:13

lose 442:13

loss 314:15

lost 321:23 335:10 379:17 426:6

lot 287:21 305:20,22 334:13 341:16 344:6 441:2,8 454:10

lottery 274:20 321:19 390:23 432:2

lottery-style 321:17

loud 301:18

lower 306:18 313:13 414:18

lower-level 288:17 446:21

lurk 468:5

lurking 468:3

M

machine 349:25 439:22

machines 267:19 275:2 309:19 310:13 321:19 439:10,12

made 271:7 279:19 289:6 290:2 317:11 320:7 325:8 332:16, 17 342:5 343:1 345:23 346:2,4 351:6 354:7 355:25 369:10 377:7,9 380:18 382:19 383:25 384:9 389:11 410:17 426:10

428:24 429:3,18 436:2 438:5 442:18 459:15,18 471:17

magna 394:10

magnitude 335:17

main 297:2

maintain 269:2

maintained 458:15

maintaining 321:20

major 432:8

majority 333:6

make 273:16 275:12 290:4 293:21 298:20 299:24 308:4 315:24 323:15 329:12 332:21 334:19 346:7 350:5 380:2,9 385:14 389:16 408:9 411:19 416:11,24 417:6,9 421:19 434:11 455:16 464:7

maker 435:15

makers 442:22

Makes 273:25

making 314:11 325:24 343:8 344:20 357:19 442:12 445:6 460:19

man 435:15

manage 292:20

management 392:6

mandate 398:7

422:25

March 380:18 381:4 382:13,22 383:5,9,10,11,12,13, 16,22 384:15 407:8, 10 451:17 469:1

mark 303:15,23 374:6 464:9,14,23 465:10 marked 280:3,11, 12 283:7 291:14 365:15 370:12 383:11 391:8,11 430:3,10,13 461:16

Index: length..Mcmeekin's

market 350:12 355:22 417:13 439:15,24 446:10

market-based 306:11

markets 393:22,24

marking 304:1 464:12

Martin 335:13

material 453:9 459:18 462:11

materials 319:24 452:13

matter 303:21 329:23 331:23 332:5 353:9 358:18 400:13,14 413:7,11, 14 456:12 458:8 469:21

matters 298:8 456:15 471:9

Matthews 281:3 304:5 316:14 348:19 365:18 374:10 381:18 382:4,10 383:1,15 386:25 387:4,12 430:5 432:22,25 464:25 465:7,11 467:19

maximize 312:1 349:7

mayor 425:15

Mcguinty 316:20 324:6

Mckechin's 400:10

Mcmeekin 342:11 344:6 345:24 351:8, 18 369:9 400:24 403:10 417:6 441:2, 14 472:21

Mcmeekin's 373:5 403:8

Index: means..note

means 280:13 327:24 413:13

meant 274:6 283:3 407:20 452:14

measures 400:5

media 398:19 447:10 468:6

meeting 304:23 316:20 322:22 324:4,7 328:11 403:6 433:13,16 435:18,24 449:1 457:16 458:13,18,22 459:19 460:25 461:1 465:22

meetings 311:19 317:5,6 323:5 352:23 411:10

meets 465:20,21

member 352:23 403:10 442:20 459:5

members 271:19 285:6 328:3 329:19 399:19 401:16 403:13 406:24 443:12

members' 408:2, 3.5

memo 415:8

memory 359:18 362:3 437:23 439:6

mentioned 315:21 418:1 421:10 466:6

met 454:16

method 268:22

metrics 278:24

Michael 390:22

mid- 288:17

mid-november 265:25

middle 349:2

million 285:2 287:4 307:3,12,18 308:16 313:3,6 316:8 321:4, 22 322:1 335:23 336:6,11 337:2 363:23 364:10 366:3 371:16 372:19 387:20 396:21 410:20 412:15 423:25 468:25

mind 301:21 302:25 471:14

470:21 471:6,25

mine 353:7 368:25 425:16

minimal 327:21

minimum 416:24

minister 271:5 298:4,9,11 322:8 323:7 327:9 329:2 330:24 342:7,9,11 345:24 356:7,11,19 357:10 358:21 359:1 365:20 369:8,21 373:5 393:22 395:9, 10 396:20 397:7 403:19 405:16 412:23 422:7,9,13 423:7,8,14 431:11 432:4 436:19 441:21,23 442:19 448:22 454:7 467:19,20 470:2 472:21

minister's 282:2 327:8 422:25 432:12 465:18

ministers 296:11 328:3,16 330:1 401:18 402:6 423:19 459:3 468:1

ministries 296:10, 21 325:17 396:10 402:6,14 419:2 420:4 423:3,4,19 424:7 460:10,12,19

ministry 296:7,9 301:15 305:20 309:15 310:10,11 311:2,6 328:19,20 329:2,11 336:14 339:6 356:4 366:14 369:10,11 391:9 392:7 393:3 395:24 396:5,25 397:6,13, 14,21 402:4 419:4, 13 420:2,5 422:19 423:23 424:15 431:15 432:3 445:23 452:1 455:23,24 460:3.15

minor-level

305:21

minority 290:1 332:17 370:14 405:13 410:10 413:7,9 415:10

minute 272:3 288:21 294:4 300:2, 4,6,13 328:6 348:8 378:12 437:4,9 447:17 448:21 452:4,19,25 453:6 457:15 458:3,4,16 459:7,10,11,14,15, 18,21,25 460:16,24 461:6,9,10,15 462:14,23 463:5,6, 21

minutes 361:20 366:17 370:24 458:12 464:7

misinterpretation 470:12

missed 385:22 386:1

misspoke 386:21

mistake 432:20

mistaken 406:11

mitigation 444:17 470:22,23 471:3,13, 15

Mm-hm 270:24 272:6 276:12 306:22 320:8 344:16 347:22 391:24 414:12 431:2 463:3

model 290:8 349:24

modernization

266:2,25 267:4 286:12 290:21 328:12 348:12 349:1 407:10 438:25 439:1 447:24 448:10 450:7,24 451:14 464:1

modernize 338:20 modernizing

432:2

Mohawk 441:3,7

moment 406:3 456:11 457:19

money 274:24,25 275:1,3,6 289:4 340:8 364:2,16 396:18 397:15 411:20,23 418:24 426:8 471:14,25 472:10

monolithic 443:3

month 294:15 302:20

months 333:10 358:23 395:21 417:24

Morneau 400:14

Morneau's 400:12

morning 265:6 motion 404:9,12

436:4

mouth 284:3

move 360:1 372:22 393:22 436:8,11 449:5 453:20

multiplicity 417:20

municipal 275:21 276:9 350:10

municipalities

309:19 310:13 350:1,7

Ν

narrow 283:17 348:11

narrower 423:21 424:14

nature 273:5 325:16 330:24 389:5 405:8 408:20

nay 403:17

NDP 332:24 333:4, 17,23 342:6,7 370:16 371:3 372:5 375:13,15 380:2,8, 15 387:19 404:1 410:14,22 440:19,20

necessarily 467:24

needed 417:16 443:19

negotiate 399:5

negotiating 377:3 negotiation

negotiations 370:25 371:1,11

417:24

375:19 409:24

neighbours 425:12

net 315:18 439:7 451:9

Netflix 361:20

news 335:5,8 338:2 343:2,11 469:17,19

newspaper

351:14 370:11,23 374:6 428:13

nice 342:23

non-draft 347:16 nonexistent 466:1

nonpartisan 415:16

normal 409:2

North 287:18 438:21

note 271:6 281:18 304:25 305:4,12,14, 15 308:21,23,24 309:14 310:6,19 315:16 316:1 328:9, 24 375:13 391:9

407:18

noted 315:25

notes 302:19 306:6 315:17,21 317:13,16 323:8 328:23 330:8 416:5

notice 274:10 284:2 286:15 324:14 372:24 380:9 411:23 436:3 460:2,4

notion 270:3

November 265:8 270:12,23 274:2,7 276:2,18 277:5,6,20 297:3

number 265:16 268:21 270:16 273:22 274:12 287:13 303:3,6 305:7 309:11 311:24 312:10 314:4 319:1 323:24 332:15 336:15,17 342:8 355:23 365:17.18 371:9 376:12 378:20 380:6 383:24 391:13 393:13,16 396:15,16 399:6 401:22 402:2 409:18,23 412:14 414:1 417:9,18,24 418:10 427:14,24 430:16 437:25 443:11 445:7 446:11 449:22 451:13 452:2 455:20 458:2 462:24

numbered 464:14 numbering 414:2

numbers 287:8 307:18 331:25 332:6 364:9,13 365:2 391:16 411:16 451:10 452:2

0

oath 401:14 object 367:18 objection 429:23 436:17 453:2 **objectives** 276:10 335:16

obliged 418:21

obvious 358:12

occasion 459:1

occasions 303:4,6 378:20 401:23 445:8

occur 341:24 401:21

October 297:3 358:23 369:9

off-track 450:1

offer 349:21

office 282:3 285:17 293:24,25 319:22,23 347:4,5 371:5 373:6 402:8 409:15 423:16 459:25 460:2,3,8,14 471:17 472:2,11,15

office's 323:14 459:22

official 295:2 368:4 383:4 384:14 387:2, 15 410:2

officials 279:10,11 280:19 283:1,14 288:17 293:6 295:14 297:4 318:9,14 319:22 323:13 356:4,14 366:20 429:19 444:6 446:21 448:8

oftentimes 318:9 325:24 393:20 398:18 403:13 424:7 460:21

OLG 266:2,6,8,23 267:4 268:5 272:7, 11,18,24 273:3 274:1 278:7,13 279:10,11 281:11 286:12,19 290:20 291:2 296:20 302:3 311:25 315:18 328:12 339:12,16,25 346:24 347:3,5,18, 19,21,24 348:12 349:1,5,22 351:1,8 354:3,5 355:18

370:9 397:17 407:9 411:17 415:13 420:13,15 429:18 437:9,13 447:23 448:3,9,23 449:5 450:6,23 451:3,25 454:6,16 464:1

OLG's 270:4 272:25 273:1 347:7 349:4,7 350:11 352:3

OLGSB000970 268:18

OMAFRA 304:22 305:1,5,13,15 306:17 307:1,24 308:9,13 309:1,4,9, 11,24 312:19,21,25 314:11 328:25 392:19 393:5,8 415:8 422:20,21 423:20 424:15

OMAFRA's 310:9 316:7 392:5,14,23

OMFRA 309:3

one-year 274:9 284:2 286:15,17 287:2 288:2 388:24 411:22

ongoing 277:1 289:16 409:8 425:11 448:7

Ontarians 422:16

Ontario 287:16 290:12,16 307:14 308:16 309:15 313:7 331:7 338:19,22 339:9 341:23 343:2, 11 356:25 358:14 365:6,20 366:1,2 374:11,16 379:1 390:23 394:5 397:23 403:22 406:16 416:15 418:22 424:20 425:1 427:3 432:2 439:13,24 443:15 445:17 451:5 455:13 470:2

open 389:19 446:17 operating 287:6

449:15

operation 314:17 397:1

operations 439:23

operative 388:23

operators 268:3, 11 271:25

opinion 373:6 443:19

opp 373:17
opportunities

406:13 444:17 451:7

opportunity 310:4 325:18 329:2 332:20,21 400:9 439:5

oppose 368:1,2

opposed 377:11 406:15 419:22

opposing 367:24

opposite 348:10

opposition 290:1 368:4 373:10,15,17 374:2 375:16 386:15 400:19,24 405:12,13 406:14 407:21 408:6,20 409:25 410:2,14 413:5 468:2,5

option 282:16,17, 19,20 286:23

options 269:6 270:10 277:4 282:15 288:19

order 321:11 332:19 335:17 341:23 374:3 427:4 460:6 468:4,9

orders 394:5,6 ordinary 461:5 organizations

338:21

organized 457:22

originally 397:12 398:7

Orsini 279:16,17 318:24 323:22

Index: noted..paragraph

Orsini's 346:14 360:18 367:10

outcry 345:7

outlet 469:19

outline 309:14

outlined 301:21 452:9

owners 411:12 425:12 426:1 449:24

Ρ

p.m. 389:22,23 436:14,15 457:24,25

package 326:14 411:25 429:14,25 430:12 431:3,6,10 445:11 460:4 471:13,15

pages 300:4 301:7 307:21 310:22 350:18

paid 364:16

pain 270:8 438:12

painful 418:4,6,7

paint 348:11

panel 341:4,21,25 342:12,13 345:2 375:21 376:17 378:22,23 388:6,9 389:1 415:5,14 424:18 457:10

panel's 415:15

panels 388:14

panic 406:2

paper 395:18 429:25

papers 358:2

paragraph 301:20 308:19 310:18 352:20 353:11 354:11 378:10 393:2 435:6

DWIGHT DUNCAN on March 16, 2018 parallel 424:17 **passed** 332:25 334:12 375:7 406:6. **Pardon** 274:22 19 440:17 457:22 282:18 352:16 **passing** 437:19 466:10 Pari-mutuel 316:1 passionate 346:5 369:19 parliament 290:1 passionately 332:17 394:3 405:3, 13 410:8,9 413:7,9 442:11 415:11 past 393:20,24 parliamentary Paul 298:12 403:4 438:1 458:20 **pay** 399:22 parliaments 443:6 paying 358:4,8,14 part 267:3 277:1,11 280:25 286:11 payment 306:8 312:17 315:15 307:12 308:15 331:13 335:24 313:5,19 316:8 347:11 366:15 321:4 322:2 387:20 371:15 372:9 373:9, **payments** 286:14, 22 375:9 378:22 22 287:5 336:4 380:13 387:18 388:21 402:2 404:5 payouts 368:9,16 409:2,8,22 411:9 **PCS** 366:24 413:3 416:10 417:19 420:5.6.13 422:2 **pension** 398:17 426:5 431:7 399:7,8,10,18 417:22 425:10 partially 338:23 **people** 268:3 participants 285:22 287:10 400:5 289:23 292:19 participate 274:19 341:18 343:19.20 344:3 345:12 351:8 **parties** 374:3 354:22 355:7 356:20 408:21 410:15 357:6 358:4,7 415:14 456:15 468:3 364:17 394:19 parties' 436:10 401:1,6 411:12 416:25 417:7 418:8 **Partington** 420:25 425:5 426:24 281:21,22 427:6,25 441:16 partisan 343:16 443:2 445:5 449:10. 344:25 415:18 25 450:21 451:18 467:23 **parts** 273:15 389:14 406:25 **percent** 287:17 399:21 419:8,14,15 party 334:14 344:4 421:7 345:15 367:23 386:6 405:25 410:11 percentage 268:4 440:25 444:1 percolate 342:1

percolated 420:14

performance

270:4 451:3

party's 395:14

404:15,16

pass 334:14 351:17

371:14 374:3 380:16

period 269:22 273:19 274:10 278:23 284:2 286:16,18 288:2 296:25 302:20 304:14 306:20 313:15 316:9 318:11 324:14 407:1.20 411:22 413:5 452:2 463:25 471:21 permitted 428:11 **person** 341:9 345:2 371:2 442:17 personal 421:1 425:4 440:9 442:5 personnel 292:23 perspective 275:9 308:11 324:23 334:11 366:8 408:13 447:22 Petawawa 365:8 **ph** 270:8 400:11 440:16 phase-out 321:3 **phased** 278:22 306:19 313:14 316:8 Phillips 354:10 415:12 **phone** 325:24 physician 365:5 pick 272:2 289:5 307:8 404:4 **picked** 469:17 **picture** 348:11 422:2.3 **piece** 280:25 338:13 398:9,17,23 399:1 420:13 437:18 pile 465:22 **pipe** 361:12 **pitch** 396:7,11 **place** 284:24 285:1 345:19 404:19 412:23 416:15 445:12 470:21

placeholder 296:6 320:20 322:25 324:21 325:21 344:7 **places** 375:15 373:23 392:20,23 plaintiff 400:23 395:7 399:23 408:15 439:4 444:5 401:19,20 404:12 451:11 426:20 433:10,18,23 434:13,16,20 435:1, plaintiffs 358:12 21 436:1 391:1 428:1 438:10 political 330:2,7,9, 443:14 455:14 25 331:1 340:4,21 plaintiffs' 410:5 342:4,19 343:14,15, 417:2 439:20 441:1, 19 379:8 398:3.22 13 449:18 441:18 **plan** 275:21 276:3, politically 399:24 19,24 277:3,8,21 **polled** 459:5 282:10,13 299:4 301:2 350:12 375:16 **pools** 268:1 379:5,7 395:2,3,6,7, poor 418:24 14,23 396:5,9 398:10,11 414:17 popular 342:9 418:13 447:15 421:17 **plans** 341:4 352:9 population 419:16 396:1.10 399:18 **portion** 349:24 platform 395:14 portions 334:2 play 393:9 position 275:10 **played** 442:11 322:10,20 326:17 pleaded 436:2 351:14 352:11 390:9,11,14 394:23 **point** 272:2 273:6, 402:19 434:4 435:8 21 277:5 279:4 436:3,11 443:24 295:20 298:14,21,22 445:9 299:5 313:25 positioning 314:11,16 315:8,24 324:11 375:8 331:22 332:1 333:22.25 334:7 **possibly** 445:18 342:5 353:18 355:24 371:3 384:18 399:3 post-budget 401:17 407:25 371:11 408:10 447:11 453:7 potential 285:6 464:2 472:4 392:4 398:22 pointed 299:10,12 power 333:19 300:9 337:13 409:13 436:5 416:17 441:1 465:24 Powerpoint 291:8 pointing 367:9 practice 323:7 points 270:8 276:8 295:3 327:8 328:7 pre-budget 329:14 330:6,8 408:23 343:20 394:20 416:8 pre-caucus policies 403:3 465:18 466:18 policy 275:9 276:10 **precise** 355:23 293:22 311:12,14 363:20,21 426:15

Index: parallel..precise

446:11 458:16 459:7 464:8

precisely 362:14

predict 313:20

predominant

449:15

prefer 405:5

preliminary 278:12

-

premature 409:19

Premier 296:24 422:9,25 436:22 444:2,4 454:8 459:4

Premier's 293:25 319:22 347:4 371:5 409:15

premise 269:9

preparation

271:13 280:24 454:16

prepare 323:7

prepared 309:10 323:10,13 428:4 459:22

prepares 467:9

preparing 317:1 319:23 329:8

prescribed 274:20

present 323:8 467:18

presentation

295:1,14,21 331:18 411:6

presented 397:20 407:5 444:5 445:1,4 446:19

pressed 342:12

presume 266:4 316:25 323:5 330:21 337:21 343:12 351:9 466:12 467:14,19

pretty 342:23 425:18

preventing 472:16

previous 294:5 307:16 315:8 380:10 398:3 418:15 419:12 421:23 426:1

PREVIOUSLY

265:2

principal 395:5

principle 277:14 404:20,21,25 405:7, 23

prior 275:22 294:17 297:10 304:22 322:3 380:20 386:4 420:17 421:22 444:3 461:6

priorities 349:9 419:3,19 421:10 422:12 423:17

priority 336:7,9 397:5 407:21 408:8 421:11

privatization 338:24

privatized 338:23

privy 296:21 371:1 372:10 380:14 446:22

problem 404:17 433:25 452:12 469:13

problematic 399:24

problems 344:7

procedure 404:24 438:2

proceed 338:12

proceedings

292:13 445:2

proceeds 267:16

process 325:14,23 326:2,23 328:14,15 331:22 332:12 334:3 347:3 369:16 392:10 396:2,3 400:2 409:2 436:7 462:11

processes 394:24 458:9

produced 312:14 329:23 381:17 382:1 428:18 429:14 434:25 471:22

production 291:25

products 321:17

program 269:18, 23 278:22 284:20 306:9 307:2,12 308:14,15 313:2,5, 20 316:23 321:21 322:1 324:13,19 325:2 339:1,6,7,18 346:22 348:2 349:3, 10 351:15 352:5 353:19 363:15 364:11 368:17 369:10 373:8 380:4 381:1 386:19 388:20 392:20,23 394:17 397:22 409:14 416:7,16 419:21 420:11,12,16,17 426:7 427:6,8 438:19,24 439:1,9 445:22 450:9 454:12 456:20

programs 339:17 340:1,6 396:11,12, 13,23 419:20,23,24 427:14,18

progress 388:8

Progressive 333:2 367:23

prohibition 434:8

project 266:2,15, 19,23 267:4,6 395:25 398:13

projected 418:21 451:13

projects 398:12

prominent 415:12

prop 428:10

proper 356:14 439:16

properly 428:3,24 429:3

proportionately 270:6

proposal 309:17 310:10 311:24 351:17 414:14

proposed 273:1 276:19 278:19 279:2 282:7,8 283:7 286:2 289:9,13 290:19,24 294:3 295:21 298:23 302:3,4,17 303:2,5, 10 304:16,22 307:15 309:16 313:19 316:7 459:13 461:9,15 462:23

proposing 320:23 proposition 308:5 propriety 429:6 prorogued 408:25

pros 283:9

protected 368:9,

protection 366:11

proves 447:13

provide 278:14 329:19,22 350:7 366:10 381:9 396:15 409:4 416:11 451:12

provided 305:20 306:4,6 315:15 381:18,20

province 287:18 334:6,9 397:23 427:22 450:12

province's 372:20 427:1

provincial 268:23 350:11

provision 269:20 388:17

public 329:23,24 330:5 331:2 334:17 339:22 347:11 354:8 364:3,10,15 418:10 441:15 443:22 458:4

publicly 364:18 375:14 434:16 452:5

published 375:23

Index: precisely..question

pulled 395:1

punched 345:14, 15

pundits 343:20

purpose 272:17 309:14 310:19

purposes 272:10, 13,24 275:5 316:25 318:18 361:3 407:18 412:20 471:24

purse 314:16

purses 287:21 451:5

put 284:3 288:15 291:2 296:16 297:5 305:7 308:5 315:21 330:7 334:6 335:5, 11,14 338:9 345:2 348:25 359:14,18 366:7 370:10,11 378:3 382:10 389:17 404:9,11 406:21 408:19 410:19 415:19 423:4 425:18 428:13,20 430:1 431:15 439:10,12 445:12 447:7 460:1, 4 461:18,21

putting 423:16

Q

Qs 327:11 329:8

qualifications 428:16

quarter 446:16

question 272:20 279:22 280:15 300:15,19,21,23 310:24 314:7 315:12,13 316:21 321:11 339:25 352:19 357:16 360:11,13 361:4 362:1,9 363:5 364:8 365:12 368:11,13 369:5 375:1 390:15

391:5 393:8 407:1,

20 412:1 413:4 421:23 428:21,22,23 429:1,2 433:15 446:3 452:7 453:2, 17 455:16 456:18 457:5 459:4 463:19, 21 468:14 469:5 472:5,8

questions 297:18, 21 311:18 328:8 348:17 360:15 361:11,12,13 371:20 385:1 388:2 389:24 390:7,24,25 393:14 405:17 407:5,19,24, 25 408:2,3,4,5 409:1 436:6 439:20 447:6 454:11,19 455:12,13 458:3 465:24

quibble 303:17 **quickly** 326:24

quiet 408:18

338:5

quote 385:15 469:25 470:4

quoted 444:14 **quotes** 470:11

R

R/f 311:16 322:20 323:1 358:16

race 301:11 449:22

races 449:25

racetrack 268:11 269:23 274:2 278:21 284:20 307:2 308:14 313:1 316:23 321:21 325:2 327:15 346:22 348:2 349:3,10 351:15 352:5 353:19 368:17 373:8 380:25 386:19 388:20 456:20

racetracks 351:5 439:11

raceway 351:13 363:25 425:13,23,25 438:15 440:7 racing 267:8,15 268:24 270:5 275:21 276:9 278:19 285:23 290:21 306:9 307:3 309:21 310:14 313:4 314:2,13 315:20 317:3 318:18 320:16 324:11 327:14 331:7 334:20 336:23 338:10,25 340:21 341:9 345:8 348:1 349:14 366:2 368:17 375:20 385:17 386:13 388:18 392:24 393:10 394:16 397:16 409:5,15 411:11 412:9 414:11,16,25 415:5 424:2,18,20 425:10 427:3 445:17 446:16 450:14 451:4 454:3 457:10 462:6, 17 468:17

radio 359:13 361:17,21 362:5,9 366:22 368:7 372:25 376:15,23 377:6,21 403:8,9 469:24

raise 393:6 417:21 434:6

raised 303:11 403:8 417:3 439:21 456:13,15,16

raising 392:4

Ramona 305:16

ran 359:21

random 468:9

randomly 439:10,

range 269:6,16 285:4 335:22 336:24 418:11 424:9 427:18 446:12 460:12

rank 399:19

rapid 326:5,7

rarely 458:21

rate 340:4 419:11

rates 340:3

rationale 433:9 434:20 439:11

reach 399:16

reached 415:14

reacted 274:13

reaction 337:20 340:13,16,18 354:18 468:18

reactions 272:16

read 284:6 299:1,4, 7,9 300:2,14 301:17 310:21 317:13 319:6,7 324:1 326:24 343:11,18 344:18 348:12 372:14 375:20,25 378:12,18 384:4,7 386:2 430:15 433:2, 4,5,7 456:9,24 457:9.13 467:7

readable 455:17

reading 297:17 305:7,11 307:6 308:18,20 310:15,18 378:2 404:13,17,19, 20 405:5,20 457:6 469:23

real 341:11 400:24, 25 404:17 419:15 451:2

reason 278:1 284:22 325:17 338:7 339:24 370:22 399:15 405:1 409:9 417:19 449:25 452:8

reasons 287:25 289:21 290:9 401:16

recall 267:1,7 268:6 269:14,24 276:22 277:4 282:24 286:24 287:14 289:2,15,17 290:6 316:10,20 317:5,6,8,9,24 318:2 319:19 322:15,17 324:6,17 325:7,10 331:19 332:23 337:8,11 338:3,15 340:15 342:14 343:3,8 344:21,22, 23 371:17 375:10,14

376:11,12 377:2,4,5, 6,7,9,10,15,16 379:16,23,24 387:22 388:10 393:16 405:24 407:14 411:10 426:15,17 431:16,23 457:6 459:1 461:2,4 471:5

receive 274:24

received 316:6

receives 287:4

receiving 273:7

recent 296:22 403:23

Recess 304:11 348:22 389:22 436:14 457:24

recession 427:16 reckoning 396:22

recognizing 427:23

recollect 283:22 recollected 280:5,

recollection

274:12 279:24 280:17 283:8 307:17 317:12 318:19 323:12 332:24 346:10 369:24 403:25 410:1 446:9 447:5 452:10 459:22 460:5

recommendation

266:11 267:12,23 268:6 330:6 346:24 347:7,24 349:12 351:3 352:3 353:6, 22,25 431:11,16,22, 24 440:11

recommendation

s 266:5 272:11,24,25 273:1,2 279:19 290:20 350:18,21, 23,24 351:20,24,25 352:13 353:5 386:8 419:18 429:12,18 447:25

recommended

Index: questions..referenced

320:12 350:24 351:1,25 386:18

reconcile 398:11

record 270:15
281:3 295:11 305:8
315:22 321:2 340:24
346:15 356:12 367:8
369:24 378:12
383:18,20 387:4
390:11,17,19 392:1
430:7,8,16 443:22
444:9,10 445:3
447:17,18 457:18,20
458:16

recorded 451:21 458:15

recording 458:14

records 292:17 296:16

recover 359:18

recrossexamination 390:3 456:5

redactions 312:15

redistribute 309:18 310:12

reduce 309:20 310:13 314:15 399:14

reduced 282:11 284:9 320:17

Reduction 316:2

Reemphasizing 470:20

reexamination 390:2,12

reexamine 456:15

refer 346:19 404:24 444:21 462:4

reference 336:3 353:16 372:25 380:11 381:12 385:15 386:5 403:7 446:1

referenced 452:20

references 334:19 released 407:10 reporters 465:23 restrictions **ridinas** 438:12 440:16 443:13 403:14 referred 417:6 relevant 307:1 reports 324:10 restricts 349:7 right-hand 349:2 312:21,25 referring 285:1 represent 358:15 289:6 295:5 296:3 relied 329:18,21 result 276:16 rise 393:23 represented 320:20 349:18 384:1 349:13 354:4,5 314:23 376:22 road 366:11 402:4 421:4 438:24 452:24 410:21 412:22 relief 376:18 455:23 461:12,14 **require** 306:12 418:12 451:2 robust 284:22 rely 428:1 420:25 refers 324:4 405:4 resulted 289:2 role 393:9 416:10 remain 355:20 **required** 394:1.2 442:12 **reflect** 288:10 resuming 304:12 remarkably requirement 348:23 389:23 roles 415:13 320:7 329:12 347:10 436:15 457:25 394:7,8 442:16 reflected 277:17 **room** 268:16 retired 425:9 288:7 306:6 389:12 **Remarks** 365:21 reread 433:11 436:13 412:10 remember 267:10 resign 369:23 returning 333:24 rooted 393:19 reflecting 370:25 269:4 276:20 280:22 401:19 returns 286:20 Rosenberg 304:18 313:24 reflection 459:8 resignation 351:7 268:16 270:19 reveal 365:10 330:14,15 337:13 303:25 308:25 309:3 reflects 435:1 342:21 344:17 resigning 370:1 revealed 440:6 381:16,20 382:6,7, 345:10 378:19 refresh 362:3 resolved 457:3 12 383:8,13 387:13 394:19 406:24 407:3 revenue 268:5 390:17,20,22 refreshed 439:6 410:23,24 412:3 resource 371:2 269:22 275:4,7,12 391:15,18 392:3 440:5 454:17 457:16 286:20 312:1 314:15 439:17 **refusal** 311:16 403:1 404:3 413:22, 464:20,21,23 472:21 315:18 316:22 323:2 358:17 390:5. 24 414:7 428:17,25 **respect** 290:20 339:13 340:10 13 436:17 **remind** 407:8 429:5,8,13,21 430:3, 292:12 352:5,12 346:22 347:25 440:20 441:14 6,9,17,21,23 432:1, 387:19 389:16 refused 371:4 349:14,25 351:2 449:19 13 433:1,14,17,22 392:24 394:16 390:8 363:12.14 373:7 434:10,12,23 450:7,24 459:9 reminded 410:6 395:6 398:11 420:8, regarded 393:3 435:11,15,16,20,22 452:22 respected 342:9 436:9,10,16,18 region 441:10 reminds 284:6 revenue-sharing 437:6,7 444:8,11 respecting 451:12 447:16,19 452:16 regular 344:13 274:19 remove 405:25 respond 273:5 453:1,10,13,18,21 revenues 340:11 regulations 325:18 329:3,4 455:11 456:13.17 removing 371:22 422:23 354:24 396:6 428:13 349:8 457:15 465:6,9 repeat 391:6 447:6 468:4 review 299:23 466:6 471:12 472:20 regulator 449:20 repeated 427:12 301:10 323:15 352:4 450:5 response 309:17 Rosenberg's 369:16 396:25 410:4 310:10 325:19 345:8 466:4 rephrase 391:6 regulators 337:14 416:10 427:9 439:5 439:20 446:2 462:8 rule 456:14 461:6 rehearsed 454:23 report 338:1 346:25 responsibilities 347:20,24 348:13 ruled 390:13 reviewed 330:18 rejected 321:16 422:19 349:1 350:21 352:1 322:12 343:4 **rules** 391:4 407:23 354:3 372:11 375:21 responsibility reviewing 273:7 465:25 383:4 384:14 387:2, 296:11 422:21,24 **related** 314:25 284:6 15 407:10,11 418:17 423:6 **running** 287:19 relationship 419:6 456:10,16,21, revised 267:8 responsible 329:8 337:15 418:9 25 457:9,12 **rural** 309:16 340:3 357:10 422:14 423:1 327:8 369:13 379:1 391:10 Relationships report's 352:11 448:22 rich 368:8,16 441:10 451:6 455:25 414:20 reported 333:19 rest 349:20 rid 396:11 relative 397:20 363:18 365:3 S restrain 419:25 ride 440:4 release 400:8 reporter's 470:12 restricted 419:11 407:11 riding 438:16 **safety** 366:11

441:2,4,8,11,24

Index: references..safety

Index: sale..slots

sale 338:12

SAR 369:10

SARP 286:4,14 306:7 314:5 354:19 363:12,14 374:22,24 375:9 376:5,9,16 393:11 394:17 397:10 404:5 406:18,23 407:16 412:21 413:3 415:4, 25 419:20 425:5 426:7.11.20 428:3 433:10 434:14 436:20,23,25 437:16,20 438:4,8, 11 439:2,3 441:25 443:16 449:9 450:17 451:17 453:23 454:12

satisfied 357:2,5

Saturday 318:11 319:9 320:11

save 446:15 460:6

scenarios 420:4

scenes 400:21 443:4

scheduled 311:3, 7.11

scheme 274:20 414:2

school 421:14 422:5

scope 424:13 440:3

screen 294:24 348:25 382:11 383:9 461:21

scripted 455:3

scrum 444:22,24 465:19 466:20,25 467:5,19 468:4,8

scrummed 467:23

scrums 447:10 466:18

sec 367:7 383:19 431:13

secrecy 393:18,19 394:13 400:4 401:17

secret 363:12,15, 22,23 364:14 366:25 413:3

sector 268:24 270:5 306:10 313:22 315:1 321:18 372:21 389:15 393:5 418:10 450:4 472:3

sees 300:17

Seiling 304:17 337:7

seize 408:21

select 353:11

selective 348:11 445:4

self-serve 321:19

sell 469:8

send 396:4 405:18

sending 367:13

senior 272:4,5 279:10,11 280:18,19 295:2 297:4 318:9, 13 319:22 323:13 327:4 329:19 366:20 448:8

sense 273:4,25 275:8 448:16

sensitivity 442:22

sentence 354:15

separate 268:1 302:19

sequence 276:16 316:19 317:2 318:23 323:22 324:10 346:14 378:16 466:17

serve 341:3

served 403:24 428:6

service 329:23,25 330:5 331:2 338:19, 22 339:9,22 343:13 467:11

serviced 451:6

serving 312:2

session 385:10,14

set 331:17 338:3,4 419:19 423:21

sets 310:2 391:15

setting 377:3 423:17

settle 411:24

settles 297:2

severe 425:18

share 269:22 316:22 363:12 373:8

shared 347:17 348:11

shareholder 437:14

sharing 346:22 347:25 349:14 351:2 363:14

she'll 361:12

shift 306:10

short-term 373:10

Shortill 266:1,9 270:14 271:16 276:23 279:13,14, 18,25 291:3 294:8 295:9 297:13 304:3, 20 316:5 318:12,16 323:11,18,19 326:10 327:5 328:13 329:6 354:10 461:16,21

Shortill's 265:16 280:4,11 281:5,8 289:7 294:10 304:1 376:3 391:11 413:18 429:24 430:11 465:3.8

shortly 276:2,18 460:25

show 265:15 270:11 291:1 299:8 300:19 301:4 304:19 343:6 346:13 351:21 372:11 376:2 383:7 385:4 435:12,17 462:10 466:16 **showed** 309:24 389:13 410:5 429:23 437:3

showing 265:21 344:14 362:16 462:19,22

shown 302:19 328:22 462:25

shows 369:25

shrugging 368:10

shut 285:18

sic 280:3

side 330:9

sight 442:13

sign 298:7

signal 334:23 335:4 336:18 356:1 408:20

signalled 325:4

signalling 324:24 336:20,21 338:15 405:5 408:12

signals 408:6

signature 432:1,5, 11,12

signed 331:3 432:10 459:11

significance 302:22.24

significant 392:20 421:8

significantly 314:3

silent 288:22 371:10 452:7,8

similar 394:15 424:17

simple 349:23 449:22

simply 293:15 324:22 330:8 356:24 372:8 435:18,20 447:2

single 361:21

sir 265:18 285:19 293:11.17 298:22 300:9,21 301:24 303:21 304:21 310:8 316:25 317:24 318:22 319:20 323:5 327:3 331:16 334:17 335:18 337:19 346:21 347:6 349:19 350:25 353:18 355:8 362:3,12,19 364:5 365:24 367:5 373:4 377:1 382:15 385:7 393:15 407:13 413:17 424:12 430:25 434:13 435:23 438:14 444:12 446:1 447:20 453:7,22 456:6 462:21 464:2 470:15,23

sit 341:25 400:18

siteholder 268:11 274:3 437:10,12,15

siteholders 437:14

sites 349:22

sitting 341:21 400:19 422:1

situation 389:9 400:12 439:21

size 306:14 416:9

sizes 314:16

slaughtered 468:16 470:17,19

slide 281:17,19 295:24 296:5,7 298:23 299:16,21,24 300:14 302:14 303:7 321:14

slipperier 464:5

slot 267:19 275:2 309:18 310:13 349:25 439:10,12,22

slots 269:23 274:2 278:21 284:19 307:1 308:14 309:9 313:1 314:6 316:22 321:21 325:2 346:22 348:1

349:3,9 351:5,15 352:5 353:19 368:16 373:8 380:25 386:18 388:19 456:20

slow 301:13 388:15

Slowly 301:17

small 280:25 314:4 335:24 422:2 438:17 451:7

smaller 355:18 391:16 420:4 423:23 424:5.7

Smith 365:7

snippets 317:14

Snobelen 340:19 341:1 343:1,7,8,12 344:11 354:20 378:24 411:7

Snobelen's 359:12 428:2

solidarity 401:16

solution 399:4,6

solve 423:18

son 345:14

sooner 388:25

Sorbara 376:4,15

Sorbara's 403:9

sort 290:6 297:2 397:8 399:10 418:19

sorts 279:5 339:22 416:25 449:8

Sousa 408:23

speak 320:15 337:6 341:20 344:19 374:5 376:4 377:19 401:3 402:25 437:25

speaking 279:19 320:19 323:8 327:8 328:7 329:13 330:8 344:22 376:17 377:15 403:2,5 466:15

speaks 443:8

specific 267:18

268:9,13,21 269:4 287:13 289:18 306:25 312:20,24 334:19 339:1,5,6 375:19 377:8,24 446:3

specifically

269:24 276:25 321:17 361:8 377:5, 15 431:23 435:5

specifics 280:22 371:17

speculate 412:17

speech 330:11,19, 21,24,25 331:4,5,9 334:16 337:7,20,22 338:2,4,14 343:1 345:8 355:25 356:3, 12,15,19 357:1,19 359:6 364:19,22,24 365:14,24 384:22,25 408:23 444:23

speeches 288:25 330:20 334:23 336:19 408:16

spending 336:22 395:25 420:20,22 421:6

spent 360:6 442:6,8

split 423:5

spoke 341:18 376:12 377:11 378:1 400:23,25 450:13 457:14

spoken 328:11 376:9,11

spoon 454:25

sport 269:2

spring 342:24 370:19 389:17

stabilize 372:20

stables 417:7

staff 271:11,19 279:11 280:18 296:24 304:21 318:7,8 327:4 328:21 329:19 331:1 345:24 373:5 444:7 448:8

staffers 272:4

stakeholder

266:20 270:8 321:13 328:20 392:6 424:8, 14

stakeholders

270:1 424:9 443:15 445:10 447:1

stand 382:8 412:15 430:14 467:11

standard 280:17 323:7

standardbred

314:2 341:10 355:9 466:14

standing 394:5,6

stands 455:22

Star 374:11,15

started 334:1 346:10 366:24 371:22 394:2 397:22 405:25 420:10 427:9 448:10

starting 335:13 395:18

Starts 319:9

state 395:15 445:15

stated 271:4

statement 343:1, 9,14 405:16 428:1 433:23

statements

344:20 347:11 351:12 383:25 441:16

States 287:22,24 469:9

station 361:21 362:10

status 282:8

stayed 302:13,20 451:5

steady 335:14

Stearns 395:17

step 416:10 436:12

stepped 416:13

steps 276:6,17 290:14 342:3 415:3

stick 358:17

stop 300:13 469:12 470:2,5,9

stopped 274:14 333:24 389:7 411:23 440:10 472:14

straightnumbers 419:6

straightforward 350:3,4

strain 425:18

strained 442:5

Stransky 266:9 268:17 271:18 279:14 297:12 304:4 305:1,3 316:6 323:11 328:15 329:7 354:9 462:8

Stransky's 265:17

strategic 277:16

strategy 374:22 375:7,9 378:1 454:9

stream 275:13 325:22 335:14

streams 267:5,6 324:22 325:20

stretch 438:23

strictures 443:4

stripping 322:14 334:1

strongly 442:10

struck 372:5,12

struggling 372:21

stuff 278:3 398:18 452:23

style 356:1

subcommittee

318:1

subject 302:12,17, 18 312:15 358:17 385:1 390:2,12 462:6

Index: slow..suggestion

submission

310:12 432:16,19,23 434:25 459:12,22 460:3 462:20,22

subsequent

284:20 288:2,4,24 352:7 371:20 432:7 454:1 465:5

subsidies 320:15

subsidize 385:16 386:13

subsidized 355:13

subsidizes 340:3

subsidizing 331:6 366:2

subsidy 269:17 274:21,23 285:22 321:21 322:1 339:22 355:13 356:1 357:3, 20 358:4 359:2 366:7,17,25 375:17 389:7 420:18 449:13

substance 321:10 332:7 399:2

substantial 289:3

substantive 332:21

substantively 320:25 462:14

successful 287:23

successor 289:3

successors 412:8

suffice 412:20

suggest 357:14 438:3 441:18 443:14

suggested 438:10

suggesting 310:8 367:4

suggestion 402:21

Index: summarized..today's

summarized 463:7

summary 433:9 436:4

summer 376:3,6, 10 378:17 388:6

summertime 388:15

summons 358:18

summonsed 455:7

Sun 344:12 359:13

supervision 421:1

supply 349:24

support 269:10 282:11 283:11 306:9 307:13 308:16 309:20 310:14 313:6 324:13,19 332:22 348:3,5,8 351:18 352:6 354:4,7 355:22 366:8,10 371:22 373:9 374:24 376:18 379:13 388:18 406:4 417:13 421:1 446:10

supported 342:15 351:9,14 353:2 398:4

suppose 286:5 334:5 418:2

supposed 302:25

surely 425:20

surplus 395:19

surprise 464:6

surprised 343:23 380:2,3,8

survive 355:21 425:19 445:19

surviving 370:15

suspect 287:9 294:25 295:1

sustainability 414:17 sustainable

290:12,15 306:14 417:12 450:19 451:4

system 403:4

Т

table 350:8 402:1,5, 12,14,16,18 428:14 459:16 460:4

tabled 380:21 384:17 407:6 410:18 444:25

tabling 331:17

tactical 277:16

taking 290:13 293:11 326:17 437:22

talk 326:22 331:6 371:4 403:14

talked 276:20 303:3,6 327:24 365:3 378:20 458:3

talking 271:15 274:25 275:12 285:4,9 288:13 295:3 300:13 330:6, 7 339:9,11 342:2 363:24 378:7 416:8 429:11 433:12 471:7

talks 284:8 299:3 370:14

tandem 453:3

Tanya 278:8 295:18

tap 447:2

tasked 297:5

tax 316:2 380:7 400:13

taxpayers 366:1

teachers 399:20 418:11

technologies 419:16

119:16

Ted 346:2,4,5,7 442:1,15

telling 283:21 315:9 354:17

tells 296:18 305:23 422:1

ten 358:23

ten-minute 348:20

tend 443:2 458:13 464:7

tenure 459:2

term 266:24 287:13 339:22 340:8 356:5, 16,18 357:3,20 364:20 375:3 469:22

terminate 346:21 354:4 373:7 376:5 404:5 406:18,23 412:21 413:3 415:4, 25 425:4 426:11 428:3 437:10,20 441:25 443:16 450:17 453:23

terminated 348:2, 4 356:2 454:12

terminating

407:16 426:20 434:14

termination

269:22 274:3 275:23 286:17 288:5 316:22 325:1,4 349:13 351:1 354:19 388:19,23 393:11 411:22 433:10 451:16 456:19

terminology 320:19 339:21 357:6

terms 281:14 330:7 334:13 335:18,20 339:13 398:15,21,22 416:2,15

Terry 294:1

tested 403:18,21

testified 279:18 280:5

testimony 284:15 395:9 418:4

text 281:15

theme 397:5

themes 297:2

theories 403:2

there'd 273:12 451:9

thing 307:22 308:2, 6,11 328:9 334:9 394:8 399:14 447:13 458:15

things 269:14 273:10 279:5 285:5 287:11 292:2 334:13 335:14 336:19,24 338:10 339:23 371:18 385:11 403:15 408:17,18,19 412:10 416:25 422:10 426:24 449:8,22 472:18

thinking 277:10 278:12 286:8 288:7 290:7 338:16 350:20 354:22 420:12 424:22 426:9 448:14.15

thinks 343:12 361:11 364:6

Thom 305:16

thoroughbred 355:21 417:15

446:13

443:16

thought 277:7 333:22 340:17 342:16 351:24 354:21 368:16 398:24 433:22

thoughtful 471:13 thoughts 322:15

thousands 468:15

threads 460:11

threat 397:24

threatened 470:17

threats 340:23,24, 25 345:14,22

three-week 463:25

three-year 282:10, 13 298:24 306:20 313:15 316:9 321:3 337:3

Thunder 469:25

Thursday 278:6 317:18,22 387:16

tied 454:9

Tim 323:14,17,18, 19 327:20 366:24 368:8 379:10 461:16

time 279:4,16 280:24 282:22 283:16 285:7 287:9, 16 293:18,19,21 300:22 304:14 312:7 318:3 321:3 326:17 331:21 332:1 336:5. 9 337:3 338:23 339:14 341:15 346:12 355:4,11 357:17 360:7 379:6 388:12 393:23 394:13,14,22,25 395:22 402:1 403:10,23,24 411:22,23,24 417:4 418:18 419:5 420:12 421:22,25 422:4 423:24 424:1 425:15 426:15 427:7,10 431:14 438:21,22 440:15,18 441:7,21 444:19 447:11 448:11,19 450:8 452:2 454:21 455:24 459:14.15 467:25 471:16

times 356:12 361:7 393:16 401:18 405:2 407:15 449:4 455:20

timing 276:7

title 456:2

today 317:1 327:23 365:6 454:20 455:6 456:3

today's 454:17

told 393:14 404:8 410:22 415:2 417:12 425:3 454:15 470:10

Toldo 363:25

Toldos 426:4,5

Tom 425:16

tomorrow 327:22

top 313:12 324:9 327:18 336:7 432:15 466:18,24

top-line 364:9

top-of-line 396:15

Toronto 344:12 359:13 374:11,15 451:8

Tory 438:12

total 364:11 421:5 451:12 471:21

totality 294:20 332:14

tough 425:20,22

track 268:2 355:21 411:12 417:15 440:13 449:24 462:20

tracking 277:18

tracks 274:14 285:8,18 287:15,17 289:23 314:4,17 355:19 364:17 417:15 445:19 449:14,19 454:13

traditional 393:23

transactions

361:8

transcript 347:3 362:22 383:9 435:12,16 455:17 466:21 467:1,5,9,15 468:10

transcription

360:20 361:4

transcriptions

361:7

transcripts 447:9 458:19

transfer 286:22 287:5 306:8 307:11 308:15 313:5,19 316:8 321:4 322:2

transfers 307:2 313:2

transition 269:11. 17,25 275:20 276:3, 9,19,24 277:8,15 283:11.12.19.20.24 284:8,11,23 285:20 286:25 287:2 289:19 290:8 306:13,18 313:13 315:13 316:23 341:4 342:12 346:23 348:3,5,8,9 373:9,19 374:24 375:21 377:3 378:1 379:2,13 387:21 388:18 393:9 409:4 410:20,24 412:3 415:5,21 416:3 417:10 424:18 445:11 451:16,23 453:3 457:10

transitioning 271:24 414:18

translated 332:5

translating 331:23

Treasury 296:10 396:7,8 402:8,14 423:8,9

trigger 333:14

triggered 274:15

triggering 268:10 275:22 277:12

trip 437:22

truth 455:7

Tuesday 383:5

tune 366:3

turn 273:10 288:19 396:6 467:4

turnarounds

273:12

turned 336:24 394:11 398:24 399:5 447:2

turns 417:23

Twitter 345:13

two-thousand

472:4

tying 434:21

type 327:22 350:2

types 338:15

typical 328:18 469:24

typically 273:12,23 279:8,11 280:18 283:3 297:1 318:2,5, 6 323:9,13 325:16 328:1 329:25 330:3, 24 331:24,25 334:23 337:10 338:1 344:18 388:14 395:8,23 396:14,16,24 397:3, 6 400:15 404:15,19, 21 405:3,10 407:20 408:6,19,21 410:8, 10 412:12 420:3 431:18 445:5 446:21 448:20 459:20 460:8

U

465:22 468:6

U.K. 394:3 410:9

U/t 292:16

ugliest 345:17

ugly 345:20

ultimately 288:20, 21 289:1 329:5,12 357:9 369:21 371:7. 12 380:15 395:3 396:8 403:16 406:17 409:4 422:11,15 454:8 461:10

unanimously 404:16

unanswered 454:10

unanticipated

416:22

understand

266:14 273:6 274:6 281:21 291:11 294:20 296:8 300:8 301:15 309:4 315:4 321:2 326:1 357:20 358:20 386:20 389:4 391:5 407:9 416:19 424:18 431:9 434:19 435:23 467:5,13 469:16 471:3

understanding

267:3 272:10 276:15 279:9 319:21 322:10 327:20 342:10 346:21 347:6,8,23 351:1 352:2,11 363:21 392:14,23 424:21 458:8,20

understood

266:4,7 274:8,15 314:8,10 359:2 372:4 386:17 403:1 417:5 428:4 460:20

undertaken

471:24

unfair 435:7 436:7

unfunded 399:11

unhappy 272:21

union 399:19

unions 399:18 418:10

United 287:22,24 469:8

unlike 465:25 470:12

unsustainable 314:18 449:13,14

untapped 312:2

updated 388:8

upper 391:14

urban 312:2 451:7, 8

urgency 388:17 389:5,18

usual 391:4 450:17

Index: told..voiced

٧

Vaguely 330:15

varies 335:15 423:4

variety 289:21 290:8 334:13 356:20 371:18

vent 361:22.23

venues 451:20

verbally 279:12

version 292:8,15 297:10,11

versions 461:6

versus 347:16

viable 269:18,19 282:11 287:16 290:12,15 445:13 451:4

Vic 438:22

video 343:13 345:18

view 284:15 291:21 302:4 303:3 334:8 335:25 343:21 347:10 355:20.21 358:5 368:15 378:25 394:20 414:24 419:21 420:15 426:19 427:6,23 428:5 446:14,15 449:12

viewed 293:22

views 272:25 273:1 316:7 344:2 374:21 459:6 460:9,15,19, 20

violent 345:20

virtually 419:1 460:5

voice 393:4 401:4 405:6 443:8

voiced 375:16

vote 333:13 351:16 352:24 369:19 370:15 372:5,8 374:2 403:16,20 404:1 405:18,19,20, 21,22 406:14 410:3, 18 412:4,22,25 413:1 440:20 459:3

voted 333:2 368:5 369:25

votes 334:14 351:17 458:21

voting 332:24 371:8 375:14

W

wagering 314:13 450:2

wait 277:11 348:18 361:25 365:11 369:4

waiting 408:12 465:23

walk 311:9 400:14

walked 268:16 400:11

walking 280:20 465:21

wanted 334:11 335:2 342:5 364:2 371:4 407:13 416:24 417:5,9 445:9 449:5 451:24

warning 401:12

wartime 368:6

waste 326:16

watch 343:2,11 417:18

water 366:11 415:19

Watkins 278:8 295:18

ways 396:3

weather 342:24

wedge 373:9,14 374:22 375:3

wedges 379:24

wedging 374:23 379:10,20

Wednesday 384:15 387:3

week 283:15 297:13 381:5 465:20,21

weekend 317:25

weekends 318:6

weeks 283:9

whichever 288:15

wholesome 402:3

Wilkinson 345:6 376:17,23 377:20,25 378:24 379:3,20 411:8

Windsor 351:13 363:25 425:13,23 426:10,13,18 438:13,15 439:22 440:7

wine 415:19

wisdom 407:16

wondering 393:17

Woodbine 355:20 446:12 449:17

word 285:12 301:5 353:6,8 366:16,19 367:1 379:23 458:14 472:24

words 284:3 349:18 350:13 386:9

wore 346:12

work 267:5,6 342:7 388:5,11 389:14 411:16 415:15,20 417:16 419:21,23,24 429:22 441:9 445:24 446:5 450:7,22 454:14

work-up 428:20

worked 417:7 419:20 423:12

working 266:2 317:24 318:6 320:3 322:9 388:24

works 299:20 332:9 393:18 467:14

Workshop 265:11

worried 398:15

would've 331:2

wound 269:11

wrestle 421:20

wrestling 422:5,6

writing 331:23 447:13

written 283:15 330:21

wrong 307:19 340:7 346:3 426:20 453:12

wrote 344:11,15 377:21

Wynne 444:2

Wynne's 454:8

Υ

yay 403:17

year 274:11,17 318:3 321:4 335:7, 24 338:13 366:3 400:13 408:22 440:17 445:21,22 454:14 468:25 470:22

year-and-a-halflong 352:4

year-over-year 419:7

years 285:2 358:25 359:1 372:20 387:21 398:1 399:6 407:24 412:15 419:12 426:2 427:8 437:25 449:21 450:8,23

Yeigh 278:6

yesterday 265:7 276:1,20 277:20 330:12 346:20 353:9 359:14,16 360:1 365:4 367:17 394:1 408:25 439:21 447:2 Index: vote..Youtube

yesterday's 267:8

young 417:7

Youtube 345:18